

Truth About Shia Ithna Asharieyah

Author: As`ad Wahid al-Qasim

DEDICATION

INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER ONE :IMAMATE

TEXTS RELEVANT TO IMAMATE

WHO ARE THE AHL AL-BAYT?

ADDITIONAL PROOFS FOR `ALI'S CALIPHATE

SOME SAHABAH PROHIBITED THE MESSENGER OF ALLAH (a.s.) FROM WRITING HIS WILL

WRATH OF FATIMA (A.S.)

CALIPHATE OF IMAM `ALI (a.s.)

THE RECONCILIATION TREATY, MARTYDOM OF IMAM AL-HASAN (a.s.)

THE KERBALA' REVOLUTION AND THE MARTYDOM OF IMAM AL-HUSSEIN(a.s.)

CHAPTER TWO :THE SAHABAH: HOW EQUITABLE WERE THEY

CHAPTER 3: SHI'AHS AND THE HOLY QUR'AN

CHAPTER 4:SHI `AHS AND THE PURIFIED SUNNAH, BOTH PARTIES' STAND TOWARDS THE SUNNAH

ABU HURAYRAH AND THE ABUNDANCE OF HIS AHADITH

CHAPTER FIVE :MUT`AH: TEMPORARY MARRIAGE

Presented by <http://www.alhassanain.com> & <http://www.islamicblessings.com>

THE AWAITED MAHDI AND DISSENSIONS

THE AUTHOR

DEDICATION



I present this book to each and every seeker of the truth.

INTRODUCTION

7

The status quo of the Muslims nowadays is truly pitiful. Nations have assailed them just as hungry people assail a coveted meal following the success of Imperialism in disseminating discord and dissension among the members of one and the same nation. These nations have expanded the gap between the Muslims in order to achieve their vicious objectives which cannot be achieved except by Muslims colliding with each other.

It has been very difficult for the enemies of Islam to see the blessed Islamic resurgence overwhelming the hearts of the members of our Islamic nation. There have been efforts to let the Qur'an and the Sunnah take charge of our countries especially after the success of one of such attempts which caused international arrogance to be gravely shocked. Such arrogance remains maintaining its efforts to put an end to such efforts through various methods and means. During the last few years, these folks have been stirring sectarian differences and schisms among the Shi'ahs and the Sunnis. This task has been vested upon the agents of Imperialism in our Islamic

world. In turn, they have instructed their hired hands from among the preachers to write and publish various books to attack the beliefs of the Shi'ahs and to charge them with apostasy, accusing them of sharing their beliefs with the Zoroastrians, Jews and Christians, in addition to such nuances which unfortunately caused many simple-minded people, as well as fanatics, to fall prey to such vicious attacks, having believed, without first researching and verifying the venom with which such books are filled. Millions of copies of such books have been distributed all over the Islamic world...

8

Like other Muslims, I was exposed to this campaign which was undertaken by some movements the objective of which is only to "protect the Sunnis from the Shi'ite danger," according to their claims, to "ping them awareness about the beliefs of this sect which stems out of Judaism and Zoroastrianism," as they claim. In the beginning, I resented such a campaign due to the rude and non-subjective method whereby they describe the Shi'ah faith and which I also noticed to often rely on exaggeration and sensationalism. Although I was born in Palestine for a Sunni Palestinian couple, and although the vast majority of Palestinians are "followers of the Sunnah and Jama'ah," and despite my belief then that the sect following the "Sunnah and the Jama'ah" was the right one, yet I could not see how the Shi'ahs could be "unbelievers." All I knew about them was their high regard for `Ali (a.s.), that they prefer him over all other sahabah. But I did not know why other than the status which most Sunnis believe he is worth of, that is, his being no more than the fourth of the "righteous caliphs;" he is simply a sahabi[1] whose status they equated with that of other sahabah, including Mu`awiyah and `Umar ibn al-'As. But this "exaggeration" in raising the status of `Ali (a.s.), in my view, did not warrant their expulsion from the Islamic creed altogether, despite many of their claims that the Shi'ahs prefer `Ali (a.s.) over the Seal of Prophets (a.s.), that they believe Archangel Gapiel made a mistake in pinging the divine message down, even the claim often put forth that they consider `Ali (a.s.) as the Lord, that they have a copy of the Qur'an different from theirs, in addition to other such claims.

But I did not pay attention to any of that because as long as I live, I shall never forget what my theology teacher at the high school once said: "Shi'ahs are many sects some of which do, indeed, regard `Ali (a.s.) as the Lord. But the Shi'ah Ithna-'Ashari (Twelvers) sect, also

[1] Sahabis are generally the companions of the Holy Prophet and, terminologically, every one, disregarding the age, who saw, heard or witnessed the Holy Prophet. Yet, various opinions have been given in this regard. For more information, see Ahmad Husayn Ya`qub: The Conception of the Sahabah's Ultimate Decency; translated by Badr Shahin, Ansariyan Publications - Qum, 1999.

9 called the Ja`fari sect, is the closest one to the Sunnis, and those who adhere to it are Muslims."

Since these words came from someone whose righteousness, piety, vast knowledge and information acquired, in addition to his moderation and subjectivity when criticizing those whose views differed from those of Islam or from his Sunni sect, these words kept ringing in my ears for many days and years. Add to this, the fact that I was very much influenced by one of my relatives who invited others to the Path of Allah and I have no doubt in his sincerity and concern about the unity of the Muslims—Sunnis and Shi'ahs. This concept found its firm grounds in my soul until it became a de facto reality especially when I came to know that most Sunni scholars and callers of our time regard the Shi'ahs as Muslims who believe in the Unity of Allah. Among them is the martyr Hassan al-Banna, the martyr Sayyid Qutb, `Allamah Mawdudi, Sheikh Muhammed Kashak, `Allamah Sheikh Muhammed al-Ghazali, Sheikh Muhmud Shaltut, Professor al-Bahansawi, al-Talmasani, Anwar al-Jundi, Hassan Ayyub, Sa`id Hawi, Fathi Yakun, Abu Zuhrah, Yusuf al-`Azm, [Prof. Rashid] al-Ghannachi and many, many others whose works I have been honored to read and which have filled the shelves of libraries frequented by a generation that is witnessing an Islamic resurgence.

Thus, no doubt ever entertained my mind that the Shi'ahs are Muslims. I did not make any distinction between a Sunni and a Shi'ah person because I decided to overlook their differences which in no way label one of them as "Muslim" and the other as "non-Muslim", differences the details of which I did not fully know, nor was I ready to even think about them or even research due to my feeling that there was no need to conduct such researches which require digging through history and arriving at mazes which do not get anyone to reach any outcome. I was convinced at that time that researching these differences was a norm of dissension from which one should stay away or discuss especially since both parties are Muslims. I looked upon the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs in the same light wherein I used to look at both `Ali (a.s.) and Mu`awiyah: that they 10 both were Muslims despite all what went on between them.

My trip to Western lands, in order to pursue my graduate study, coincided in the 1980s when this dissension intensified in heat and when many voices were raised warning against the Shi'ah creed, voices which were accompanied by charges against the Islamic revolution in Iran and against its leader who I believed was the real target of that campaign. Quite often, I found myself the object of criticism for no reason other than my conviction that the Shi'ahs were in no way apostates. Whenever I wanted to defend myself against one assault, the next assault came more fiercely than its predecessor, so much so that someone once said to me that I had to choose one path, that is, to clearly define my sect, since I could not be both a Sunni and at the same time a sympathizer with the Shi'ahs and a supporter of the Islamic revolution in Iran because this issue, in his view, was an issue of the "doctrine", one which did not permit any compromise. I cannot hide the fact that some hard and embarrassing moments confronted me because of my lack of knowledge of the details of the Shi'ah sect. I did not know how to respond to the claims of some people that the beliefs held by the Shi'ahs, such as Imamate, Infallibility, Taqiyyah (pious dissimulation) and labeling some sahabah as apostates took them out of the creed altogether. I

developed a great deal of interest in familiarizing myself with such “beliefs”. Thus, I found myself prompted to do what many others flee from: the pursuit of the truth, in an attempt to put an end to lengthy months of doubt and puzzlement.

But how would I do that? Shall I be satisfied with what Sunni writers, who consider the Shi'ahs as apostates, have written? I had by then read many of them and was not convinced by them at all because most of such writers departed from good manners and from the scientific spirit which mandates subjectivity and the providing of evidence. And should I be satisfied with the views of moderate Sunnis who consider the ideological differences between the Shi'ahs and the Sunnis as an artificial fuss? These include al-Ghazali, al-Bahansawi, `Izzid-Din Ipahim and others. But these

11 views did not solve the problem. Rather, they keep it suspended where it started.

I had no choice except to seek the truth from books written by the Shi'ahs themselves. But in the beginning I dismissed this option because I thought that in their works, the Shi'ahs would support their views from traditions narrated through their own venues which, of course, cannot be accepted by us [Sunnis]. But later I came to acquire a book titled Al-Muraja`at[1] as a loan from a friend of mine and with which I became familiar. Luckily, that friend, too, was like me: a seeker of the truth. In his turn, he had acquired this book from one of his Shi`ah friends who advised him to read it after my friend had requested him to give him a book that would make him familiar with the beliefs of the Shi'ahs.

Although the writer of this book, Al-Muraja`at, is a Shi`ah, yet he, to my great surprise, supports his arguments with regard to Shi`ah beliefs from books of tradition in circulation among Sunnis, especially both Sahih books. I actually found in it what encouraged me to seek the truth, the truth which puzzled and divided people. I always used to participate with my friends in researching and discussing the contents of this book which is comprised of correspondence between a Sunni scholar, namely Sheikh Salim al-Bishri, [then] rector of al-Azhar, and a Shi`ah Lebanese scholar, namely imam Sharafud-Din Sadr ad-Din al-`Amili al-Musawi. The said correspondence revolves around the most important issues wherein the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs differ.

I do not hide the fact that what I read in that book was a great surprise to me, and I do not exaggerate when I say that it was the shock of my life. I did not expect at all to find the difference

[1] The very first English translation of Al-Muraja`at titled Al-Muraja`at: A Shi`ite-Sunni Dialogue was completed by Yasin T. al-Jibouri and published in Beirut, Lebanon, in 1415 A.H./1995 A.D. by Imam Hussain Foundation. A larger-size second edition of the same was then published in Qum, Islamic Republic of Iran, by Ansariyan Publications in 1422 A.H./2001 A.D., and the entire translation is available on the Internet on this web site address: www.al-Islam.com. __ Tr.

12 between the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs to be as I saw it depicted in that book. I discovered that I was ignorant of the [Islamic] history and of hadith, as is the case with anyone who tackled this subject from among those whom I saw and met, including those who had Ph.D. degrees in Shari`ah (the Islamic code of law) as you will see from the details of this research. Because of the extent of the shock produced by the facts stated in that book, and despite the claim of its writer that he draws his arguments from the Qur'an and from both Sahih books [of al-Bukhari and Muslim, two of what is called Al-Sihah al-Sittah , the six books of authentic traditions held by the Sunnis as the most reliable], some of us started doubting the authenticity of these books, so much so that one of my friends said, "If what this Shi`ah writer claims is true, that is, there are such facts in al-Bukhari's Sahih book, I shall disbelieve in all traditions narrated by al-Bukhari from this day onward." But he did not mean what he said. He only meant to say that it was impossible for that Shi`ah writer to be accurate. We all felt that had the contents of his book been true, this would mean a lot for us in as far as our understanding of the truth behind the difference between the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs is concerned.

It became necessary to verify the contents of Al-Muraja`at from our own review of al-Bukhari's Sahih. Allah did, indeed, grant us success, after exerting a great deal of effort, in coming across a copy of al-Bukhari's Sahih book. I was not at all surprised when I found in al-Bukhari's Sahih all the places to which the Shi`ah writer referred. Some may wonder: "Why such emphasis on al-Bukhari's Sahih?" This is so because the arguments which he derives from the Book of Allah are often subject to interpretation, and one verse may bear more than one meaning, depending, of course, on one's own interpretation. For example, the verse saying, "He frowned and turned away because the blind man came to him..., etc." (Qur'an, 80:1-2). These couple of verses do not state the name of the person who frowned, nor that of the blind man, hence the role of tradition in explaining all of that. Thus, Al-Bukhari's Sahih came to occupy the first position with regard to "authenticity" after the Book of

13 Allah, according to the Sunnis who held themselves bound to accept all of its contents. This is why tradition solves any differences with regard to interpreting the verses of the Glorious Book of Allah.

Whenever I read additional books which deal with this topic, the truth kept getting clearer to me until in the end it manifested itself most gloriously in a way which accepts no doubt whatsoever. But the question which always kept bothering me revolved around the reason behind hiding so many historical events, as well as the traditions of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), despite their authentication in the references which the Sunnis regard as reliable and which may remove a great deal of ambiguity accompanying the issue of the differences between the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs during the past centuries. Is the method of hiding the facts, or enforcing a blackout in their regard, or creating confusion about them..., etc., can be accepted as a justification for avoiding dissension, as they claim? Is it not dissension when facts are hidden and distorted?!

When I started investigating this sensitive issue, my ultimate goal was to make sure whether the Shi'ahs are Muslims or not. I had no doubt at all that the method [of worship] of the followers of the "Sunnah and Jama`ah" was the right one. But after having reviewed, researched and carefully considered this matter, the result which I reached was an amazing contradiction, yet I did not hesitate for one moment to accept the fact which I discovered. Why should I not accept it so long as there are those who support it with proofs and evidences which all are considered by the Sunnis as accepted arguments, and so long as they agree with reason which Allah—the most Sublime the most High—regards as evidence against all creation?

The same fact has been accepted by a good number of our students, something which irritated some fanatics and those who issued verdicts that we [Shi'ahs] are apostates, even saying that it is not permissible to reciprocate the greeting whereby we greet them [Sunnis]. They circulated against us rumors the lightest of which was the receipt by everyone who becomes Shi`ah of \$300 from the

14 Iranian Embassy as a reward. As regarding al-Bukhari's Sahih, which we used as our argument against them, they said that it was a forgery and is not the true Sahih of al-Bukhari! Facing such ignorance and fanaticism on one hand, and seeing how oppressed the Shi'ahs are on the other, I was of the view to write a summary of my research then present it to every seeker of the truth so that people may review it as well. As long as there are those who tell lies about the Shi'ahs in order to mislead others, and there are some people who tell such lies, that doing so is permissible, the truth is worthier of being written and published. Despite the pains and the wounds which this book may cause to some fanatics, I ask them: "Who is to blame?!"

As for the book, which presents the views of both parties and the refutation of each with regard to the most significant issues of contention, there is no claim in it except that it is supported by proofs and arguments from what the Sunnis hold in high esteem and in which they believe, such as both Sahih books of al-Bukhari and Muslim in the first place. So, why do they not blame the ignorance which prohibited them from knowing these facts? Or did their fanatical religious leaders hide such facts deliberately from them? Or why do they not blame al-Bukhari and Muslim and others from among the scholars of hadith with regard to what they wrote in their books, texts which caused them such a shock?! But how can this be since the Sunnis have taken upon themselves to follow everything both Sahih books contain?

The sect of the Imamite Shi'ahs, to which we refer in this research, is the one the adherents to which believe in following the caliphate of `Ali (a.s.) and the rest of the twelve Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)^[1] after the Prophet (a.s.). Regarding other sects included

[1] The Ahl al-Bayt (People of the House) is a term dedicated to the family of the Holy Prophet.

More precisely, it is dedicated to definite individuals; namely, Imam `Ali ibn Abi-Talib, Fatimah al-Zahra` (the Holy Prophet's daughter and Imam `Ali's wife), al-Hasan ibn `Ali, and al-Husayn ibn `Ali. The nine Immaculate Imams (namely, `Ali ibn al-Husayn al-Sajjad, Muhammad ibn `Ali al-Baqir, Ja`far ibn Muhammad al-Sadiq, Musa ibn Ja`far al-Kazim, `Ali ibn Musa al-Rida, Muhammad ibn `Ali al-Jawad, `Ali ibn Muhammad al-Hadi, al-

15 under the label of "Shi`ah", such as those who believe in the godhead or prophethood of `Ali (a.s.), or other sects, the Shi'ahs dissociate themselves from them. So, why do some people insist that these sects are Shi`ah? And why do they and their likes undertake the circulation of such nonsense in order to mislead Muslim commoners and the ignorant ones among them? And why such shameful forgery in the history of the Muslims and in their tolerant creed?!

Hasan ibn `Ali al-`Askari, and al-Mahdi the Awaited) are also within the Ahl al-Bayt.

CHAPTER ONE :IMAMATE

16

Imamate or caliphate means leadership. It has become a term for leading the Muslims after the demise of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), a term which nobody can deny because leadership is an instinctive need for any group of people. Muslims, Sunnis or Shi'ahs, disagreed with regard to how to appoint an imam, or a caliph, and what role he should assume. This is one of the most serious of their disagreements, and other disagreements are no more than a natural outcome of this great difference. This is so because Imamate, as viewed by the Shi'ahs, has to be supported by a text from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), and it is specifically relevant to the Twelve Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.). Knowing the Islamic injunctions, following the departure from this world of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), is achieved only by referring to these Imams (a.s.) or to accurate transmissions reported about them. When their statements disagree with those of others, what the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) state must be accepted, since they are the safe custodians of the Sunnah of the Chosen One (al-Mustafa-Prophet Muhammad (a.s.)).

With regard to Imamate, the Sunnis say that an imam is to be elected according to the principle of shura (mutual consultation), but they do not object if such an imam is appointed through the recommendation of an outgoing caliph to the one who would be his successor, as was the case with caliph Abu Bakr who recommended `Umar to be his successor. Also, they permit caliphate to

be taken by force, by the sword, as was the case with the Umayyad, `Abbasid and Ottoman caliphates.

17

As regarding learning the Islamic injunctions, it according to them is to be acquired by consulting what is "authentic" of what the sahabah had narrated, without making any distinction among these sahabah. They, thus, regarded all sahabah as equitable and trustworthy despite the fact that many of them became involved in both battles of the Camel and of Siffin, and they took part in killing each other on those and other occasions, something which places a question mark about the "equity" of many of them and raises many questions. You will review ample details about the "equity" of the sahabah in a chapter to come, by the Will of Allah.

Since the case is as such, since there are differences between the Shi'ahs and the Sunnis, and before we issue a verdict labeling a particular sect as "invalid" or preferring one method over another, we ought to take the time to look into the proofs and arguments of each party. We have dedicated our research for this purpose. We will be summarizing the texts which the Shi'ahs regard as proofs for upholding their Imamite sect as well as the rebuttal of the Sunnis of the same:

- 1) Proofs Confirming the Imamate of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)
- 2) Proofs Confirming the Number of Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)
- 3) Proofs Regarding the Appointment by the Prophet (a.s.) of `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.) [as his successor]

TEXTS RELEVANT TO IMAMATE

18

1) Proofs Confirming the Imamate of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)

Texts quoted from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) referring to the Imamate, after his demise, of the nation's Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) are numerous; here are the most famous among them:

According to Muslim's Sahih, relying on isnad[1] which goes back to Zaid ibn Arqam, the

Messenger of Allah (a.s.), so Zaid narrated, said,

"O people! I am a human upon whom the messenger of my Lord is about to call. I will surrender to the call, and I am leaving among you two weighty things: the first of them is the Book of Allah wherein there is guidance and nur (illumination). So, take the Book of Allah, for in it there is guidance and there is nur. Uphold the Book of Allah and adhere to it. And (the other are) my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.). I commend to you, in the Name of Allah, my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.); I commend to you, in the Name of Allah, my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.); I commend to you, in the Name of Allah, my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)."[2]

In al-Tirmidhi's Sahih, through isnad traced to Jabir ibn `Abdullah [al-Ansari], the latter said, "I saw the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) on the Day of `Arafah when he performed his [last] pilgrimage. He was riding his she-camel al-Qaswa'. He delivered a sermon, and I heard him saying,

O people! I have left among you that, so long as you uphold to it, you shall never stray: The Book of Allah and my

[1] isnad is a list of authorities who have transmitted a Hadith of a statement, action, or approbation of the Holy Prophet; its reliability determines the validity of a Hadith. The isnad precedes the actual text (matn) and takes the form, "It has been related to me by A on the authority of B on the authority of C on the authority of D that the Holy Prophet said..."

[2] Muslim's Sahih, in a chapter about the virtues of `Ali (a.s.), Vol. 5, p. 272, published by Dar Sadir, citing al-Nawawi's Sharh.

19 `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt'."[1]

Had there been only this hadith, it would have sufficed to prove the authenticity of the Shi`ah sect which obligates clinging to the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) in addition to clinging to the Glorious Book of Allah. We find in this hadith the order of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), as clearly as can be, that we should uphold the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) after his demise, and that such upholding, in addition to adhering to the Glorious Qur'an, is the condition for one's salvation versus straying.

Although Muslim and many other scholars of hadith from among the Sunnis have included this hadith in their Sahih and musnad books, it is to my great amazement that I find most Sunnis not familiar with it. They deny it when they hear about it, as if it does not exist, saying that what is accurate in this regard is what Abu Hurayrah had said, that is, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, "I have left among you two things that will never let you stray so long as you adhere to them or act upon them: the Book of Allah and my Sunnah." [2] Having investigated the source of this tradition, I found out that it was not recorded in any of the Sahih books. Al-Bukhari, al-Nisa'i, al-Dhahbi and

others have labeled it as "weak (da`if)"[3]. It is narrated by al-Hakim in his Mustadrak which, according to the consensus of Sunni scholars, is regarded as being less [in prestige] than the Sahih book of Muslim who stated it in this wording:

"...the Book of Allah and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)."

Even if we suppose there is no difference between both narratives, we have to surrender to the fact that what is meant by the phrase "my Sunnah", as it exists in al-Hakim's narrative, is the Sunnah derived from the venue of the Household of the Prophet (a.s.), not from that of others, as is quite obvious in Muslim's narrative. As for sticking to the narrative of al-Hakim wherein he says, "... the Book of Allah and my Sunnah," rejecting Muslim's version of "... the

[1]Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 308.

[2] Al-Hakim, Al-Mustadrak, Vol. 1, p. 93.

[3] Ibid.

"Book of Allah and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)," this goes against not only the consensus of the Sunni scholars of hadith, who all regard the traditions narrated by Muslim with higher regards than those narrated by al-Hakim, it is also contrary to logic and reason because the word "Sunnah" by itself as narrated by al-Hakim does not convey a specific meaning, since all Islamic sects claim they follow the Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.). Moreover, there are many differences among these sects, and the reasons behind such differences are rendered to the differences in how the Prophet's Sunnah was transmitted to them, i.e. through various venues, the Sunnah which explains and complements the Holy Qur'an, the Sunnah the accuracy of which is agreed upon by all Islamic sects. Hence, the differences in the transmitted hadith led also to differences in interpreting the Qur'an. The Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.), therefore, became many Sunnahs and the Muslims, accordingly, split into sects and groups which are said to number thirty-seven. So, which of these Sunnahs is worthier of being followed? This question comes naturally to the mind of anyone who deeply discerns such differences. The above-quoted hadith came to respond to such differences so that the Muslims would not be left puzzled with regard to their Islamic faith following the departure from this world of the one who conveys it to them. This is why there have been sacred instructions by the Prophet (a.s.) mandating that the purified Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.) must be derived from the venue of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) of the Prophet (a.s.), those who are described by the Qur'an as tahir, Purified, a description which is quite clear and accepts no other meaning. Such a derivation, and only such a derivation, pings security against dissension and straying.

It is here that two questions are put forth. The picture can never be completely clear unless we answer them:

First: Who are "the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)" to whom reference is made by the tradition cited above?

Second: Why did the said tradition specify the derivation [of the Islamic injunctions] only from the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) rather than from all the sahabah, as the Sunnis advocate?

WHO ARE THE AHL AL-BAYT?

21

In his Sahih, relying on the isnad of Safiyyah daughter of Shaybah, Muslim quotes the latter saying that `A'ishah said,

"The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) came out wearing an unsown garment of black [camel] hair. He sought al-Hasan ibn `Ali (a.s.) and let him in. Then al-Husain (a.s.) came and he let him, too, in. Then Fatimah (a.s.) came in and he let her, too, in. Then `Ali (a.s.) came. He let him, too. Then he said [i.e. quoted the following verse], 'Surely Allah wishes to remove all abomination from you, O People of the House [of the Prophet] and to purify you with a perfect purification' (Qur'an, 33:33)."[1]

Also in Muslim's Sahih we read the following:

"When this verse was revealed: 'Say: Come! Let us gather together our sons and your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves, then let us earnestly pray and invoke Allah's curse on the liars' (Qur'an, 3:61), the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) called upon `Ali (a.s.), Fatimah (a.s.), al-Hasan (a.s.) and al-Husain (a.s.) then said, 'Lord! These are my Ahl al-Bayt'."[2]

From both of these traditions, it is quite clear that the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), during the lifetime of the Prophet (a.s.), were: `Ali (a.s.), Fatimah (a.s.), and both their sons (a.s.).

But What About the Wives of the Prophet (a.s.)?

In his Sahih, Muslim quotes Zaid ibn Arqam citing the Prophet (a.s.) saying,

"I am leaving with you two weighty things: one of them is the Book of Allah, the most Exalted, the most Great, and it

[1] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 287, in a chapter dealing with the virtues of al-Hasan and al-Husain, published by Dar al-Sha`b.

[2] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 268 (Dar al-Sha`b), in a chapter dealing with the virtues of Ali.

22

is the Rope of Allah; whoever adheres to it is guided and whoever abandons it strays."

In the same tradition, people inquired whether his Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) included his wives. "No," said he,

"By Allah! A woman remains with the man for a period of time, then he may divorce her, whereupon she returns to her father and people. His Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) come from his loins, his nearest in kin who are prohibited from taking charity after his demise." [1]

To quote al-Tirmidhi's Sahih, where the compiler relies on the authority of `Amr ibn Abu Salamah, who was raised by the Prophet (a.s.), `Amr said,

"When this verse was revealed: 'Surely Allah wishes to remove all abomination from you, O People of the House [of the Prophet] and to purify you with a perfect purification' (Qur'an, 33:33)' at the house of Umm Salamah, the Prophet (a.s.) called upon Fatimah (a.s.), Hasan (a.s.) and Husain (a.s.). He put a garment over them while `Ali (a.s.) was behind him. He placed the garment over them all then supplicated thus: 'Lord! These are my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.); so, do remove abomination from them and purify them with a perfect purification.' Umm Salamah asked him, 'May I be included with them, O Prophet of Allah?' He said, 'Stay where you are, and you are in goodness.'" [2]

In his Musnad, [imam] Ahmed [ibn Hanbal] quotes Umm Salamah saying,

"The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said to Fatimah (a.s.): 'Bring me your husband and both sons.' She brought them in. He put a garment made in Fadak then put his hand on them and said, 'Lord! These are the Progeny of Muhammed; so,

[1] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 274.

[2] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 209.

23

let Your salutations and blessings be upon Muhammed and the Progeny of Muhammed; surely You are the Praised One, the most Glorified.' I lifted the garment in order to join them, but he pulled it from my hand and said, 'You are in goodness.'"[1]

Despite the clarity of the previous proofs in identifying who the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) are, some people oppose it and base their argument on the following verses from Surat al-Ahzab (Chapter 33 of the Holy Qur'an), claiming that the term "the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)" includes the wives of the Prophet (a.s.):

O Prophet! Say to your consorts: "If you desire the life of this world, and its glitter, then come! I will provide for your enjoyment and set you free in a handsome manner. But if you seek Allah and His Prophet, and the abode of the hereafter, truly Allah has prepared a great reward for the well-doers from among you." O consorts of the Prophet! If any of you were guilty of evident unseemly conduct, the punishment would be doubled to her, and that is easy for Allah. But any of you who is devout in the service of Allah and His Prophet, and does righteous deeds, to her We shall grant a reward twice as much and We have prepared a generous sustenance for her. O consorts of the Prophet! You are not like any (other) women: If you fear (Allah), do not be too complaisant of speech, lest one in whose heart there is a disease should be moved with desire: But speak a speech (that is) just. And stay in your houses, do not make a dazzling display, like that in the former times of ignorance, establish regular prayer and give regular charity, and obey Allah and His Prophet. And Allah only wishes to remove all abomination from you, you members of the family, and to make you pure and spotless. (Qur'an, 33:28-33)

As is quite clear, the argument of those who say that "the Ahl al-

[1] Ahmed, Musnad, Vol. 6, p. 306.

24

Bayt (a.s.)" is a term which includes the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) is based on "... And Allah only wishes to remove all abomination from you, you members of the family, and to make you pure and spotless" falling in the same verse a portion of which deals with the wives of the Prophet (a.s.).

This claim can be refuted from many angles; here are some of them:

1. The revelation of Qur'anic verses in reference to threatening the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) that they could be divorced followed by the Will of Allah to purify the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) with a perfect purification does not necessarily mean that on both occasions, the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) are

implied simply because there are many verses in the Holy Qur'an of this sort containing two different issues. The reason why they both fall in the same verse is perhaps due to their coincidentally took place at the same time. One such an example is derived from these verses:

"Forbidden to you (for food) are: dead meat, blood, the flesh of swine and that on which a name other than that of Allah has been invoked, that which has been killed by strangling, or by a violent blow, or by a headlong fall, or by being gored to death, that which has been (partly) eaten by a wild animal, unless you are able to slaughter it (in due way), that which is sacrificed on stone (altars). The division (of meat) by raffling with arrows is also (forbidden): That is impiety. This Day those who reject faith have given up all hope of your religion: Yet do not fear them, but fear Me. This Day I have perfected your religion for you, completed My favor upon you, and have chosen Islam for you as your religion" (Qur'an, 5:3).

You find in this verse how the subject revolving round the perfecting of the creed falls in the middle of the subject dealing with prohibitive foods!

2. What underscores the fact that the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) are not included in the meaning of this verse is that the subject relevant

25

to the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) came in an Arabic pronoun specifically relevant to a group of females, whereas when the topic shifted to the purification of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), the pronoun changed to one relevant to a group of males.

3. The previously quoted authentic traditions recorded in the Sahih books of both Muslim and al-Timidhi, as well as in Ahmed's Musnad and in others all prove unequivocally that the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) are not included among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.). When Umm Salamah, may Allah be pleased with her, asked the Prophet (a.s.), "May I be included with them, O Prophet of Allah?" He said to her, "Stay where you are, and you are in goodness." In Muslim's narrative, people inquired whether his wives were among his Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), and the answer came in the negative.

4. In the tradition of the two weighty things (Thaqalayn) which Muslim, Ahmed and others narrate, the Prophet (a.s.) is cited as having said,

"O people! I am leaving among you two things which, so long as you uphold them [both simultaneously], you shall never stray: the Book of Allah and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt."

It is quite clear that they have to be followed [with regard to all religious and secular issues]. If we

suppose, just for the sake of debating, that the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) are the ones meant, or implied, in this tradition, in what way will the Muslims uphold them after the demise of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), bearing in mind that they were obliged to remain in their homes? How would one answer this question, knowing that they all lived in one and the same century? If one says that upholding them means citing the traditions from them, we would respond by saying that among them are those who did not narrate one single tradition!

The "abomination" [rijs] which occurs in the verse saying, "... And Allah only wishes to remove all abomination from you, you members of the family, and to make you pure and spotless" means

26

linguistically something filthy: a reference to sinning, while taharah (cleanliness) linguistically connotes piety. The meaning of the will of the Almighty, Praised and Glorified is He, to remove abomination from them, is to clear them of any sin and to raise their status above committing anything which points out to shortcomings in them. A sin, no matter how minor, is indicative of a flaw in the person who commits it. This means that Allah Almighty wanted to purify the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) from committing any sin, minor or major, and this is nothing but a proof of Infallibility and, hence, purification.

As regarding what is said that the meaning of "purification" in this verse is merely an indication of religious piety, that is, their own avoidance of committing what Allah has prohibited them from committing while acting upon His Commandments, this claim is rejected because "purification" in such a sense is not relevant only to the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) but to all Muslims. The Muslims are all obliged to act upon the injunctions of their creed:

"Allah does not desire to put any hardship on you but to purify you, and so that He may complete His favor on you, perhaps you will be grateful" (Qur'an, 5:6).

Thus, if we agree that those regarding whom this verse was revealed are infallible, we will find out that the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) are not among them because they are not infallible, let alone the fact that nobody, be he from the early generations or from the latter ones, made such a claim, knowing fully well that the Prophet (a.s.) threatened to divorce them and made other threats against some of them as you will see in a chapter to come.

Additional Proofs For The Infallibility Of the Ahl al-Bayt

1. hadith al-Thaqalain: Text of the tradition of the two weighty things: "O people! I am leaving among you two things which, so long as you uphold to them [both simultaneously], you shall

never stray: the Book of Allah and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt," where there is a directive from the Prophet (a.s.) that the condition for not straying is upholding the Book of Allah (a.s.) and his `itrah,

27

Progeny. It is not rational for anyone who believes there is a possibility that there is something wrong, or any crookedness, in it can expect it to be a safe haven against straying. This proves the Infallibility of both weighty things: the Book of Allah, i.e. the greater weight which no falsehood can approach from front or back, and the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), the great weight.

2. This Qur'anic Verse: "And remember that Apaham was tried by his Lord with certain commands which he fulfilled. He said, 'I will make you an Imam (guide) to the nations.' He pleaded: 'What about my offspring?!' He answered, 'My promise is not within the reach of evil-doers'" (Qur'an, 2:124). Besides pointing out to the lofty status of Imamate, this verse also indicates that the "promise" of Allah, that is, Imamate, cannot be the lot of an oppressor. A sin, minor or major, renders one who commits it an oppressor. Hence, an Imam has to be divinely protected from committing any sin or wrongdoing.

3. Evidence in Mustadrak al-Sahihain: Relying on the isnad of Hanash al-Kinani, al-Hakim cites the man saying that he heard Abu Tharr saying the following as he was holding to the door of he Ka`bah:

"O people! Whoever knows me, I am who I am, and whoever does not, I am Abu Tharr. I heard the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) saying, 'The similitude of my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) among you is like the ark of Noah: whoever boards it is safe [from drowning], and who ever lags behind it is drowned.'" [1]

Al-Hakim adds saying that the isnad of this tradition is authentic.

4. Also in Mustadrak al-Sahihain: Through the isnad traced to Ibn `Abbas, the same reference cites Ibn `Abbas quoting the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) saying,

"The stars offer security for the people of the earth against

[1] Al-Hakim, Mustadrak al-Sahihain, Vol. 2, p. 343.

28

drowning, while my Ahl al-Bayt are the security of my nation against dissension. If a tribe from among the Arabs opposes them, it will become the party of Iblis." [1]

5. In al-Bukhari's Sahih: In order to further clarify the lofty status with which the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) were blessed, we would like to quote some traditions narrated in al-Bukhari's Sahih and which address the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) with "alaihimus-salam" (peace be upon them). They, rather than anyone else from among all the sahabah or the wives of the Prophet (a.s.), were thus addressed. Following are examples narrated by al-Bukhari in his Sahih:

`Ali (a.s.) has said, "I used to have an established portion of the war booties, and the Prophet (a.s.) gave me an established portion of the khums. When I was going to have a daughter by Fatimah (a.s.), peace be upon her, daughter of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)..., etc."[2]

Al-Bukhari also wrote saying,

"... and the Prophet (a.s.) knocked at the door of Fatimah (a.s.) and `Ali (a.s.), peace be upon both of them, on a night for the prayers..., etc."[3]

In another narration, the following is stated:

"... He said, 'I saw the Prophet (a.s.), and al-Hasan (a.s.) son of `Ali (a.s.), peace be upon both of them, looked like him..., etc.'"[4]

Also, the following is stated in the same reference:

"... from `Ali (a.s.) son of al-Husain (a.s.), peace be upon both of them, he told him..., etc."[5]

[1] Al-Hakim, Mustadrak al-Sahihain, Vol. 3, p. 149.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 171, in the Book of Sales, in a chapter dealing with handicrafts (published by the modern Riyadh library).

[3] Ibid., Vol. 2, p. 126, in the Book of Tahajjud.

[4] Ibid., Vol. 4, p. 486, in the Book of Merits, in a chapter about how the Prophet (a.s.) looked like.

[5] Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 418, in the Book of Tawhid, in a chapter dealing with the will and the power of determination.

29

One may argue saying that this does not prove their distinction, but the question will then be, "Why then were they, rather than anyone else, thus greeted?"

6. Evidence From hadith: The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) has ordered anyone who blesses him to also bless his Progeny concurrently. In a tradition recorded by al-Bukhari in his Sahih, relying on the isnad of `Abdul-Rahman ibn Abu Layl?, it is recorded,

"... He said, 'Ka`b ibn `Ajjah met me and said, 'Grant me a gift!' The Prophet (a.s.) came out to see us, so we said to him, 'O Messenger of Allah! We have already come to know how to greet you, but how should we bless you?' He (a.s.) said, 'You should say: O Allah! Bless Muhammed (a.s.) and the Progeny of Muhammed (a.s.) as You blessed Iphim and the progeny of Iphim; surely You are the oft-Praised, the oft-Glorified'." [1]

The point of connection in this tradition between our master Iphim, peace be upon him and upon his progeny, on one hand, and our master Muhammed (a.s.) and his Progeny on the other is that Iphim (a.s.) was also a prophet, and his offspring were prophets to whom people referred after his demise. Likewise, the offspring of Muhammed (a.s.) were the custodians of the Message pought by Muhammed (a.s.). The Muslims were ordered to refer to them after the demise of the Chosen One (a.s.) except they were Imams (a.s.), not prophets, as was the case with the progeny of Iphim. In a dialogue between the Prophet (a.s.) and `Ali (a.s.), the Prophet (a.s.) said,

"Are you not pleased that your status with me is like that of Aaron to Moses except there is no prophet after me?" [2]

We will later discuss this tradition.

It is concluded from all the above that Allah, the most Sublime and

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 8, p. 245, in the Book of Supplications, in a chapter dealing with saluting the Prophet (a.s.).

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 492, in the Book of Military Campaigns, in a chapter dealing with the Tabuk Campaign.

30

the most Great, specifically granted purification and Infallibility to the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) in their capacity as the ones to fill the vacuum left by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) with regard to transmitting the Message to future generations, to safeguard it from those who distort or cast doubt about it. What is the benefit of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) conveying the Divine Shari`ah if it is not safeguarded after his death by trustworthy persons? What happened to past creeds suffices to answer this question. The followers of the latter creeds used to derive their legislation from any source after the departure from this world of those who conveyed such creeds to them.

This is why distortion afflicted them as the most Great and the most Exalted One has said:

"Can you (O men of faith!) entertain the hope that they will believe in you, seeing that a party of them heard the word of Allah, and distorted it knowingly after having understood it?" (Qur'an, 2:75).

It needs not mentioned that safeguarding the texts of the Qur'an against any addition or deletion is not by itself sufficient at any rate to safeguard the Divine Shari`ah from being distorted. Imamate, thus, is considered as an extension of prophethood with regard to its general functions except what is relevant to the wahy (Divine Revelation), which is one of the particularities of prophethood. What is meant by the Imamate being the extension of prophethood is the safeguarding of the Shari`ah with knowledge and application. Hence, the Infallibility of the Imams (a.s.) is a must for transmitting the divine legislation to posterity via pure and genuine venues represented by the Twelve Imams (a.s.) who all belong to the Household of the Prophet (a.s.).

2) Proofs Confirming the Number of Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)

The Chosen One (a.s.) has stated that the Imams, or caliphs, after him were from the tribe of Quraish-to which the Prophet (a.s.) belongs, and that their number is twelve. Relying on the authority of Jabir ibn Samrah, al-Bukhari quotes Jabir saying that he heard

31

the Prophet (a.s.) saying,

"There shall be twelve amirs..."

He goes on to say that the Prophet (a.s.) said something which he (Jabir) did not hear, adding,

"My father said to me [that what I did not hear was:] 'All of them are from Quraish.'" [1]

In Muslim's Sahih, one hadith reads:

"The faith shall remain standing until the time of the Hour, or you will be ruled by twelve caliphs, all from Quraish." [2]

In the same reference, the following text exists:

"People's affairs will be in effect so long as they are ruled by twelve men." [3]

In Ahmed's Musnad, where the compiler relies on the isnad of `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud, the latter says that he once asked the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) about those "caliphs." The Prophet (a.s.) said to him,

"They are twelve in number, as many as the tribes of the Children of Israel." [4]

A text in the Torah of the People of the Book (ahl al-Kitab) carries this meaning:

"Allah Almighty conveyed the glad tiding of [the birth of] Ishmael to Apaham and that He would multiply his progeny exceedingly and ping about from among his offspring twelve princes and a great nation." [5]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 250, in the Book of Ahkam, in a chapter titled "There will be twelve princes."

[2] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 482, in the Book of Imarah, in a chapter about "People to follow Quraish" (Dar al-Sha`b), mentioned in al-Nawawi's Sharh.

[3] Ibid.

[4] Ahmed, Musnad, Vol. 1, p. 389.

[5] This is an indication to Genesis, 17:20: "And for Ishmael I have heard thee: behold, I will bless him, and will make him fruitful, and will very greatly multiply him; twelve princes will he beget, and I will make him a great nation." Derby's Version of the Bible.

32

The "great nation" referred to here is the nation of our master Muhammed (a.s.) whose lineage descended from Ishmael, peace be upon him. As for the twelve princes, they are the Imams (a.s.), or the caliphs, who succeeded the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and who also descended from him. They are the ones referred to in the authentic traditions cited above.

This issue may be regarded as the most perplexing to the Sunni scholars who could not provide one single explanation, or any convincing argument, identifying these twelve caliphs referred to by many authentic traditions recorded in their own Sahih books, so much so that this issue has become a puzzling riddle to them. Their interpretations of it are shaky, often reaching a dead end because of the inapplicability of the number "twelve" to any group of caliphs starting from the first four and passing by the Umayyads, the `Abbasids and the Ottomans, or are they to be selected from all of these?!

We would like to ping about an example portraying the extent of their confusion while

interpreting this tradition: Al-Sayyuti has said,

"From among the twelve [caliphs] are: the [first] four caliphs, al-Hasan (a.s.), Mu`awiyah, [ʿAbdullah] ibn al-Zubayr, ʿUmar ibn ʿAbdul-ʿAziz. These are eight, and it is possible that al-Mahdi, the ʿAbbasid [caliph] may be added to them since he is to the ʿAbbasids what ʿUmar ibn ʿAbdul-ʿAziz is to the Umayyads. And al-tahir, the ʿAbbasid [caliph], too, [is among them] on account of his equity. Two remain; these are the awaited ones; one of them is Al-Mahdi because he belongs to the Ahl al-Bayt." [1]

When we talk about their puzzlement in solving the "riddle" of the twelve caliphs, we mean their scholars are the ones who are puzzled. As for their commoners, they most often never heard such traditions which fix the number of the successors of the Messenger

[1] Al-Sayyuti, Tarikh, p. 12.

33 of Allah (a.s.) or the hadith which enjoins upholding the two weighty things and many others which all point out to the merits of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) despite such occurrence in their Sahih books. I was quite astonished when Dr. Ahmed Nawfal, a professor at the College of Shari`ah, University of Jordan, as I debated with him, said that the tradition of the twelve caliphs is of my own invention, and that it does not exist in the Sunni books of hadith. Having said so, he immediately left, refusing to continue the debate. This took place after he had delivered a lecture in Manila, answering questions raised by some attendants about the origin of Shi'ahs and Shi'ism. His answers were contrary to the truth, thus prompting me to oppose his falsification. I provided some traditions which prove that Shi'ahs follow Muhammed (a.s.), not Ibn Saba', as he claimed. We do not, by mentioning this incident, mean to scandalize this virtuous professor, may Allah forgive him. We simply like to point out to the truth which has to be made clear, that is, fanaticism prompts some people to do more than that. This is really strange. How can one have the courage to answer questions about a subject while he is ignorant of the basic facts relevant to it? What if the issue deals with religious affairs? What is the judgment against one who issues verdicts without knowledge? Surely there is no power nor might except in Allah.

So, while we see the Sunnis puzzled by the "riddle" of the twelve caliphs, while many of them are ignorant of the glittering authentic traditions leading to it, Imamite Shi'ahs, followers of the Household of the family of the Prophet (a.s.), have already clarified the matter in this regard, explaining that those implied in the traditions cited above are the Twelve Imams (a.s.) from among the family of the Prophet (a.s.). Moreover, they derived proofs from traditions narrated through the venue of the Purified ʿitrah and which exist in their books of hadith clearly stating their names in a way which leaves no room for doubt. They are:

1. `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.), Amirul-Mu'minin (the Commander of the Faithful)

34

2. Al-Hasan ibn `Ali (a.s.), al-Sibt (the oldest grandson of the Prophet [a.s])

3. Al-Husain (a.s.) ibn `Ali (a.s.), Sayyidul-Shuhad?' (the master of martyrs)

4. `Ali ibn al-Husain (a.s.), Zainul-`abidin (the best of worshipers)

5. Muhammed ibn `Ali (a.s.), al-Baqir (the one who pierces through knowledge)

6. Ja`far ibn Muhammed (a.s.), al-Sadiq (the truthful)

7. Musa ibn Ja`far (a.s.), al-Kazim (the one who suppresses his anger)

8. `Ali ibn Musa (a.s.), al-Rida (the one who accepts destiny)

9. Muhammed ibn `Ali (a.s.), al-Jawad (the generous one)

10. `Ali ibn Muhammed (a.s.), al-Hadi (the guide)

11. Al-Hasan ibn `Ali (a.s.), al-`Askari (belonging to the town of `Askar)

12. Muhammed ibn al-Hasan (a.s.), Al-Mahdi al-Munta`ar (the awaited savior, the divinely-guided one, may Allah hasten his holy reappearance).

3) Proofs Regarding the Appointment by the Prophet (a.s.) of `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.)

We have already explained the proofs testifying to the Imamate of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) and the number of caliphs from among them as stated by the Prophet (a.s.) who indicated that they should be his successors in his nation. Following are proofs regarding the appointment by the Prophet (a.s.) of `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.). In addition to the above, there are unequivocal proofs testifying to the same, especially to the hadith of the two weighty things.

Among the most famous narratives regarding the caliphate of `Ali (a.s.) is the one known as the sermon of al-Ghadir after the conclusion of the Farewell Pilgrimage (Hijjatul-Wad?) in 11 A.H.

35

(632 A.D.) It was there and then that the Prophet (a.s.) declared to the people stating, at its conclusion, as narrated by al-Tirmidhi who relies on the isnad traced to Zaid ibn Arqam, the following:

"To whosoever I have been the master, `Ali henceforth is his master ...etc."[1]

Ibn Majah has included in his Sahih a portion of this detailed sermon through isnad traced to al-Bara' ibn `azib who said, "We accompanied the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) during his pilgrimage. He alighted at a distance of the road and ordered congregational prayers to be held. Then he took the hand of `Ali (a.s.) and said, 'Do not I have more rights on the Muslims than the Muslims

themselves have?' They answered in the affirmative. Then he said, 'Do not I have right over every believer more than he himself has?' They answered in the affirmative. He then said,

'This [ʿAli] is the master of whoever accepted me as his master. Lord! Be the friend of anyone who befriends him, and be the enemy of whoever antagonizes him.'"[2]

It exists in the Musnad of Ahmed ibn Hanbal who relies on the isnad of also al-Bara' ibn ʿAzib. The latter says, "We were in the company of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) on a trip. We stayed at Ghadir Khumm. We were called upon to perform congregational prayers. A couple of trees were swept under for the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) who performed the noon prayers then took the hand of ʿAli (a.s.) and said, 'Do not you know that I have more rights on the believers than the believers themselves have?' They answered in the affirmative. He (a.s.) asked them, 'Do not you know that I have more rights on every believer than the believer himself has?' They answered in the affirmative. He then took ʿAli (a.s.) by the hand and said,

'To whomsoever I have been the master, ʿAli [henceforth] is his master. O Lord! Befriend whoever befriends him and

[1] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 298.

[2] Ibn Majah, Sunan, Vol. 1, p. 43, in a chapter about the distinction of ʿAli ibn Abi Talib (a.s.) (published by Dar Ihya' al-Turath al-ʿArabi).

36

be the enemy of whoever antagonizes him.'

ʿUmar ibn al-Khattab met him thereafter and said to him, 'Congratulations to you, O son of Abu Talib! You have received the dawn and the sunset as the master of every believing man and woman.'"[1]

This hadith is famous as the "Ghadir hadith" on account of this incident taking place at an area known as "Ghadir Khumm" (Khummm swamp) which is located near Mecca. This is something the authenticity of which nobody can doubt especially since it has been narrated in many Sunni books of hadith, so much so that some scholars have stated as many as eighty venues for it only from the Sunnis.

It becomes clear from the previous traditions that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) extracted the Muslims' admission of his mastership over them when he asked them, "Do not you know that I have more rights on the believers than the believers themselves have?... Do not you know that I have more rights on every believer than the believer himself has?" It is understood that anyone

who enjoys the status of having more authority over the believers than the believers themselves have is the believers' leader as was, indeed, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) a leader. When he included `Ali (a.s.) besides himself in such a description by saying, "To whomsoever I have been the master, `Ali [henceforth] is his master," he practically bestowed upon `Ali (a.s.) the leadership after his own demise.

Shi'ahs celebrate this occasion every year on the 18th of Dhul-Hijjah which they call "«d al-Ghadir." As for the Sunnis, they interpret this hadith differently, claiming it does not refer to any caliphate. They interpret the word "mawla" [which exists in the original Arabic text] as "loved one" or "friend," not "wali amr," person in charge. In their view, the meaning of this tradition is: "Anyone whose friend I am, this `Ali is his friend, too"!!! The fact is that the word "mawla" has many meanings in Arabic. It is said that it has

[1] Ahmed, Musnad, Vol. 4, p. 281.

37

seventeen meanings including "one who is emancipated" or "servant," etc. The word "mawla" in this hadith is to be understood, besides what is stated above through many proofs, to connote leadership. Among such proofs are the following:

1. The verse saying, "O Messenger! Deliver what has been revealed to you from your Lord, and if you do not do it, then you will have not delivered His message (at all), and Allah will protect you from the (evil) people" (Qur'an, 5:67) which was revealed, as stated in many books of tafsir, shortly before the Ghadir sermon. It contains the sense that there is an order from Allah Almighty that has to be conveyed, and this order, as the wording of the verse suggests and from its very sharp tone, is of an extreme significance, point in the direction that what is meant is not mere friendship and support.
2. The verse saying "This Day have I perfected your religion for you, completed My favor upon you, and have chosen Islam for you as your religion" (Qur'an, 5:4) was revealed, according to many scholars of exegesis of the Holy Qur'an, after the Ghadir incident. It conveys the completion of conveying Muhammed's message, something which could not have been completed without the appointment of `Ali (a.s.) and the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) in general as the masters. It is far-fetched to say that the conveying became complete when the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was told about his friendship with and love for `Ali (a.s.)!
3. The circumstances during which the Prophet (a.s.) delivered the Ghadir sermon, in a burning desert, after having ordered the Muslims, who were said to have numbered more than ninety

thousand, to assemble in order to extract from them an admission that Allah and His Messenger were their masters before ordering them to accept the mastership of `Ali (a.s.) proves that the matter was not relevant to merely loving and befriending `Ali (a.s.).

4. The previous aHadith (pl. of hadith), especially the one about the Two Weighty Things, in addition to the following ones, point as a whole to the caliphate of `Ali (a.s.) without permitting any room for doubt.

ADDITIONAL PROOFS FOR `ALI'S CALIPHATE

38

In al-Tirmidhi's Sahih, relying on the isnad of `Imran ibn Hasin, the latter says, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) dispatched an army under the command of `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.). The campaign was carried out, and `Ali (a.s.) won a female captive as his share of the booty. Some people faulted him for doing so. Four of the companions of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) pledged to complain against him to the Prophet (a.s.). With signs of anger on his holy face clearly visible, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said to them,

"What do you want from `Ali? `Ali is from me, and I am from `Ali, and he is the master of every believer after me." [1]

And consider the following verse of the Almighty:

"Your Master is Allah and His Messenger and the Believers who uphold prayers and pay zakat even while prostrating (Qur'an, 5:58)."

Most Sunni scholars of exegesis have stated that it was revealed in honor of `Ali (a.s.) when he gave his ring by way of charity, as he was prostrating during his performance of the prayers, to a poor man. In al-Bukhari's Sahih, Mus`ab ibn Sa`d quotes his father saying, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) marched out to Tabuk after having left `Ali (a.s.) behind. `Ali (a.s.) said to him, 'Are you going to leave me with the children and the women?' He (a.s.) said to him,

'Are you not pleased that your status to me is like that of Aaron to Moses except there shall be no prophet after me?'" [2]

This tradition proves that `Ali (a.s.) had all the positions occupied by Aaron (a.s.) among the Children of Israel with the exception of prophethood and which is explained by the Almighty, Praise and Exaltation are His, in these verses:

"And give me a minister from my family, Aaron, my pother. Add to my strength through him, and make him

[1] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 297.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 492, the book of military campaigns.

39

share my task: So that we may celebrate Your praise without stint and remember You without stint: For You are He Who (ever) regards us.' (Allah) said, 'Your prayer is granted, O Moses!'"

It is clear from these verses that Aaron (a.s.) was a vizier of Moses (a.s.), a special aide and a partner in leading the nation.

What emphasizes this lofty status in his appointment as the caliph of the nation is that he was the most knowledgeable among all the sahabah according to what al-Bukhari narrates from `Umar ibn al-Khattab. Ibn `Abbas has said, "`Umar said,

'The one who recites the Qur'an the best is my father, while the most judicious among us is `Ali.'"[1]

One who is the most knowledgeable of the injunctions and the laws, as is well known, is the one who makes the best judge. Suffices to prove that he is the most knowledgeable among all the companions and the wisest is that he was the gate of the city of knowledge of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). In Mustadrak al-Sahihayn, relying on the isnad of Ibn `Abbas, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said,

"I am the city of knowledge and `Ali (a.s.) is its gate. Whoever seeks knowledge has to approach through the gate."[2]

In al-Tirmidhi's Sahih, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) is quoted as having said,

"I am the city of wisdom and `Ali is its gate."[3]

In Mustadrak al-Sahihayn, it is stated that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said to `Ali (a.s.),

"You must explain to my nation after me anything wherein they differ." [4]

The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) even made the mark of hating `Ali

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 10, in the book of exegesis.

[2] Mustadrak al-Sahihayn, Vol. 3, p. 126.

[3] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 299.

[4] Mustadrak al-Sahihayn, Vol. 3, p. 122.

40

(a.s.) as one of the indications of hypocrisy as is clear from the narrative included by Muslim in his Sahih with its isnad to `Ali (a.s.) who said,

"By the One Who split the seed and created the peeze, it is a covenant from the Ummi Prophet (a.s.) to me that none loves me except a believer (mu'min) and none hates me except a hypocrite." [1]

Even if the Prophet (a.s.) did not appoint a successor after him, is not the nation supposed to choose the one who has the most knowledge and with the most distinctions in order to be its leader? We have already clarified that `Ali (a.s.) was the most knowledgeable among the companions. They used to refer to him whenever they confronted a complex theological problem. Similar to this is included by Abu Dawud in his isnad to Ibn `Abbas who said, "'Umar pought a mentally retarded woman who had committed adultery. He consulted some people in her regard. `Umar ordered her stoned. `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.) passed by her and inquired about her. He was told that she was a mad woman by so-and-so who had committed adultery, so she was ordered to be stoned. He told them to take her back. Then he went to him [to `Umar] and said to him, 'O `Umar! Don't you know that judgment against three categories of people is lifted: the mad person until he recovers, the one sleeping until he wakes up and the child until he attains mental maturity?' He said, 'Yes.' `Ali (a.s.) said, 'Then what is the matter with this woman that she should be stoned?' `Umar said, 'Nothing.' She was sent back. `Umar kept making takbir -uttering the statement 'Allahu-Akbar (Allah is the Most Great)'-." [2] Al-Bukhari, too, includes part of the same incident in his own Sahih. [3]

[1] Muslim, Sahih, in the book of iman, in a chapter about loving Ali, Allah glorified his countenance, one of the dignitaries, Vol. 1, p. 262 (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[2] Ibn Dawud, Sunan, in a chapter about a mad person stealing or being penalized according to

the Shari`ah.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, the book of warriors, in a chapter about a mad man or

41

Moreover, Imam `Ali (a.s.) was famous as the "Imam of the ascetics" and he was also famous for his courage and extraordinary daring feats. He was the first commando in Islam. In every Islamic battle, he played a decisive role on the side of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). In the Battle of Badr, he killed with his sword, Dhu'l-Fiqar, thirty Quraishite heroes. In the battles of Uhud and Hunayn, he undertook a historic stand, jeopardizing his own life in defense of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) following the flight of the vast majority of the sahabah! In the Battle of Khandaq (moat), he stood to duel the giant of the polytheists, namely `Amr ibn `Abd Wudd al-`amiri, whom he killed at the time when none of the other sahabah dared to face him although the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had three times called upon them to do so. He (a.s.) finally permitted `Ali (a.s.) to face the man although `Ali (a.s.) was quite young compared to most sahabah. In the battle for Khaybar, Allah granted victory at his hands, so he was able to open the gate of the fort after the Muslims at the time could not do so. A large number of the sahabah failed collectively to open it.

Imam `Ali (a.s.) distinguished himself from the other sahabah by the fact that the time of jahiliyyah (Time of Ignorance-the pre-Islamic era) did not pollute him with its idols. He received his unique upbringing at the hands of the First Teacher of Humanity, Muhammed (a.s.), from whom he did not part for one moment as long as the Prophet (a.s.) lived. When the Prophet (a.s.) passed away, `Ali (a.s.) was tending to him. He, therefore, remained all his life receiving knowledge and wisdom from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). Hence, he deserved to be the gate of the city of knowledge of the Prophet (a.s.), of his wisdom, and his pother. Al-Bukhari narrates in his Sahih, relying on the isnad of `Abdullah ibn `Umar saying, "The Prophet (a.s.) established ties of fraternity among his companions. `Ali (a.s.) came with tearful eyes and said, 'O Messenger of Allah! You have established ties of fraternity among your companions but did not establish a tie of fraternity between mewoman should not be stoned.

42

and anyone else.' The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said,

'You are MY pother in the life of this world and in the Hereafter.'"[1]

The Prophet (a.s.) even considered `Ali (a.s.) as being of him as al-Bukhari has narrated: "The Prophet (a.s.) said to `Ali (a.s.),

'You are of me, and I am of you.'"[2]

`Ali (a.s.) distinguished himself from the rest of the sahabah by acquiring the most merits as we are told by al-Hakim in his Mustadrak where he quotes Ahmed ibn Hanbal saying,

"None among the companions of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) acquired as many virtues as `Ali son of Abu Talib (a.s.)."[3]

And in Kanz al-`Ummal, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) is quoted as having said,

"Allah ordered me to marry Fatimah (a.s.) off to `Ali."[4]

This happened after having rejected the offer of marriage from a number of the sahabah who sought her hand in order to earn the great honor of marrying a lady who was "part" of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), the Head of the Believing Women and of the residents of Paradise, the lady because of whose anger Allah would be angry. It is quite true what one said: "Had `Ali (a.s.) not been created, Fatimah (a.s.) would have had no match for marriage."[5]

Having stated all the above, had the selection of the caliph been truly in the hands of the people, `Ali (a.s.) was the most distinguished among the sahabah, hence he was the most deserving of the caliphate.

[1] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 299.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 43, in the book of the virtues of the sahabah.

[3] Mustadrak al-Sahihayn, Vol. 3, p. 107.

[4] Kanz al-`Ummal, Vol. 13, hadith 37753. Dhakha'ir al-`Uqba.

[5] Al-Manawi, Kunuz al-Haqaiq. It is also recorded by al-Daylami.

43

The Majority Of The Muslims Went Against The AHadith Relevant To Imamate

We have already explained the evidences proving that mastership is the right of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) in general, that the Twelve Imams (a.s.) from among them were to be the caliphs over the nation, starting with Imam `Ali (a.s.), following the departure of the Chosen One, Muhammed (a.s.), to the Most High Companion. One decisive question remains to be answered in order to remove a great deal of the ambiguity that coincided with the tale of the dispute between Ahl al-Sunnah and the Shi'ahs throughout the Islamic history. The question is: "If the previous texts truly prove the Imamate of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), why and how did the caliphate become the lot of

others? Were not the sahabah following the Prophet (a.s.) in everything in which he ordered them?"

In order to answer this question, we have to ping about some important historical events at the dawn of Islam which had the major impact in altering the direction of the Islamic history, letting the reader pass his own judgment thereafter. Among the weighty events were the following:

1. Some sahabah prohibited the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) from writing his will.
2. Some sahabah lagged behind and did not join usamah's military campaign, casting doubts about his leadership.
3. Events of the saqifah and the swearing of allegiance to Abu Bakr
4. Caliphate of `Umar
5. Caliphate of `Uthman
6. Battle of the Camel and the march of `A'ishah, the Mother of the Faithful[1]

[1] In the Holy Qur'an (33:6), Almighty Allah says, "The Prophet has a greater claim on the faithful than they have on themselves, and his wives are as their mothers." Accordingly, the Prophet's wives were called 'the mothers of the faithful.'

4

7. Battle of Siffin and the rebellion of Mu`awiyah
8. Martyrdom of Imam `Ali (a.s.)
9. Reconciliation treaty and the martyrdom of Imam al-Hasan (a.s.)
10. Kerbal?' Revolution and the Martyrdom of Imam al-Husain (a.s.)

We will discuss each of these events in some details as follows:

SOME SAHABAH PROHIBITED THE MESSENGER OF ALLAH (a.s.) FROM WRITING HIS WILL

45

In his Sahih, al-Bukhari records six narratives about this incident which took place four days only before the demise of the Prophet (a.s.). Ibn `Abbas (r.a) is quoted as having said,

"Thursday! What a Thursday it was! The pain of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) intensified, so he

said, 'ping me something so I may write for you a document that will never let you stray thereafter.' They disputed with each other, and nobody should dispute near a prophet. They said, 'What is the matter with him?! Has he hallucinated? Inquire of him.' They went to him, whereupon he said, 'Leave me alone, for the pain in which I am is better than what you are attributing to me.'"[1]

In another narrative, Ibn `Abbas is quoted as having said,

"When death approached the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), and there were men in the house, the Prophet (a.s.) said, 'Let me write for you a document after which you shall never stray.' Some of them said, 'The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) has been overcome by pain, and you have with you the Qur'an. Suffices us the Book of Allah.' The people of the house differed with each other and disputed. Some of them said, 'Come close to him so he may write you a document after which you shall never stray,' while others repeated what `Umar had said. When their fuss and dissension intensified, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'Get away!'"

`Ubaydullah said, "Ibn `Abbas used to say, 'The real calamity, the whole calamity, is what stopped the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) from writing that document for them because of their dissension and arguing.'"[2]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 511, in the book about military campaigns in a chapter about the sickness and death of the Prophet (a.s.).

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 512, in the book of campaigns, in a chapter about the sickness and death of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.).

46

According to a third narrative, Ibn `Abbas said,

"When death approached the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), and there were men in the house including `Umar ibn al-Khattab, the Prophet (a.s.) said, 'Let me write you something after (the writing of) which you shall never stray.' `Umar said, 'The Prophet (a.s.) has been overcome by pain, and you have with you the Qur'an. Suffices us the Book of Allah (a.s.).' The people at the house disputed with each other and disagreed. Some of them were saying, 'Get close [to the Prophet (a.s.)] so the Prophet (a.s.) may write you a book after which you shall never stray,' while others repeated what `Umar had said. When their fuss and dispute near the Prophet (a.s.) intensified, the Messenger of Allah said, 'Get away!' `Ubaydullah said, 'Ibn `Abbas used to say that the calamity, the whole calamity, is what stopped the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) from writing them such a document because of their dispute and fuss.'"[1]

In Muslim's Sahih, their response was:

"... they said that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was hallucinating." [2]

In another narrative, the following is stated:

"... `Umar made a statement indicating that the pain had overcome the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) then said, 'We have with us the Qur'an. Suffices us the Book of Allah.'" [3]

As you can see, the word "hallucinating" was replaced in this latest narrative with a more polite reference to pain.

Discerning the above-quoted narratives, we become certain that the first person who ascribed hallucination to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was `Umar ibn al-Khattab and who was supported by some

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 7, p. 389, in the book of the sick in a chapter about a sick person saying, "Get away from me!"

[2] Muslim, Sahih, in the book of wills in a chapter about not leaving a will when one has nothing to leave behind, Vol. 4, p. 175.

[3] Abu Bakr al-Jawahiri, Al-Saqifah.

47

sahabah who were present there, causing the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) to be angry and to dismiss them with "Get away from me!"

The truth is that this incident gives the impression which permits no doubt that the dignity of the Gracious Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was harmed. This pought me a great shock when I came to know about it and, I believe, the vast majority of Sunnis are ignorant of it despite the horrors of its implications. Many individuals to whom I related this incident did not believe it because of the weight of the shock. One of them even solemnly swore that if there was any possibility at all that such an incident is, indeed, recorded in Bukhari's Sahih, he will never trust any other narrative in such Sahih. Some of them believed this incident but, having come to know that caliph `Umar was the first to charge the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) with hallucination, became extremely angry and refused to believe it. They even went as far as not trusting al-Bukhari nor any of the books of hadith which narrate incidents such as this that tarnish the image of the "righteous ancestors (al-salaf al-salih)," according to his view.

The secret behind the amazement in this incident is that all the sahabah who were then present should have given priority, without any delay, to what the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had ordered them to do so that he could write for them his last will, the will that carried the destiny of including what would ping the Muslims after his demise security against straying, if they upheld and obeyed, as is clear from this narrative.

Who, from among the Sunnis, could expect that the last meeting between the Prophet (a.s.) and the senior sahabah would end up in his dismissal of them after they had bidden him farewell in such a pain-inflicting word which could have only one single implication? This implication is mentioned by al-Nawawi in his Sharh [commentary] of Muslim's Sahih. This implication is stated there as nothing other than "hallucination"; we seek refuge with Allah.

According to Imam Sharaf ad-Din, "If you contemplate on the statement of the Prophet (a.s.) wherein he says, 'ping me something

48

so I may write for you a document after [the writing of] which you shall never stray' and his statement in the hadith of the Two Weighty Things wherein he says, 'I have left among you that which, if you uphold it, you shall never stray: the Book of Allah (a.s.) and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.)', you will learn that the objective of both aHadith is one and the same. During his sickness, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) wanted to write for them the details of what the hadith of the Two Weighty Things obligates, but he changed his mind about writing it following their statement with which they surprised him and which forced him to change his mind lest some people should succeed in opening a gate to cast doubt about the Prophethood. This is so because no effect for such writing remained except dissension and disagreement after him whether he "hallucinated" in what he wrote or not; we seek refuge with Allah, since they disputed in this regard in his own presence as the previous traditions demonstrate.

They contented themselves with what they have of the Qur'an, justifying their turning away from carrying out what the Prophet (a.s.) had told them to do as he was in a condition of sickness. It is as though they had forgotten what the Almighty had said about His Glorious Prophet (a.s.): "... Nor does he say (anything) of (his own) desire. It is no less than inspiration sent down to him: He was taught by One mighty in power" (Qur'an, 53:3-5) as well as in the following verse: "What Allah has bestowed on His Prophet (and taken away) from the people of the towns belongs to Allah, to His Prophet, and to kindred and orphans, the needy and the wayfarers, so that it may not be taken in turn by the rich among you. So take what the Prophet assigns to you, and abstain from what he withholds from you" (Qur'an, 59:7) as well as in this verse: "Truly this is the word of a

most honorable messenger, endowed with power, with rank before the Lord of the throne, with authority there, (and) faithful of his trust. And (O people!) your Companion is not possessed." (Qur'an, 81:22).[1]

[1] Excerpted from Al-Muraja`at of Sharaf ad-Din Sadr ad-Din al-Musawi.

49

Ibn `Abbas described the latter situation very well when he said,

"The calamity, the whole calamity, is what stopped the Messenger of Allah from writing that document for them because of their disputing and fussing."

Despite all of this, and according to what Ibn `Abbas had narrated and what al-Bukhari had included in his Sahih, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) did not die before making this statement:

"... Leave me alone, for the pain in which I am is better than what you are attributing to me."

Then he enjoined them, by way of a will, to uphold three things: to get the polytheist people out of the Arabian Peninsula, to treat the envoy as handsomely as he [the Prophet (a.s.)] used to do, and he abstained from mentioning the third one, or he said that he forgot it!"[1]

It is certain that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had articulated these recommendations in the presence of his family and some of his relatives, including `Abdullah ibn `Abbas, his cousin, in one of the four days which followed the day of the calamity, the Thursday Calamity. But what is odd is that the third item on the will, based on the integrity of al-Bukhari, is not mentioned by Ibn `Abbas because he was too reluctant to do so. At any rate, the Shi`ah, according to the narratives of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), have stated that the "forgotten" issue or the one shrouded with silence is the appointment of `Ali (a.s.) as the caliph.

Some sahabah Lagged Behind usamah's Military Expedition and Cast Doubts about His Leadership

All Muslims know that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) tied the knot for the military expedition under the command of usamah son of Zayd to invade the Romans. usamah was then seventeen. This was the last military expedition during the lifetime of the Prophet (a.s.). None from among the prominent Muhajirun[2] and Ansar,[1] such as

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 511, in the book of campaigns in a chapter about the sickness and

the demise of the Prophet (a.s.).

[2] Muhajirun (singular: muhajir, migrant) is a title exclusively said to the people

50

Abu Bakr, `Umar, Abu `Ubaydah, Sa`d and their likes, was excluded from being enlisted by the Prophet[2]. This fact is unanimously accepted by writers of biographies and of history books; it is taken for granted. The Prophet (a.s.) ordered usamah to march, but they dragged their feet, and some of them cast doubts about his leadership, so much so that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) ascended the pulpit, as al-Bukhari records according to his reliance on Ibn `Umar, to address them. The latter says, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) placed usamah as commander of the people. They cast doubts about such an appointment, so he (a.s.) said, 'If you cast doubts about his appointment, you did, indeed, cast doubt about the appointment of his father before him. By Allah! He [his father] was worthy of being in charge, and he was among the people whom I loved the most, and this one [his son] is the one I love the most after him.'[3] Then he (a.s.) urged them once more to march and to hurry," but they again dragged their feet. The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) passed away before they marched out.

From this incident, we deduct the following:

1. Some sahabah followed their own Ijtihad[4] despite the presence of

of Mecca who followed the Holy Prophet and had to migrate to Yathrib (later al-Madinah) because of the persecution they had suffered at the hands of the polytheists of Mecca.

[1] Ansar are the people of Yathrib (al-Madinah) who accepted, supported, and received the Holy Prophet and the emigrants of Makkah (Muhajirun).

[2] Khalid Muhammed Khalid, Men Hawl al-Rasul (Around the Prophet), p. 548, 8th ed. Al-Tabari, Tarikh. Ibn al-Athir. Ibn Sa`d, Tabaqat

. [3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 387, in the book of military campaigns in a chapter about the campaign of Zayd son of Harithah.

[4] Ijtihad is a polysemous term in the Muslim jurisprudence. Lexically, it means the exertion of efforts in the attainment of a matter. In the initial emergence of Ijtihad and the sense mentioned in the text involved, it took the meaning of the dependence on personal views and the issuance of personal judgments in the religious issues even if this would violate the sources of the Islamic laws since such judgment would agree with the interest, or the public interest. In the Sunnite jurisprudence, Ijtihad means the independent or original interpretation of problems not precisely covered by the Holy Qur'an, the Holy Sunnah, and the other sources of the Islamic law from the

viewpoint of the Sunnite scholars, such as analogy (Qiyas), consensus (Ijma`)... etc. In the Shiite jurisprudence, Ijtihad

51

a statement made by the Prophet (a.s.), objecting to his appointment of usamah over them on account of his young age although the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had tied his flag with his own hand. If we understand all of this, it will be difficult for us to understand how and why they followed their own Ijtihad with regard to bigger issues such as the caliphate of `Ali (a.s.) and his being the Imam as you will see later.

2. The appointment by the Prophet (a.s.) of usamah as their military leader although he was only seventeen was a practical lesson for the sahabah in the issue of accepting the leadership of someone who is younger than them especially since signs of his extreme anger became evident when they cast doubts about his choice of the young man as their military field commander.

3. When the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) tied the knot for usamah, he knew that he was about to depart to the most Exalted Companion, and undoubtedly he was contemplating on the dispute over the caliphate that would follow; therefore, his extreme wisdom dictated that senior Muhajirun and Ansar should be placed in that detachment which he (a.s.) ordered to march out only a few days before his demise so that there would be no time to dispute over the leadership issue, let alone using Ijtihad in its regard.

`Ali (a.s.) kept the Prophet (a.s.) company during the entire period of his sickness. After the demise of the Prophet (a.s.), `Ali (a.s.) remained busy giving him his burial bath while the Muhajirun and the Ansar went to the shed (saqifah) of Bani Sa`idah to dispute with one another about the issue of leadership after having dragged their feet and refused to march out in the military campaign of usamah in which they had already been enlisted apparently out of their own Ijtihad and "worry" about what would happen in their absence after the death of the Prophet (a.s.)! Thus, it is difficult to accept or to

means the exertion of all possible efforts in the deduction of the religious laws from their sources. To sum it up, the concept of Ijtihad in the Sunni doctrine is different from it in the Shi`ite. While it stands for the personal conclusion in the Sunni doctrine, it has its definite principles and fundamentals that cannot be contravened and are based upon Divine texts in the Shiite doctrine.

52

absorb the issue of the refusal of some sahabah to accept `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.) as their Imam;

otherwise, how can one interpret the refusal of the same folks of usamah as their leader and their casting doubts about it although it, too, was issued as an order by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)? Since both incidents of the "Thursday Calamity" and the casting of doubt about the commandership of usamah took place during the lifetime of the Prophet (a.s.), bearing in mind all the horrors of their implications, what would one expect to happen after his own demise?!

The Saqifah Events and Abu Bakr's Inauguration

While `Ali (a.s.) and those in his company from among the relatives of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) were busy making preparations for the burial of the Prophet (a.s.) after his departure from this life, `Umar ibn al-Khattab announced his rejection of the notion that the Prophet (a.s.) had already died and threatened to kill anyone who said otherwise. He did not believe that he (a.s.) had died until Abu Bakr returned from a place outside Madinah called al-Sankh. As mentioned by al-Bukhari in his Sahih, relying on `A'ishah, the latter said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) died when Abu Bakr was at al-Sankh." Isma`il says, "She means the highland." `Umar kept saying, "By Allah! The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) did not die!" `A'ishah went on to say, "`Umar also said, 'By Allah! Never did I like anything except that, and Allah shall send him back, and he will cut off men's hands and legs.'" Abu Bakr came, uncovered the face of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and kissed him. Then he said, "My father and mother be sacrificed for you! You are good alive and dead! By Allah Who holds my soul in His hand, Allah shall never permit you to taste death twice," then he left as he said, "O one who keeps swearing [meaning `Umar]! Do calm down!"[1]

As for the Ansar, they met at their shed, that is, "the Saqifah of Bani Sa`idah," and nominated Sa`d ibn `Abadah to succeed the

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 13, in the book about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about "... If you find no prophet, Abu Bakr..."

53

Messenger of Allah (a.s.) as the man in charge. When senior Muhajirun (i.e. Abu Bakr, `Umar and Abu `Ubaydah) came to know about it, they immediately went there and announced that they themselves were worthier of it. An argument arose between the Muhajirun and the Ansar wherein a dispute erupted. Sa`d ibn `Abadah, leader of the Ansar, stood up and said, "We are the supporters of Islam and its regiment while you, folks of the Muhajirun, are his kinsfolk. A drummer from among your people has beaten its (i.e. war) drum, hence they want to reduce us from our own roots and to hold us back from the matter." [1]

Abu Bakr stood up and delivered a speech in which he referred to the merits of the Muhajirun, deriving his argument from their descent from Quraish in order to prove their being worthier of

the caliphate as al-Bukhari mentions in his Sahih. "... so Abu Bakr al-Siddiq, `Umar ibn al-Khattab and Abu `Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrah went to them. `Umar started to talk, but Abu Bakr silenced him." [2] Abu Bakr said, "... No; but we are the princes while you are the viziers. But we are the princes and you are the viziers. And they are the best among the Arabs in status and in lineage [3]..., and I have recommended for you one of these two men." [4] So they swore the oath of allegiance to `Umar ibn al-Khattab or to Abu `Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrah [5]. One of the prominent Ansar, namely al-Habbab ibn al-Mundhir, responded to him by saying, 'No by Allah, we shall not do that! One of us shall be an amir and one of you [too] shall be an

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 8, p. 541, in a book about the fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning the woman who got pregnant through adultery.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 14, in a book about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about "... If you find no prophet, then Abu Bakr..."

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 14, in a volume about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about "... If you find no prophet, then Abu Bakr..."

[4] Ibid., p. 8, p. 542, in a volume about the fighters from the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a women who got pregnant through adultery.

[5] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 14, in a volume dealing with the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about "... If you find no prophet, then Abu Bakr..."

54

amir" [1]

In another narrative, the Ansar responded thus: "A speaker from among the Ansar said, 'We are its cultivated stump and anticipated cluster. An amir should be [chosen] from among us, and an amir should be chosen from among you [too], O people of Quraish!' Voices of dissent rose and there was a lot of fuss, so much so that dissension was feared." [2] When the crisis reached such an extent, `Umar ibn al-Khattab's role came. Said he, "Far away it is for two to share one and the same horn! By Allah! The Arabs shall never accept you as their amirs while their Prophet (a.s.) is not from among you. We have in this argument against whoever dissents." Al-Habbab ibn al-Mundhir, one of the Ansar dignitaries, responded to him by saying, "O folks of the Ansar! Unite your views; do not listen to this man's statement or to that of his fellows, for you are worthier of this matter." But the Ansar, meanwhile, disagreed among themselves. Usaid ibn Hudair, leader of the Aws tribe, who opposed Sa'd ibn `Abadah, leader of the Khazraj tribe, went and announced to the Muhajirun his own support for them, promising them to swear the oath of allegiance to them.

It was then that `Umar stood up and said to Abu Bakr, "Stretch your hand so I may swear fealty to you." `Umar swore the oath of allegiance to him and so did some Muhajirun and Ansar. As al-

Bukhari, who relies on `A'ishah, narrates, `Umar took the oath of allegiance for Abu Bakr through threats and intimidations. He quotes `A'ishah as having said, "Their address was rendered by Allah as beneficial: `Umar scared people. There was hypocrisy among them, so Allah responded thus to it." [3] At the time, with regard to Sa`d ibn `Abadah's refusal to swear fealty, and he was an

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 14, in a volume dealing with the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about "... If you find no prophet, then Abu Bakr..."

[2] Ibid., Vol. 8, p. 542 in the book about the fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a woman who became pregnant through adultery.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 15, in a book about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about "... If you find no prophet, then Abu Bakr..."

55

old man, al-Bukhari states in his Sahih saying that `Umar then said, "Rather, Allah kill him!" [1]

This much suffices to let the curtain fall down on the Saqifah stage act of events which concluded with Abu Bakr being inaugurated after a publicly witnessed struggle between the Muhajirun and the Ansar over the caliphate. This struggle was tinted by a jahili attitude as clearly appears from discerning the nature of the arguments between both parties and the arguments which each party used against the other. Caliph `Umar ibn al-Khattab admitted near the end of his life that swearing the oath of allegiance to Abu Bakr was "a slip, but Allah protected us from its evil," according to his own view. [2]

Everyone knows that Imam `Ali (a.s.) and all his supporters from among Banu Hashim and other sahabah, such as al-Zubair, Talhah, `Ammar, Salman, Miqdad, Abu Tharr, Khuzaymah (the man with the two testimonies), Khalid ibn Sa`id, Ubayy ibn Ka`b, Abu Ayyub al-Ansari and others, were not present at all during such a swearing, nor did they enter the Saqifah that day at all because they were all entirely preoccupied with the great calamity: the demise of the Prophet (a.s.) and their performance of the obligation to prepare his corpse for burial and to lay his pure body to rest. The fellows of the Saqifah sealed that deal with Abu Bakr; therefore, `Ali (a.s.) and his followers had no choice except to express their dissent and to refuse to swear fealty as appears from the following narrative by `Umar ibn al-Khattab: "... We were fully aware of the event when Allah caused His Prophet (a.s.) to die, but the Ansar disagreed with us, and they assembled in their entirety at the Saqifah of Bani Sa`idah. Among those who dissented were: `Ali and al-Zubair and those with them." [3]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 8, p. 542; Vol. 5, p. 14.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 8, p. 540 in a volume about the fighters from the people of apostasy in a chapter

about stoning a woman who became pregnant through adultery.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 8, p. 540 in the book of fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a woman who became pregnant through adultery.

56

Imam `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.) saw no result for protesting against them except dissension. He preferred to lose his own right rather than see such a dissension during such circumstances because of the serious perils that surrounded Islam from all directions. There was a danger against Islam from the hypocrites of al-Madinah and those around them from among the Bedouins who felt emboldened after the departure of the Chosen One (a.s.). Add to this danger of Musaylamah the Liar, Tulayhah the mischief-maker and Sajah, the woman of trickery, in addition to the Khosrows (kings of Persia) and Caesars and others who were lying in ambush against the Muslims. There were other dangers threatening the very existence of Islam. It was only natural that Imam `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.) should sacrifice his right but not obliterating the argument of his being already nominated [by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)] for it. He wanted to keep his right for the caliphate and the ability to argue against those who followed their own way of thinking. He wanted to do all of this in order not to cause the dissension the opportunity for which the enemies of Islam wished to take advantage of. He, therefore, sat at home and did not go to participate in the inauguration. And so did those with him. This lasted for six whole months.[1]

Al-Bukhari narrates another incident. It, too, proves that had `Ali (a.s.) had the sufficient force to extract his right by force at that time without dissension taking place, he would have done just that. `A'ishah is quoted as having said, "She [Fatimah (a.s.)] survived after the Prophet (a.s.) for only six months. When she died, her husband `Ali (a.s.) buried her at night. Abu Bakr neither called the adhan nor performed the funeral prayers for her. `Ali (a.s.) enjoyed prestige among the people during the lifetime of Fatimah (a.s.). When she died, people turned their faces away from him, so he

[1] Excerpted and edited from Al-Muraja`at by Sharaf ad-Din Sadr ad-Din. (This important reference, Al-Muraja`at, was translated directly from the Arabic into English by Yasin T. al-Jibouri for Imam Husain Foundation and was published by the said Foundation in Beirut, Lebanon and by Ansariyan Publications in Qum, Islamic Republic of Iran).

57

sought to reconcile with Abu Bakr and swear fealty to him. During those months, he was never willing to do so. He sent a message to Abu Bakr saying, 'You may come to visit us, provided nobody accompanies you,' out of his concern that `Umar might be present. `Umar said, 'No, by

Allah! You should not enter their house alone.' Abu Bakr said, 'Why not?! What do you think they might do to me?! By Allah! I shall go to visit them.'" [1] Imam Sharaf ad-Din [Sadr ad-Din al-Musawi] has interpreted this conduct of Imam `Ali (a.s.) by saying,

"Had `Ali (a.s.) hastened to swear fealty to them at the time, he would not have driven his argument home, nor would have the argument of his followers, but he combined, in his action, both safeguarding the creed and keeping his own right for the caliphate. The circumstances then did not permit resistance by the sword, nor debating one argument against another." [2]

This fact appears quite clearly when Abu Sufyan, the leader of the enemies of the Prophet (a.s.), tried more than once to persuade him to uphold his right to the caliphate. He said to Imam `Ali (a.s.), "If you wish, I shall fill the land with cavalry and with infantry to confront them, and I shall block their exit therefrom." [3] But Imam `Ali (a.s.) refused such type of "assistance" every time because he knew what Abu Sufyan had in mind: igniting the fire of dissension and waging a war after which Islam would never stand on its feet.

Wrath Of Fatimah (a.s.)

Fatimah (a.s.) passed away while being angry with Abu Bakr because he had deprived her of the inheritance left for her by her father, the Prophet (a.s.). Relying on the authority of `A'ishah, al-Bukhari quotes the latter as saying, "... Fatimah (a.s.) daughter of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was to receive the inheritance left for

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 382, in the book of military campaigns in a chapter about the invasion of Khayber.

[2] Excerpted and edited from Al-Muraja`at by Sharaf ad-Din Sadr ad-Din.

[3] Khalid Muhammed Khalid, Khulafa' al-Rasul, p. 418, 8th edition.

58

her from the fay' [property gained as a peace offering from a hostile party] which Allah had bestowed upon His Messenger (a.s.). Abu Bakr said to her, 'The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had said, 'We [prophets] leave no inheritance; what we leave behind is charity;' therefore, Fatimah (a.s.) daughter of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) became angry. She dissociated herself from Abu Bakr until she died. She lived for only six months after the death of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)."

`A'ishah adds saying, "And Fatimah (a.s.) demanded that Abu Bakr give her the share to which she

was entitled of the inheritance of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) from Khaybar, namely Fadak, and the Madinah charity, but Abu Bakr refused saying, 'I shall not leave out anything which the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) used to do.'"[1] Her anger with Abu Bakr was so great that it prompted her to go as far as leaving a will with `Ali (a.s.) that Abu Bakr should not perform the funeral prayers for her after her demise, nor to even walk behind her coffin. Imam `Ali (a.s.) buried her pure body secretly at night as al-Bukhari states in his Sahih, relying on `A'ishah who said, "... Abu Bakr refused that anything should be paid to Fatimah (a.s.). Fatimah (a.s.), therefore, was extremely angry with him, so much so that she dissociated herself from him and never spoke to him until she died. She lived after the demise of the Prophet (a.s.) for six months. When she died, her husband buried her at night. Abu Bakr never called the adhan [to announce her death], nor did he perform the funeral prayers for her."[2]

The land of Fadak which Fatimah (a.s.) demanded is a village in Hijaz which used to be inhabited by some Jews. When the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) commenced the conquest of Khayber, Allah cast fear in the hearts of those Jews; therefore, they reconciled with the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) in exchange for Fadak. Thus, Fadak became the property of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) because

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 208, in the book of khums in a chapter about obligations.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 382, in the book about military campaigns in a chapter about the invasion of Khayber.

59

neither cavalry nor infantry was ever involved in its conquest. Then he gave it to his daughter Fatimah (a.s.) in addition to what the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had owned out of the levy of the khums from Khayber and his own charities. All of these used to be the personal property of the Messenger of Allah; nobody else had any right in it besides him.

Fatimah (a.s.), then, according to Abu Bakr's view, was demanding to get what was not hers. She, according to this view, had to be doing either one of two things without any third possibility:

First: She was ignorant and did not know the rulings applicable to the inheritance of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) (while Abu Bakr knew), or

Second: She was a liar who coveted to take what did not belong to her.

The fact is that both are impossible to attribute to al-Zahra' (a.s.) for whose anger Allah used to become angry, the Head of the Believing Women and of the people of Paradise that she was, the lady who was purified by Allah Almighty from any sin or impurity as has already been stated

above. According to what is recorded by al-Bukhari in his Sahih, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said,

"O Fatimah! Are you not pleased with being the Head of the believing women or the Head of the women of this nation?!"[1]

"Fatimah (a.s.) is part of me; whoever makes her angry makes me angry"[2]

"Fatimah (a.s.) is the Head of the women of Paradise."[3]

Even if we submit that Fatimah (a.s.) was like any other woman and

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 8, p. 202, in the book about seeking permission to enter in a chapter about one who addressed the people.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 75, in the book about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the merits of Fatimah, Allah be pleased with her.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 74.

60

did not have all such distinctions, as the narratives above indicate, her being the daughter of the teacher of humanity and the wife of the Commander of the Faithful (Amir al-Mu'minin), `Ali (a.s.), for whom they testified that he was the most judicious of all, the most knowledgeable, it negates from her any possibility of being ignorant. This is so because had Fatimah (a.s.) been demanding what did not belong to her, and that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was not to leave any inheritance, according to the view of Abu Bakr, either her father (a.s.) or her husband (a.s.) was supposed to inform her, especially since her anger with Abu Bakr lasted for six months. This was the entire period which Fatimah (a.s.) lived after the departure of the Chosen One (a.s.) from this world.

But far it is for Fatimah (a.s.) to be as such. We seek refuge with Allah against thinking like that of her. When she came to know that Abu Bakr deprived her of her right of ownership of Fadak and the property which Allah had bestowed upon His Prophet (a.s.) in Medinah, in addition to the khums of Khayber, she (a.s.) went to meet him, and he was among a crowd of the Muhajirun and the Ansar. She delivered a speech which caused the people to burst in tears, a speech from which we would like to quote the following:

... while you claim that we have neither inheritance nor any share; do you wish to implement the judgment of the days of jahiliyyah? Whose judgment is better than that of Allah for people who have conviction? O folks of Islam! Does the Book of Allah say that you can get your inheritance

from your fathers while I have no inheritance at Alla You will truly then ping about falsehood.

Then she recited the verse saying,

"Muhammed is no more than a Prophet: Many prophets passed away before him. If he died or were killed, would you then turn back on your heels? If any did turn back on his heels, he would not harm Allah in the least, but Allah (on the other hand) will swiftly reward those who (serve Him) with gratitude" (Qur'an, 3:144).

61

Then she went on to say,

"O people of Qayla! Should I thus complain about the injustice of being deprived of inheritance from my father while you see and hear me?...etc,"[1]

Moreover, the meaning of the statement "We [prophets] leave no inheritance" which the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) made does not convey the inapplicability of the laws of inheritance to prophets according to the Ijtihad of Abu Bakr. The Holy Qur'an states the following:

"And Solomon was David's heir" (Qur'an, 27:16).

Zakariyy? [Zachariah] pleaded to the Almighty to grant him someone who would be his heir, so Allah granted him Yahya [John the Baptist]:

"... '(one who) will (truly) inherit me, and represent the posterity of Jacob, and make him, O Lord, one with whom You are well pleased!' (His prayer was answered:) 'O Zakariyya! We give you glad tidings of a son: His name shall be Yahya (John): We have never conferred distinction on any by that name before'" (Qur'an, 19:6-7).

Hence, the meaning of "... inherit me" in the previous verse does not convey the sense of inheriting his [Zakariyya's] status as a prophet, for prophethood is not hereditary. Thus, the meaning of "We [prophets] leave no inheritance" in the statement of the Prophet (a.s.) means that prophets do not hoard gold and silver so it may be their legacy after them as do kings and those who seek the life of this world.

With Abu Bakr thus depriving Fatimah (a.s.) of inheriting the Prophet (a.s.) gave the opportunity to some people to claim that this was the real reason why `Ali (a.s.) was reluctant to swear fealty to Abu Bakr, not because he (a.s.) saw himself as the legitimate claimant to the post of caliph. Had the matter been as such, how do

[1] Ibn al-Athir, Manal al-Talib fi Sharh Tiwal al-Ghara'ib, p. 501 (printed at Al-Madani press).

62

you explain the reluctance of a large number of the sahabah to swear fealty to Abu Bakr while granting their support to `Ali (a.s.)? And how do you explain this statement of `A'ishah: "'`Ali (a.s.) sent a message to Abu Bakr saying, 'You may come to visit us, provided nobody accompanies you,' out of his concern that `Umar might be present"? `Umar ibn al-Khattab had nothing to do with the issue of contention regarding the inheritance of the Prophet (a.s.), whereas he played a decisive role in ending the dispute at the Saqifah in Abu Bakr's favor. Moreover, the issue of the inheritance is not considered a stumbling block or a justification under any condition for the refusal of `Ali (a.s.) and Fatimah (a.s.) to swear fealty to Abu Bakr or even for their reluctance to do so.

Did Fatimah (a.s.) Die the Death of Jahiliyyah?

Relying on the authority of [`Abdullah] ibn `Abbas, al-Bukhari has quoted the latter saying that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said,

"One who detests something which his amir does must be patient, for anyone who deviates the distance of a span from authority dies the death of the days of ignorance [jahiliyyah]."[1]

And in his Sahih, Muslim cites the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) saying,

"One who dies without the responsibility of a fealty dies the days of jahiliyyah ."[2]

And in Ahmed's Musnad, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) is quoted as having said,

"Whoever dies without an Imam dies the death of jahiliyyah ."[3]

These three traditions prove decisively that anyone who dies

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 145, in the book of dissensions in a chapter titled "After me, you shall witness things which you shall abhor."

[2] Muslim, Sahih, in the book of imarah in a chapter about the necessity of supporting what the majority of Muslims support, Vol. 4, p. 517 (published by Dar al-Sha`b press).

[3]Ahmed, Musnad, Vol. 3, p. 446.

63

without swearing fealty to an amir or an Imam dies the death of jahiliyyah. There is no doubt that what is meant here is the Imam obedience to whom is obligatory according to the divine Shari`ah and nobody else.

Fatimah al-Zahra' (a.s.) passed away without swearing fealty to Abu Bakr. Furthermore, she died while being angry with him, leaving a will that he should not perform the funeral prayers for her nor even walk behind her coffin according to what al-Bukhari states in his Sahih, citing `A'ishah relating about how Abu Bakr had deprived Fatimah (a.s.) of her inheritance from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.):

"Fatimah (a.s.), therefore, was extremely angry with him, so much so that she dissociated herself from him and never spoke to him until she died. She lived after the demise of the Prophet (a.s.) for six months. When she died, her husband buried her at night. Abu Bakr never called the adhan [to announce her death], nor did he perform the funeral prayers for her." [1]

How, then, can anyone say that al-Zahra' (a.s.) did not follow the Prophetic instructions in the previous traditions? Rather, she demonstrated her patience about what she saw and hated of caliph Abu Bakr's action. She did not obey him. She objected to his caliphate. She was angry with him. And she left a will that he should not perform the funeral prayers for her, nor should he even walk in her funeral procession, something which pointed to the fact that not only did she distance herself from the authority of Abu Bakr for one span but rather many miles! How can one say, therefore, that Fatimah al-Zahra' (a.s.) died the death of jahiliyyah? But Fatimah (a.s.), according to the consensus of all Islamic sects, was the Head of believing women, the Head of the women of Paradise, as al-Bukhari confirmed in his Sahih, citing the Prophet (a.s.) saying, "O Fatimah! Are you not pleased with being the Head

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 382, in the book of military campaigns in a chapter about the invasion of Khayber.

64

of the believing women or the Head of the women of this nation?!" [1] Moreover, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) used to be angry whenever she was angry. This undoubtedly means that Allah Almighty would become angry whenever she was angry according to this tradition:

"The Prophet (a.s.) said, 'Fatimah is part of me. Whoever angers her angers me (too)'." [2]

The Imam (or amir) obedience to whom is obligatory, and one who does not swear the oath of allegiance to him dies the death of jahiliyyah, is surely neither Abu Bakr, nor Mu`awiyah the blood-shedder, nor their likes.

`Umar's Caliphate

When Abu Bakr became sick, he called `Uthman ibn `Affan to his presence and said to him, "Write the following: In the Name of Allah, the most Gracious, the most Merciful. This is a covenant from Abu Bakr son of Abu Quhafah to the Muslims." It was then that he became unconscious. `Uthman, therefore, went on to write the following: "I leave as my successor over you `Umar ibn al-Khattab, and I do not hide from you anything good." Then Abu Bakr regained his consciousness, so `Uthman said to him, "I see that you feared lest the Muslims would dispute if you passed away during your unconsciousness; is that so?" Abu Bakr answered in the affirmative, whereupon `Uthman said, "May Allah reward you with goodness on behalf of Islam and Muslims." The writing was kept where it had been.[3]

It is also narrated that `Umar was holding in his hand the sheet on which Abu Bakr named him as his successor on the day of the Saqifah when he scared people and thus took from them the oath of allegiance for Abu Bakr through his coercion as has already been

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 74, in a volume about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the virtues of Fatimah, Allah be pleased with her.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 75, in a volume about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the virtues of Fatimah, Allah be pleased with her.

[3] Al-Tabari, Tarikh. Ibn `Asakir, Tarikh Dimashq.

65

proven above, taking advantage of the split in the ranks of the Ansar and in the presence of those who held in their hands the legitimate right to be the caliphs and who were busy preparing for the funeral of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). Abu Bakr also played the same role by installing `Umar as the caliph after him. It cost him nothing but a little ink. Despite the extreme pain of Abu Bakr's ailment during the writing of that will, even during his unconsciousness at the time, nobody at all said that Abu Bakr was hallucinating regarding what was written. Contrariwise, Caliph `Umar and those who supported him did not hesitate to accuse the Prophet (a.s.) with such a painful word ["yahjur, hallucinating"] when the Prophet (a.s.) asked them to get him some writing material so that a statement would be written for them after the writing of which they would never stray.

Abu Bakr claimed that the reason why he named `Umar as the caliph after him was his fear lest dissension should take place after his death. Thus did the Sunnis accept his excuse after he had violated the principle of shura (mutual consultation) which they claim should be the principle

according to which the Muslims should elect their caliph. You will see later how they also accepted the caliphate of Mu`awiyah and his son Yazid after his death although these ascended to power through intimidation and the force of the sword, killing many Muslims in the process, especially the descendants of the pure `itrah of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.).

But the question which we wished to put forth here is this: "Why did the Sunnis refuse the notion that the Prophet (a.s.) did, indeed, name the caliph who was to succeed him as they did accept it from Abu Bakr especially since the dispute about the caliphate at the time of the death of the Prophet (a.s.) was much greater than those when Abu Bakr died, in addition to the clear texts about the importance of referring to the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) whenever the Muslims disputed with each other after the departure of the Chosen One (a.s.)? And the caliphate of `Ali (a.s.)?!"

66

`Uthman's Caliphate

When caliph `Umar was stabbed, he was told that his successor had already been named, so he said, "Had Abu `Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrah been alive, I would have named him as my successor. And had Salim, slave of Abu Huthayfah, been alive, I would have named him as my successor." Then he said to them, "Some men say that the swearing of fealty to Abu Bakr was a slip from the evil of which Allah protected us, and that the fealty to `Umar lacked consultation, and the issue after me is to be resolved through shura." [1] Said he, "I have determined your issue to be resolved by a number of early Muhajirun" whom he named saying, "Call to me `Ali (a.s.), `Uthman, Talhah, al-Zubayr, `Abd al-Rahman ibn `Awf and Sa`d ibn Abi Waqqas. If four persons agree [to choose the same person], the remaining two must follow the view of the [first] four. And if the views are split between three and three, you should follow the view of `Abd al-Rahman ibn `Awf; therefore, listen [to him] and obey..." [2]

From the above narrative it becomes obvious that caliph `Umar arranged for the candidate to be named by `Abd al-Rahman ibn `Awf. This is a third portrait of the type of shura which they [Sunnis] advocate... Caliph `Umar ordered `Abd al-Rahman ibn `Awf to require a condition in the candidate for whom fealty would be sworn. This condition is that he should act upon the line of both senior sahabis (Abu Bakr and `Umar) in addition to acting upon the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Prophet (a.s.). As was expected, the six persons split into two parties: three persons and two candidates. The first three were: `Ali (a.s.), Talhah and al-Zubayr, and their candidate was `Ali (a.s.). As for the three in the other party, they were: Sa`d, `Uthman and `Abd al-Rahman, and their candidate was `Uthman. Imam `Ali (a.s.) rejected the condition of acting upon the line of both senior sahabis saying, "I shall follow the Book of Allah (a.s.) and the Sunnah of His Prophet (a.s.) and my own Ijtihad," [3] whereas `Uthman accepted the condition, becoming a

[1]Al-Bukhari, Sahih. [2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih.

[3] Khalid Muhammed Khalid, Khulafa'al-Rasul, p. 272, 8th edition.

67

caliph accordingly.

Al-Bukhari records a portion of this incident in his own Sahih. He cites al-Hasir ibn Makhramah saying, "Abd al-Rahman [ibn `Awf] knocked at my door after a good portion of the night had already lapsed until I woke up. He said, 'I see that you are asleep. By Allah, my eyes have not tasted much sleep. Come, call al-Zubayr and Sa`d to my presence.' I told them to meet him, so he consulted with them. Then he called upon me and said, 'Call `Ali (a.s.) to my presence.' I invited him [`Ali (a.s.)] to meet with him. He talked privately with him until the night's color started to fade. Then `Ali (a.s.) left him optimistically. Then he said to me, 'Call `Uthman to my presence.' I did. He talked privately with him until the call of the muezzin (caller to prayer) to the fajr (daypeak) prayers separated them from each other. Having led the people for the fajr prayer, and once the same individuals assembled near the pulpit [of the Prophet (a.s.)], he called to his presence those of the Muhajirun and the Ansar who were present and also sent messages for the commanders of the troops to meet there, and these were all loyal to `Umar. Once they all gathered together, `Abd al-Rahman recited both testimonies [that "There is god except Allah and Muhammed (a.s.) is the Messenger of Allah], `Abd al-Rahman said, 'O `Ali! I have looked into the affairs of the people and found no peer among them for `Uthman; so, do not put your own safety to jeopardy.' To `Uthman he said, 'I swear allegiance to you according to the Sunnah of Allah (a.s.) and His Messenger and [the line] of both caliphs [Abu Bakr and `Umar] after him.' Thus did `Abd al-Rahman swear the oath of allegiance to him [to `Uthman], and so did the people." [1]

Thus it becomes obvious that when caliph `Umar preconditioned for the one to whom people must swear the oath of allegiance to act upon the way of both senior sahabis, in addition to acting upon the Book of Allah (a.s.) and the Sunnah of His Prophet (a.s.), he had already determined the caliphate for `Uthman right then because he

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 239, in the book of Ahkam in a chapter about how an imam receives the oath of fealty from the people.

68 knew the attitude of Imam `Ali (a.s.) vis-à-vis this condition in addition to his knowledge that Talhah and al-Zubayr would both side with `Ali (a.s.) because he had already noticed their stand, which was supportive of `Ali (a.s.), on the day of the Saqifah. Add to all the above the fact that `Umar had already granted the right to make a preference in favor of `Abd al-Rahman ibn `Awf, thus it becomes quite clear to you what sort of shura they claim...

Murder of Caliph `Uthman

A great deal was said about how `Uthman was assassinated. Many statements and narratives clashed with each other in this regard especially with reference to the group which used to urge others to kill him, the reasons which prompted them to do so and such events reaching their climax with his murder. The most rational explanations are embedded in the practices on the government level, the appointment of provincial rulers who were relatives of `Uthman and the money these used to be given from the State's treasury. All this prompted critics and rebels to turn against `Uthman. The famous writer, Khalid Muhammed Khalid, says, "We do not doubt that `Uthman, too, used to realize that most of those who welcomed his appointment for the caliphate, rather than `Ali, Allah glorify his countenance, wanted to be freed from life's strictness and stringency from which people suffered for a long period of time and which could have added to their burdens had `Ali (a.s.) received the matters in his own hands. Through his strict system, exact justice, asceticism and piety, he (a.s.) represented an extension of the strictness, justice, stringency and piety of `Umar..."[1]

The hands of the relatives of caliph `Uthman from among Banu Umayyah played havoc with the State treasury to the extent that some people think that the Umayyad government started ruling since choosing `Uthman as the caliph and swearing the oath of allegiance to him. Here is Abu Sufyan supports this view when he says the following to caliph `Uthman after the latter had received

[1] Khalid Muhammed Khalid, *Khulafa'al-Rasul*, p. 276, 8th edition.

69

the oath of allegiance: "O Banu Umayyah! Receive it as a ball is received, for by the one by whom Abu Sufyan swears, I remain optimistic that you (too) will receive it, and it shall be received by your children by way of inheritance."[1] According to another narrative of the same statement, he said, "Receive it as a ball is received, for there is neither Paradise nor Hell..."[2]

Among those who opposed caliph `Uthman were some of the best sahabah. The most famous of these are: Abu Tharr, may Allah be pleased with him, `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud and `Ammar ibn Yasir. The said caliph took a very fanatical stand against them, punishing them severely. As for Abu Tharr, he met his death in the [desert of] al-Rabathah as his punishment for opposing [the appointment of] Mu`awiyah as the provincial governor [then self-declared absolute ruler] of Syria. Abu Tharr resented how Mu`awiyah was hoarding gold and squandering money at the expense of the Muslims' wealth. Zayd ibn Wahbah has said, "I passed by al-Rabathah and saw Abu Tharr, may Allah be pleased with him, so I said to him, 'What pought you [to such a pathetic condition of

banishment] here?' He said, 'I was in Syria and had a dispute with Mu`awiyah regarding the verse saying, And there are those who hoard up gold and silver and do not spend in the way of Allah (Qur'an, 9:34). Mu`awiyah said that it was revealed about the People of the Book (Ahl al-Kitab). I said that it was revealed about us and about them; therefore, this was the source of disagreement between him and myself. He wrote `Uthman complaining about me. `Uthman wrote me ordering me to go to Medinah. I went there. Many people came to see me as if they never saw me before, so I mentioned this to `Uthman. He said to me, 'If you wish, you may stay away nearby.' This caused my present condition. Had they assigned an Ethiopian as an amir, I would have listened to him and obeyed.'"[3]

As regarding `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud, the man in charge of Kufah's

[1] Al-Tabari, Tarikh. Al-Mas`udi. Ibn al-Athir, Al-Isti`ab.

[2] Ibn al-Athir. Al-Mas`udi. Al-Tabari, Tarikh.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 278, in the Book of Zakat.

70

bayt al-mal (public treasury), his ribs were poken as a result of being beaten by `Uthman's slave as his punishment because of his objection to the conduct of al-Walid ibn Mu`it, caliph `Uthman's pother by his mother and his wali over Kufah following the deposition of Sa`d ibn Abi Waqqas. This son of Abu Mu`it took money from the Muslims' bayt al-mal and never returned it.[1]

As for `Ammar ibn Yasir, he became sick with hernia as a result of being severely beaten by `Uthman's slave as his punishment for having performed the funeral prayers for Ibn Mas`ud without informing the caliph of it. Actually, `Ammar did so in honor of the will of Ibn Mas`ud so that the caliph might not perform the said prayers service for him instead.[2]

Others are many among those who objected to the extravagance of the caliph's relatives from among Banu Umayyah of the common wealth of the State. Marwan ibn al-Hakam, for example, took a fifth of the kharaj tax of Africa. Refer to more stories about caliph `Uthman in the book titled Khilafah wa Mulukiyyah (caliphate and monarchy) by `Allamah Mawdudi.

A profound effect resulted from the anger of the Mother of the Faithful (Umm al-Mu'minin) `A'ishah and her objection to caliph `Uthman, even to her instigation that he should be killed such as when she said, "Kill Na`thal[3] for he has committed apostasy." [4] She did so after accusing him of altering the Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.). This aggravated the revolution against him. Many citizens of Medinah, as well as people who came from Egypt, Syria and Kufah, gathered and collectively killed him.

[1] Al-Balathiri, Ansab al-Ashraf. Al-Waqidi. Al-Ya`qabi, Tarikh.

[2] Ibn Abul-Hadid, Sharh Nahjul-Balaghah.

[3] She thus likened `Uthman ibn `Affan, the caliph, to a Jew man named Na`thal, known of his viciousness, who lived in al-Madinah.

[4] Al-Tabari, Tarikh, Vol. 4, p. 277 (Cairo edition of 1357 A.H.). Ibn al-Athir, Al-Nihayah.

CALIPHATE OF IMAM `ALI (a.s.)

71

After `Uthman had been killed, people went in droves to Imam `Ali (a.s.) seeking to swear the oath of allegiance to him (as the caliph). They said to him, "This man [`Uthman] has been killed, and people have to have an Imam. Nowadays, we find none worthy of such an undertaking besides you." The swearing of allegiance was completed.

Imam `Ali (a.s.) wanted to implement justice among the people, establishing equity between those who were weak and those who were mighty. He wanted to establish the rulings which Allah revealed in His Book. Some of them objected. They enticed dissension and gathered troops, publicly announcing their rebellion and mutiny against him. This led to many battles the most significant of which were those of the Camel and of Siffin.

Battle of al-Jamal; Mother of the Believers Goes Out to Fight `Ali (a.s.)

When Mother of the Believers `A'ishah came to know that `Uthman had been killed and that people swore the oath of allegiance to `Ali (a.s.), she said to `Ubaydullah ibn Kilab, who informed her of it, "By Allah! I wish this [heavens] had crashed with this [earth] if, indeed, the matter has been concluded to the advantage of your friend. Woe unto you! Look into what you are saying!" `Ubaydullah said to her, "It is just as I have told you, O Mother of the Faithful!" She pronounced statements expressing her frustration, whereupon he said to her, "Why should it concern you [so much], O Mother of the Faithful?! By Allah, I know nobody worthier of it [caliphate] than him [than `Ali (a.s.)]; so, why do you hate for him to be the caliph?" The Mother of the Faithful cried out, "Take me back! Take me back!" She returned to Madinah saying, "`Uthman, by Allah, was killed unjustly. By Allah! I shall seek revenge for the shedding of his blood!" `Ubaydullah said to her, "Why?! By Allah, the first person to legitimize the shedding of his blood is your own self! You

used to say, 'Kill Na`thal for he has committed apostasy'." She said, "They got him to regret, then they killed him. I have said what I said, and so have they, and my last statement is better than my

72

first." She went to Mecca and alighted at the Mosque's door where many people gathered around her. She said to them, "O people! `Uthman has been unjustly killed. By Allah! I shall seek revenge for his murder." [1]

The anger of Mother of the Faithful `A'ishah agreed with the anger of `al-hah and al-Zubayr after Imam `Ali (a.s.) had deposed them from their posts as the walis of Yemen and Bahrain respectively; therefore, they both reneged from their oath of allegiance to Imam `Ali (a.s.) and went to Mecca to urge the same Mother of the Faithful to fight `Ali (a.s.). They went out accompanied by a huge army under the military command of the Mother of the Faithful in the direction of Basra where a crushing war, known as the Battle of the Camel (harb al-jamal), took place. Victory was on the side of the army led by Imam `Ali (a.s.), and in it both `al-hah and al-Zubayr were killed as well as thirteen thousand Muslims. All these were the victims of the call ushered by the Mother of the Faithful to avenge the killing of `Uthman. She claimed that the killers had found their way to the Imam's army. No matter what, was she not supposed to let such issues be decided by wali al-amr especially since Allah Almighty had ordered her to "... stay in your houses" (Qur'an, 33:33)?

And why should she have anything to do with that since `Uthman is a man from Banu Umayyah while she is from [the tribe of] Taym except when there is another reason for her thus marching out?! Although the reality of this incident answers this question clearly, add to it the prophecy of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) about this dissension and his making a reference to those behind it. For example, `Abdullah [ibn `Abbas] has said, "The Prophet (a.s.) stood up to deliver a sermon. He pointed in the direction of the residence of `A'ishah and said, 'Dissension is right there,' repeating his statement three times. He went on to say, 'It is from there that Satan's horn shall come out.'" [2] `Ammar ibn Yasir considered

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 172. Ibn al-Athir. Ibn Sa`d.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 217, in the Book of Khums in a chapter about

73

obedience to `A'ishah in such a deed as being at the expense of obedience to Allah, the most Great, the most Exalted One. Ibn Ziyad al-Asadi has said, "... so I heard `Ammar saying, '`A'ishah marched out to Basra. By Allah! She is the wife of your Prophet (a.s.) in the life of this world and in

the Hereafter, but Allah, the most Praised, the most Exalted One, has tested you in order to see whether you obey Him or you obey her." [1]

Long before this incident, `A'ishah was very well known of being extremely spiteful of `Ali (a.s.). She could not even bear hearing his name mentioned. `Abdullah ibn `Utbah is quoted as having said, "`A'ishah said, 'When heaviness covered the Prophet (a.s.) and his pain intensified, he sought permission of his wives to be treated at my chamber, and they granted him permission. The Prophet (a.s.) went out assisted by two men, dragging his feet on the ground. He was between `Abbas and another man.'" `Ubaydullah went on to say, "I related this to [`Abdullah] ibn `Abbas who asked me, 'Do you know who the other man was?' I said, 'No.' He said, 'That was `Ali.'" [2] Perhaps what `A'ishah had heard was what `Ali (a.s.) said to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) in her regard in the incident wherein she was charged. This was the reason for such spite and hatred. `Ubaydullah ibn Mas`ud has said, "... As for `Ali ibn Abi Talib (a.s.), he said, 'O Messenger of Allah! Allah has not placed any pressure on you, and women besides her are numerous, indeed.'" [3]

The "prince of poets (amir al-shu`ara)," Ahmed Shawqi, has described `A'ishah's spite [towards `Ali (a.s.)] in poetic verses wherein he addresses Imam `Ali (a.s.) as follows: "O mountain! The weight that you carry is rejected by other mountains; what load did the Owner of the Camel [`A'ishah] throw on you? Was it the effect

what went on in the houses of the Prophet's wives.

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 171, in the Book of Dissensions in a chapter about a dissension that would move like high sea waves.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 133, in the Book of Ablution in a chapter about the Prophet (a.s.) pouring water on someone who lost his consciousness.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 6, p. 252 in the Book of Tafsir in a chapter about "... had you only heard him say it."

74

of `Uthman causing her to grieve? Or was it choking the grief which was never extracted? Such was a rift none ever expected. Women's schemes weaken mountains, and the Mother of the Faithful was only a woman. What got that pure and exonerated woman out of her chamber and Sunnah was the same spite that remains all the time."

The Myth of `Abdullah ibn Saba'

The summary of this myth is: "A man named `Abdullah ibn Saba', a Jew from Yemen, pretended to be a follower of Islam during the reign of `Uthman in order to cause mischief to the Muslims. He

moved about the main Islamic metropolises in Egypt, Syria, Basra and Kufah, spreading the "glad tidings" that the Prophet (a.s.) would return to life, that `Ali (a.s.) was his wasi (successor), and that `Uthman was the usurper of the right of this wasi. Groups from among senior sahabah and tabi`un (followers of the Sahabah-the second generation of Muslims) such as `Ammar ibn Yasir, Abu Tharr, Muhammed ibn al-Hanafiyah and others. He was able to raise armies to kill caliph `Uthman at his own house."

Thus does the series of events of this fabricated myth continue until it ends with the Battle of the Camel when `Abdullah ibn Saba' orders his followers to sneak into the army of `Ali (a.s.) and of `A'ishah without their knowledge in order to stir a war, and "thus did the Battle of the Camel take place." [1] Sayyid Murtada al-`Askari [2], who stood to expose the fallacy of this imagined myth, states that "The person who fabricated this personality [`Abdullah ibn Saba'] is Sayf ibn `Amr al-Tamimi al-Barjami al-Kufi, who died in A.H. 170 (A.D. 786), and from him all other historians quoted it. Then this fabricated incident gained fame and spread in history books across the centuries and until our time, so much so that it has

[1] `Allamah al-`Askari, AHadith Umm al-Mu'minin, p. 272.

[2] Besides him, a number of scholarly researchers, such as Taha Husain in Vol. 1 of his book titled Al-Fitna al-Kupa (the great dissension) and Dr. Kamil al-Shaybi in his book titled Al-Silah Bayna al-Tashayyu` wal Tasawwuf (the relationship between Shi`ism and Sufism), have all rejected the notion that such an individual ever existed in reality.

75

become one of the famous incidents the authenticity of which nobody doubts. The vast majority of writers and historians in the East as well as Orientalists have been blinded to the fact that this incident was the painchild of one single narrator, a lone individual who acted on his own, and that this narrator, namely Sayf ibn `Amr, is very well known by ancient scholars of hadith as a fabricator and is even accused of being an unbeliever. Ibn Dawud says the following about him: "He is nothing; he is a liar." Ibn `Abd al-Barr says, "Sayf is rejected. We have cited his tradition only to inform you of it." Al-Nisa'i says this about him: "His traditions are weak. He is not trusted, and nobody has any faith in him." Yet this same lying narrator is quoted by al-`abari, Ibn `Asakir, Ibn Abu Bakr, etc., and al-`abari has been and is being quoted by all other writers and historians until our time. [1]

It is well known that incidents narrated by one single person do not satisfy the scientific thinking, nor can they be used as evidence. How is it, then, when this same narrator is not trusted and was famous for being a liar and an unbeliever? Can his narrative be accepted? How can one accept to pass a judgment against a large segment of the Muslims by simply relying on incidents related by

lone individuals who have been proven to be liars while there are ahadith that are consecutively reported [mutawatir] from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) which prove the opposite?

One of the greatest historical farces is to attribute Shi`ism to a mythical man, namely `Abdullah ibn Saba', claiming he was the one who disseminated the concept of "`Ali (a.s.) the wasi" despite the existence of a huge number of authentic texts proving that Shi`ism has always been to follow Muhammed (a.s.) and nobody else. Refer to the Imamate texts on the previous pages to see where this `Abdullah ibn Saba' fits. Is `Abdullah ibn Saba' the one who said, "I am leaving among you that which, if you uphold them, you shall never stray: the Book of Allah and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt"? Or is he the one who said, "Anyone who has accepted me as his master, `Ali is his master"? Or

[1] Excerpted and edited from the book titled 'Abdullah ibn Saba' by `Allamah Sayyid Murtada al-`Askari.

76

is he the one who said that the Imams are twelve in number? What a ridiculous tale it is that says that a Jew has come from Yemen to hypocritically declare his acceptance of Islam then carries out all these extraordinary deeds which reach the limit of getting Muslim armies to battle each other without anyone discovering his true identity?! Is it reasonable to accept that Imam `Ali (a.s.), about whom the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, "I am the city of wisdom and `Ali is its gate," fall a victim to the trickery of this Jew? Surely one who says so has strayed far, far away from the right track.

The Battle of Siffin and the Rebellion of Mu`awiyah

Having achieved victory in the Battle of the Camel, the Imam (a.s.) concentrated the effort of his army to eliminate the opposition led by Mu`awiyah ibn Abi Sufyan in Syria. Both armies stood face to face near the Euphrates. The Imam (a.s.) tried to correct the situation through peaceful means, but the answer given by Mu`awiyah to the deputation sent to him by the Imam (a.s.) was this: "Get away from me, for I have nothing for you except the sword." [1] Thus, both armies were engaged in battle. When signs of victory for the army led by the Imam (a.s.) became clear, Mu`awiyah staged the "trick of the copies of the Qur'an". Mu`awiyah ordered his soldiers to raise the copies of the Qur'an on the tips of their lances and swords. Although the Imam (a.s.) stood to expose this plot which was intended to put hurdles in the path of the victory which dawned quite near the army of Imam `Ali (a.s.), those fighters in his army who were demanding a ceasefire did not respond to his repeated calls, forcing him to accept arbitration. And the Imam (a.s.) strongly protested the choice of Abu Musa al-Ash`ari as the representative of his army during the

arbitration process due to this man's weakness and the feebleness of his views. Imam `Ali (a.s.) had said, "I do not see that you should grant Abu Musa such an official task, for he is

[1] Ibn al-Sabbagh al-Maliki, Al-Fusul al-Muhimmah, p. 83 (the Dar al-Adwa' edition).

77

too weak to confront the trickery of `Amr [ibn al-'As]."[1] `Ali (a.s.) had already deposed Abu Musa al-Ash`ari from his post as the wali of Kufah.

There was a prior plan to raise the copies of the Qur'an and to coordinate it with a movement supportive of Mu`awiyah that had sneaked into the Imam's army and which demanded the acceptance of the arbitration and the choice of Abu Musa al-Ash`ari [as the negotiator during the arbitration process]. The results of the arbitration, as the Imam (a.s.) had expected, came in favor of Mu`awiyah. For the latter, the situation started to gradually stabilize in his own interest following this major rebellion and when the caliph of the Muslims was thus disobeyed, hoping he would earn a worldly pleasure of which he always dreamed.

In the past, I used to wonder about this incident in which more than ninety-thousand Muslims from both sides were killed. Whenever I asked [the Sunnis about it], the answer came as a cliché as follows: "It was merely a dissension between two great sahabis. Each of them followed his own ijtiḥad. The one whose ijtiḥad was right earned two rewards, while the one whose ijtiḥad proved wrong earned one. Nobody ought to think about it. That was a nation that passed by; for it are the rewards of the good deeds which it earned, and for you are your own rewards." They have other such answers whereby they close any door that may uncover the causes of this "dissension", as they call it.

Thus does this issue remain according to Ahl al-Sunnah suspended like a mysterious riddle without a solution. This opened the door wide for Orientalist scholars to state their own views about our religion, so much so that some of them claimed that there is contradiction in Islam, pointing out to the tradition of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) wherein he said, "If two Muslims face each other with their swords in hand, both the killer and the killed shall be lodged in Hell." This tradition contradicts the claim of the

[1] Al-Sibt ibn al-Jawzi, Tathkirat al-Khawass, p. 79.

78

Sunnis that both parties during the Battle of Siffin were Muslim, and their commanders were

great sahabis! So, why such insistence on refusing to distinguish between what is right and what is wrong? Why should the truth not be said? Is it really that ambiguous

Anyhow, anyone who is confused about the truth regarding Mu`awiyah must carefully discern the following proofs, and let the reader issue his own judgment after that:

In his Sahih, Muslim cites the following statement of `Ali (a.s.):

"I swear by the One Who created the seed and initiated the peeze that the Ummi Prophet (a.s.) pledged that nobody except a believer loves me, and nobody except a hypocrite hates me." [1]

So, what would you say about one who raises armies to fight him (a.s.)?! And what is the judgment of Ahl al-Sunnah regarding one who disobeys the Imam of the Muslims obedience to whom is obligatory?

In al-Bukhari's Sahih, there are references pointing to the oppression committed by Mu`awiyah. Abu Sa`id al-Khudri is quoted as having said, "We were once carrying the Mosque's blocks one by one while `Ammar was carrying them two at a time. The Prophet (a.s.) passed by him, rubbed the dust from his head and said,

'What a pity for `Ammar! He shall be killed by the oppressive party; `Ammar invites them to Allah while they invite him to the Fire.'" [2]

This prediction of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) proved true when `Ammar was martyred as he was fighting under the flag of Imam `Ali (a.s.) during the Battle of Siffin.

In Al-Mustadrak `Alal Sahihayn, relying on the authority of Khalid al-`Arabi, the author quotes the latter as having said, "I and Abu

[1] Muslim, Sahih, in the Book of «man in a chapter about love for `Ali, may Allah glorify his countenance, as a sign of iman, Vol. 1, p. 262 (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 52 in the Book of Jihad in a chapter about removing one's dust seeking the Pleasure of Allah.

79

Sa`id al-Khudri met Huthayfah [al-Yamani] and said, 'O Abu `Abdullah! Relate to us what you have heard the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) say about the dissension.' Huthayfah said, 'The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'Stick to the Book [of Allah, i.e. the Holy Qur'an] wherever it goes.' We said, 'If

people differ with each other, with whom should we be?' He (a.s.) said, 'Look up to the group wherein the son of Sumayyah [i.e. `Ammar ibn Yasir] is and hold on to it, for he goes where the Book of Allah goes.' I heard the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) say to `Ammar, 'O son of al-Yaq'an! You shall not die until the oppressive group that lies in ambush kills you.'"[1]

The oppression and rebellion of Mu`awiyah were all expected. Since he became the wali of Syria during the reign of `Umar, wealth, authority and mansions which he had built for him followed, and he expanded such affluence during the reign of caliph `Uthman. It was not easy for a man like him to give all this up. He knew for sure that if Imam `Ali (a.s.) did not remove him from office, he would at least strip him off all what he had acquired at the expense of the Muslims' bayt al-mal and that he would treat him on equal footing as he would any other Muslim. What went on between him and the highly revered sahabi, Abu Tharr al-Ghifari, during the caliphate of `Uthman also proves what we have stated, that is, he was running after the wares of the life in this world and his squandering of the State's public funds. The objection of Abu Tharr to Mu`awiyah's conduct resulted in caliph `Uthman banishing him to al-Rabathah after having him pought to him in Medinah. Zayd ibn Wahab is quoted as having said, "I passed by Abu Tharr in al-Rabathah and asked him, 'What pought you to this [desolate] land?' He said, 'We were in Syria. The verse saying 'And there are those who hoard up gold and silver and do not spend in God's way: Announce a most grievous penalty to them' (Qur'an, 9:34) was revealed. Mu`awiyah said that it was not revealed about the Muslims but rather about the People of the Book. I said that it was

[1] Al-Mustadrak `Alal Sahihayn, Vol. 2, p. 148 (Dar al-Kitab al-`Arabi edition).

80

about us and about them as well.'"[1]

Thus was Abu Tharr punished with banishment despite the testimony of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) for him that he was truthful. The Prophet (a.s.) said,

"No tree has shaded nor the desert has seen a man more truthful than Abu Tharr"[2]

This incident makes it clear how Mu`awiyah tampered with the meaning of the Qur'an in order to cover his squandering of the nation's funds-the funds with which he had no right to deal according to his own personal desires. The problem is that al-Bukhari has stated in his Sahih what "qualifies" Mu`awiyah to be a faqih (well-versed in religious affairs)! Abu Malikah has said, "Mu`awiyah prayed one single rak`ah (unit of prayer) for the witr (single) prayers after the evening prayers, and a slave of Ibn `Abbas was in his company. Ibn `Abbas came and said [to his slave], 'Leave him, for he was a companion of the Messenger of Allah!'"[3] In another version in the narration of this same incident, he [Ibn `Abbas] said that Mu`awiyah was a "faqih!"[4] If you come to know that

Mu`awiyah spent twenty years as "caliph" of the Muslims, and before that he was wali [provincial governor] over Syria, the reader may imagine the extent to which Mu`awiyah exercised his own influence on the fabrication and transmission of aHadith attributed to the Prophet (a.s.) in order to justify his actions. Despite all the efforts which he exerted to cover them up, they have become quite clear in the books of hadith and history in a way which leaves no room for confusion in getting to know the truth about this "caliph" whom they [Sunnis] also regard as the "commander of the faithful"! The conduct of Mu`awiyah with regard to his government and

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 146 in the Book of Tafsir in a chapter about this verse.

[2] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 13, p. 210 in a chapter about the merits of Abu Tharr.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 73 in a book about the merits of the sahabah in a chapter about Mu`awiyah.

[4] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 74 in a book about the merits of the sahabah in a chapter about Mu`awiyah.

81

authority has its own roots in his Sufyani family. His father [Abu Sufyan] said to `Uthman after the latter had received the oath of allegiance, "Receive it as a ball is received, for by the one by whom Abu Sufyan swears, I remain optimistic that you [Umayyads], too, will receive it, and it shall be received by your children by way of inheritance,"[1] thus pointing out to the true reason why this family pretended to have accepted Islam following the conquest of Mecca and when all Meccans embraced Islam. Look into the following incident to realize what sort of Islam they quite reluctantly embraced:

`Abdullah ibn `Abbas has said, "Abu Sufyan said, 'By Allah! I remained in humiliation, feeling sure that his [Prophet's] call would gain the upper hand until Allah caused Islam to enter my heart against my wish.'"[2] If Abu Sufyan's tongue thus admits, imagine what his heart would say had it been enabled to speak about what it contains!

What the Prophet (a.s.) Said about Mu`awiyah

The following is stated by Muslim in his Sahih: "The Prophet (a.s.) one day sent him [Mu`awiyah] to Ibn `Abbas inviting him to come to write something for him. Ibn `Abbas found him eating. The Prophet (a.s.) sent him [Ibn `Abbas] again to Mu`awiyah, and Ibn `Abbas again found him eating. This took place a third time. The Prophet (a.s.) said,

'May Allah never cause his [Mu`awiyah's] stomach to feel satisfied.'"[3]

Also in Muslim's Sahih is the following text: "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said,

'... As for Mu`awiyah, he is a penniless and spiritless

[1] Al-Tabari, Tarikh.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 122 in the Book of Jihad.

[3] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 462 in the Book of Kindness, Charity and Etiquette in a chapter about one cursed by the Prophet (a.s.) (Dar al-Sha`b edition) as cited in al-Nawawi's Sharh.

82 person." [1]

In Ahmed's Musnad, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) is quoted as having said the following about Mu`awiyah and `Amr ibn al-`As: "O Lord! Hurl them into dissension headlong, and lodge them into Hell," in addition to many other narratives exposing the truth about that "commander of the faithful" Mu`awiyah, son of the liver-eater, [2] who sealed his deeds in the life of this world by installing hison, the drunkard and the debauchee Yazid, as "caliph" over the Muslims after him. Yazid was then no more than twenty years old. Thus, Mu`awiyah violated the reconciliation treaty which he had signed with Imam al-Hasan (a.s.), actually going against the Commandments of Allah (a.s.) and of His Messenger (a.s.) as well as violating the "sunnah" of both Sheikhs [Abu Bakr and `Umar] and all other traditions discussed by the "Ahl al-Sunnah".

Martyrdom of Imam `Ali (a.s.)

The last battle waged by Imam `Ali (a.s.) was that of al-Nahrawan. He fought in it the group which forced him to accept the arbitration in Siffin but then regretted it a few days later, reneging from its covenant and violating the oath of allegiance to the Imam. Later on, these were called the "Khawaraj" [or Kharijites] or the "Mariqun". He (a.s.) scored a victory over them and was getting ready to fight the rebels in Syria following the failure of the arbitration talks, but the Imam (a.s.) was martyred at the hands of a member of the Khawarij named `Abd al-Rahman ibn Muljim who stabbed the Imam (a.s.) as he was prostrating during his fajr prayer at the Grand Kufah Mosque in the morning of the 19th of the month of Ramadan, 40 A.H. (January 26, 661 A.D.), five years after having taken charge. The Imam (a.s.) remained suffering from the attack for three

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 693 in the Book of Divorce in a chapter about a woman whose divorce is irrevocable not having the right for any financial support after the divorce (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[2] The liver-eater is Hind, Mu`awiyah's mother who tried to chew the liver of the Master of the Martyrs, Hamzah ibn `Abd al-Muttalib, the Prophet's uncle, after he had been martyred during the Battle of Uhud.

days during which he handed over the Imamate to his son al-Hasan (a.s.), older grandson of the Prophet (a.s.), so that he might carry out after his own demise the duties in leading the nation.

This assignment of the caliphate was not based on the mere fact that al-Hasan (a.s.) was a son of `Ali (a.s.) or on his being the most fit for it, in his own personal view, to be the caliph. Rather, it was done in obedience to the Command of Allah Almighty Who chose the twelve successors of His Messenger (a.s.), as we have already stated, with Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) being the second on the list.

THE RECONCILIATION TREATY, MARTYDOM OF IMAM AL-HASAN (a.s.)

83

After the martyrdom of Imam `Ali (a.s.), Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) ascended the pulpit and the people of Kufah swore the oath of allegiance to him as the successor of the Prophet (a.s.) and the Imam of the nation. But this did not last for more than six months.

When the news reached Syria that Imam `Ali (a.s.) had been martyred, Mu`awiyah led a large army towards Kufah in order to personally take charge of the leadership of the Muslims and to force Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) son of Imam `Ali (a.s.) to surrender to him. Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) found no alternative to reconciling and signing a treaty with Mu`awiyah.

As regarding the reasons which forced him to sign such a reconciliation agreement, these were: the disintegration of his army, the internal and unstable domestic situation in Iraq, and the Roman Empire which was looking for an opportunity to attack Islam, having stood ready with a huge army to fight the Muslims. Had a war been waged between Mu`awiyah and Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) under such circumstances, the winner would have been the Roman Empire, neither Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) nor Mu`awiyah. Thus, Imam al-Hasan (a.s.), having opted for peace, removed a very serious danger against Islam. As for the terms of the Reconciliation Treaty, these were:

1. Al-Hasan (a.s.) was to hand over the government and the

84

management of affairs to Mu`awiyah provided that the latter should adhere to the Qur'an and to the Sunnah of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.).

2. Caliphate after the death of Mu`awiyah should be a right specifically belonging to Imam al-Hasan (a.s.). If something happened to him, caliphate would then go to his pother, Imam al-Husain (a.s.).
3. All condemnations and insults against Imam `Ali (a.s.) should be prohibited, be they launched from the pulpit or from anywhere else.
4. Five million dirhams, which were then present at bayt al-mal in Kufah, would be put under the supervision of Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) and Mu`awiyah was to send one million dirhams a year from the khiraj tax to Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) for distribution to the families of those who were martyred in the battles of the Camel and of Siffin on the side of Imam `Ali (a.s.).
5. Mu`awiyah was to pledge that he would leave all people, regardless of their race or ethnic origin, and not chase or harm them, and he should also pledge to carry out the terms of this Agreement with precision and make the public his witnesses.

But Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) was martyred in 50 A.H. (670 A.D.) as a result of his wife, Ju`dah daughter of al-Ash`ath ibn Qays, having laced something which she had given him with poison. This wife belonged to a family which followed a course of living and believing contrary to that of the descendants of Imam `Ali (a.s.). Mu`awiyah had instigated her to commit this terrible crime by sending her one hundred thousand dirhams and by promising her to marry her off to his son, Yazid, if she poisoned her husband, Imam al-Hasan (a.s.). Mu`awiyah was elated when he heard about the martyrdom of Imam al-Hasan (a.s.). He saw in it the removal of the greatest hurdle in his way to achieve his objectives, thus firming the foundations of the Umayyad dynasty's rule. Thus, Mu`awiyah achieved all of that thereafter and was able to install his pornographic teenage son, Yazid, over the nation by force. So,

85

where does this fit in the Sunnis' belief that caliphate must take place through consultation? Did they not reject the texts which mandate the caliphate of the Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) in the pretext that such Imamate must be through consultation? Does this not prove that caliphate, according to their view, is not legitimate if not done through consultation? But why did they consider the "caliphate" of Yazid as legitimate?! And how did they agree to call him "commander of the faithful"?!

Consider the following so you may view some black pages of our Islamic history. Consider a

narrative of glittering glimpses of the life of "commander of the faithful Yazid son of Abu Sufyan"!

THE KERBALA' REVOLUTION AND THE MARTYDOM OF IMAM AL-HUSSEIN(a.s.)

85

After the demise of Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) in 50 A.H.(670 A.D.), the Shi'ahs of Iraq started writing al-Husain (a.s.) to request him to remove Mu`awiyah from his self-installed post of ruling over the Muslims. But al-Husain (a.s.) stated in his answer to them that he had with Mu`awiyah a treaty, an agreement, and that he could not violate it. As for Mu`awiyah, for the period of twenty years of his rule, he used to prepare to firm the foundations of the rule of his debauchee son, Yazid, in order to make him a "commander of the faithful", thus violating his treaty with Imam al-Hasan (a.s.) to which he had agreed and, moreover, rejecting and violating what the Sunnis had agreed upon, that is, their belief that the selection of a caliph is done through consultation with the condition that he must be righteous and pious. If you consider all of this, you will see the extent of the crime committed by Mu`awiyah against Islam and Muslims. His line of action was followed by the rest of Umayyad, `Abbasid and Ottoman caliphs most of whom could not be distinguished from the Muslims' debauchee and corrupt rulers of our time.

After the death of Mu`awiyah in 60 A.H. (680 A.D.), Yazid seated himself as the ruler. His palace was a nucleus of corruption and sin. He, according to the admission of all Islamic groups, used to

86

publicly drink wine during his crowded night parties. Among his well recorded statements are shallow poetic verses from which we would like to quote the following:

Musical tones distracted me from the sound of the adhan,

Instead of the haris, I took to myself an old hag in the chambers.

This does not surprise us. Yazid was pought up by a Christian governess. He, as described by historians, was a reckless youth, a licentious, extravagant, immoral, short-sighted, off-guard young man who surrounded himself with luxury. He is always reported as having led the Friday

congregational prayer service on a Wednesday [rather than Friday] and led the fajr prayers in four rak`ahs [instead of two] because he was quite drunk. Other such incidents are reported about him the narration of which does not serve our purpose. We have mentioned his violations in order to shed a light on the circumstances during which Imam al-Husain (a.s.) saw that an uprising and a revolution were necessary to resurrect Islam and the religious sunan after they had become threatened with distortion and extinction. The objective of Imam al-Husain (a.s.) behind his revolution was not to take control of the caliphate or run after authority, for he knew that the Umayyads were more prepared to secure it for themselves especially after the people of Iraq had reneged, fearing the Umayyads.

In one of his sermons near Kerbalah, Imam al-Husain (a.s.) states the reason behind his uprising as follows:

"O people! Whoever sees an oppressive imam permitting what Allah prohibits, violating Allah's covenant after confirming it, behaving contrarily to the Sunnah of His Prophet (a.s.), ruling among the servants of Allah (a.s.) with sin and oppression, Allah will hurl him together with the same person into the Fire."

In another statement, he said,

"O people! They [Umayyads] obeyed Satan, disobeyed the most Merciful One, caused corruption in the land, suspended the implementation of the sunan, took to

87

themselves what belonged to the Muslims, permitted what Allah prohibits, forbade what Allah permits, and I, more than anyone else, am worthier of opposing them."

When Imam al-Husain (a.s.) came to know about the reneging and violation of the covenant with him which took place in Kufah, he gathered his companions and family members, who were in his company, and frankly said the following to them:

"Our supporters have betrayed us. Anyone who likes to go away may do so; he is not obliged to us."

They dispersed from him right and left, so much so that only those who had come with him from Mecca and Madinah stayed. But Imam al-Husain (a.s.) kept upholding his decision and in the same determination whereby he set out from Mecca the Venerable. As described by a poet, his condition was: "If the religion of Muhammed (a.s.) cannot stay straight except if I am killed, then

take me, O swords!" He met with `Umar ibn Sa`d [ibn Abi Waqqas], commander of the army sent to fight him by the provincial governor of Kufah, `Ubaydullah ibn Ziyad, who was appointed by the Umayyad "caliph", Yazid, which was made up of thirty-two thousand strong, according to some narratives.

It was only natural for the force of the army of Yazid son of Mu`awiyah to be able to kill such a small numbered band. On that day, the tragedy of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) was personified, how they were wronged, in the clearest way. Yazid son of Mu`awiyah, in this massacre, was paying the "reward" which the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had required him:

"Say: 'I ask no reward of you for this [Islamic creed] except love for my near in kin'" (Qur'an, 42:23)

History narrates tragic scenes too difficult for anyone to describe as they were in reality. One of them is the tragedy of the infant son of Imam Husain (a.s.), namely `Abdullah, whom the Imam carried to the battlefield asking for a drink of water for him after a blockade was enforced on the Imam's camp, depriving him of any access to the Euphrates. Thirst, hence, took its heavy toll on them. The Imam

88

carried `Abdullah asking for some water for him and to stir their conscience and human feeling. But they shot the infant with an arrow, killing him instantly. Martyrs from among the followers of Imam Husain (a.s.) and from his Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) fell one after the other.

Al-Husain (a.s.) was the last to be martyred in that decisive battle. Yet they were not satisfied with killing the Master of the Youths of Paradise[1] but severed his head from his body then carried it together with the heads of his companions as gifts to the killers, raising them on their spears on their way to Yazid son of Mu`awiyah in Syria. Some Muslims keep insisting on calling him "commander of the faithful" ...; so, there is no will nor might except in Allah...!

Having narrated these events, which clearly show the lofty objectives for which al-Husain (a.s.) started his revolution, a revolution which was described by a great Islamist, namely Dr. `Amr `Abd al-Rahman, thus, "The martyrdom of al-Husain (a.s.) is a thousand times greater than his staying alive." But there are those who minimize the value of this great revolution because of their falling victim to the misleading Umayyad propaganda. Such propaganda has tried very hard to distort history. And they fell victim to contemptible sectarian fanaticism. They, thus, are forced to adopt such a shameful distortion of the facts such as the statement of so-called "sheikh al-Islam" Ibn Taymiyyah in this sense: "Imam al-Husain (a.s.), in his revolution, caused a dissension in the

Islamic nation when he disobeyed the one who was in charge of the affairs of the Muslims"...!!! If we ask this so-called "sheikh al-Islam" about Mu`awiyah who disobeyed Imam `Ali (a.s.) (who was then in charge of the affairs of the Muslims), he will not see in it any dissension, nor will he see any sin in it for them. The same applies to `A'ishah who disobeyed Imam `Ali (a.s.)... This is nothing but a norm of attempts to openly falsify our Islamic history;

[1] As is mentioned in almost all Sunni and Shi`ite reference books of Hadith, the Prophet (a.s.) has said, "Al-Hasan and al-Husain are the masters of the youths of Paradise."

89

otherwise, how can we explain how most Sunnis ignore this historic tragedy in which the descendants of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) were killed in the most horrible and painful way? All the descendants of Mu`awiyah and his son, Yazid, followed in the footsteps of the Umayyads and of the Abbasids. They crushed any opposition to their authority, especially when it came from the Members of the Household of the Prophet (a.s.) who were always pursued with discrimination, banishment, killing and torture.

Such oppression was not confined to the Members of the Household of the Prophet (a.s.) alone. Among the victims of the Umayyad oppression from among those who did not belong to the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) was, for example, `Abdullah ibn al-Zubayr. History has recorded the tragic scene inside the precinct of Mecca where `Abdullah ibn al-Zubayr was slaughtered and skinned. The sanctity of that place which even people during the jahiliyyah period held as sacred and holy and did not permit the slaughter of animals, let alone of humans, inside it. And the Venerable Ka`bah could not help him against the Umayyad rulers when he clung to its curtains. This same Ka`bah was bombarded with catapults during the time of `Abd al-Malik ibn Marwan who gave a free hand to his tyrant, al-Hajjaj, to kill people without a just cause. About both men, al-Hasan al-Basri said, "Had `Abd al-Malik committed only the sin of [giving a free hand to] al-Hajjaj, it would have sufficed him [i.e. was sufficient for his condemnation]." And `Umar ibn `Abd al-`Aziz said, "Had each nation pought forth its oppressor, and had we [Umayyads] pought forth al-Hajjaj, we would have outweighed them [in the measure of oppressiveness]."

So, do these deeds qualify their doer to be a Muslim, let alone to being the caliph of the Muslims or the "commander of the faithful"??! Undoubtedly, we nowadays need to take a second look at our history[1] and to discern many of its events then ask to speak to

[1] Take a look at the picture attacked to the cover of the book titled Haqa'iq `an Amir al-Mu'minin Yazid [facts about the commander of the faithful Yazid] so you may see to what extent some people have gone in their falsification of the Islamic history...!

us due to their strong ties to sketching the outlines of the Islamic sects to which the Muslims nowadays adhere. They have in them what helps truly get to know this sect or that away from oppression and injustice. Because of those incidents, the Muslims slipped away from the original Islamic line of Muhammed (a.s.), becoming diverse sects and groups each one of which claims it is the one that will receive salvation. None of us needs to wait for Divine Wahy (revelation) to tell him the name of this sect. Allah, the most Great and the most Exalted One, has granted us the mind whereby we can distinguish what is foul from what is good, making it an argument against His servants, prohibiting us from blindly imitating others, saying,

"What! Even though their fathers were void of wisdom and guidance?!" (Qur'an, 2:170).

He has also said,

"We have sent them admonishment, but most of them hate admonishment" (Qur'an, 23:71).

He has required us to investigate and research before believing each and every one, saying,

"O you who believe! If a wicked person comes to you with any news, ascertain the truth, lest you should harm people unwittingly and afterwards become full of repentance for what you have done" (Qur'an, 49:6).

CHAPTER TWO :THE SAHABAH: HOW EQUITABLE WERE THEY

The issue of the sahabah and the degree of their justice is one of the most contested issues and the most sensitive. The Sunnis are of the view that ALL the sahabah are fair and just and cannot be charged of any wrongdoing whatsoever. They cannot be criticized or doubted with regard to their views about the traditions of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). Thus, Sunnis adhere to everything a sahabi narrates. According to the Sunnis, as mentioned by al-Nawawi in the Introduction to his Sharh Sahih Muslim, the sahabi "... is any Muslim who saw the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) even for a moment. This is accurate, and it is the line of Ibn Hanbal, al-Bukhari in his Sahih, and of all

traditionists." [1] As for the Shi'ahs, they are of the view that the sahabah are not all equal in the degree of their justice and equity, and they are liable to be criticized and critiqued, relying on convincing proofs from the Glorious Book and the Purified Sunnah. As regarding the lie that the Shi'ahs consider all the sahabah as unbelievers, in addition to cursing and condemning them, it is an outrageous lie and nothing else. Criticizing a sahabi does not mean calling him unbeliever as some idiots propagate. If such a criticism is based on convincing proofs, why should anyone be angry, and why such a fuss? Among the sahabah are believers whom Allah praised in the Holy Qur'an saying,

[1] Sahih Muslim as explained by al-Nawawi, Vol. 1, p. 28 (published by Dar al-Sha`b).

92

"Allah was pleased with the believers when they swore fealty to you [O Muhammed!] under the tree [at Hudaibiyah]: He knew what was in their hearts, and He sent down tranquility upon them, and He rewarded them with a speedy victory" (Qur'an, 48:18).

As `Allamah LutfAllah al-Safi has stated with regard to this verse, Allah Almighty specifically meant those who believed from among the attendants of the fealty ceremony under that tree, and [His Pleasure] was not extended to the hypocrites who also attended it such as `Abdullah ibn Ubayy and Aws ibn Khawli, etc. There is no clue in the verse that it was in reference to ALL those who swore fealty, and it does not indicate the good outcome of all believers who swore it. The verse does not convey any meaning beyond the Pleasure of Allah with them for having sworn this fealty [to His Messenger]. That is to say, He accepted such an oath, and He rewards for it. The Pleasure of Allah with those who swore this fealty does not obligate His Pleasure with them for eternity. The evidence for this is what He, the Almighty, said about them:

"Truly those who pledge their fealty to you [O Muhammed!] do no less than pledge their fealty to Allah: the hand of Allah is above their hands" (Qur'an, 48:10).

Had some of those who swore fealty not renege in his oath, and had the Pleasure of Allah been with them forever, there would have been no use for this verse of the Almighty:

"... Then anyone who violates his oath does so to the harm of his own soul" (Qur'an, 48:10).

Among the sahabah were those predicted by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) to revert to pre-Islamic beliefs after the Prophet's demise and would perish on the Day of Judgment. We know this from the following tradition which al-Bukhari cites in his Sahih with the isnad to Sahl ibn Sa`d who said, "I heard the Prophet (a.s.) say,

"I shall precede you at the Pool [of Kawthar]. Whoever reaches it will drink of it, and whoever drinks of it shall never taste of thirst. People whom I know and who know

93

me shall meet me there, but a barrier shall be placed between us."

Sahl goes on to say that the statement of the Prophet (a.s.) had additional details. The Prophet (a.s.) would then say,

"But they are my companions!" It will be said to him, "You do not know what alterations [to the creed] they did after you." The Prophet (a.s.) shall say, "Crushed, may anyone who makes changes (to the creed) after me be crushed." [1]

`Abdullah [ibn `Abbas] is quoted as having cited the Prophet (a.s.) saying the following to some sahabah:

"I shall precede you at the Pool. Some of you, men, shall be raised to me. If I try to hand them [water], they shall not be able to reach me. I shall say, 'Lord! But these are my companions!' He shall say, 'You do not know what they introduced [into the creed] after you.'" [2]

As a testimony to both past traditions which point out to alterations and innovations introduced into the creed, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) compares some of his sahabah to Jewish and Christian nations that altered the Word of Allah from its rightful place. Abu Sa`id al-Khudri says that the Prophet (a.s.) has said,

"You shall follow the ways of those before you the distance of a span, the distance of a yard, [and so on]. Even if they enter the hole of a lizard, you will still follow them there." We [the sahabah] said, "The Jews and the Christians?!" He (a.s.) said, "Who else?!" [3]

And among the sahabah are those about whom the Almighty said the following in His Glorious Book:

"But when they [some sahabah] see some bargain or

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 144, in the book of dissensions in a chapter titled "Beware of dissension that will specifically afflict those from among you who oppressed".

[2] Ibid.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 315, in the book of shielding with the Book and the Sunnah in a chapter about following past nations.

94

amusement, they disperse headlong to it and leave you standing" (Qur'an, 62:11).

This verse was revealed about the sahabah who left the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) delivering his Friday sermon when they heard about a trade caravan that had come from Syria, leaving with him only twelve men from among all the other thousands of sahabah. Jabir ibn `Abdullah [al-Ansari] is quoted as having said, "A trade caravan came on a Friday while we were with the Prophet (a.s.). People left save twelve men; thereupon, Allah revealed this verse: 'But when they see some bargain or amusement, they disperse headlong to it and leave you standing' (Qur'an, 62:11)." In another narrative, he said, "While we were praying with the Prophet (a.s.), a caravan came carrying foodstuffs. They turned to it, leaving with the Prophet (a.s.) only twelve men; therefore, this verse was revealed: 'But when they see some bargain or amusement, they disperse headlong to it and leave you standing' (Qur'an, 62:11)." The same number of sahabah remained with the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) after all the rest had fled away in the Battle of Uhud, prompting the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) to dissociate himself from their action. Al-Bara' ibn `Azib has said, "My uncle, Anas ibn al-Nadr, was absent during the battle of Badr, so he said, 'O Messenger of Allah! I was absent the first day when you fought the polytheists. If Allah permits me to be present during the fight against the polytheists, Allah will see what I shall do.' When the battle of Uhud approached and the Muslims dispersed, the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'Lord! I seek Your excuse for what these have done,' meaning his sahabah." [1]

Add to the above what happened during the battle of Hunayn. The flight of the sahabah left a more bitter taste. They numbered in the thousands. The Holy Qur'an reprimanded them for their abominable action thus:

"Assuredly Allah did help you on many battlefields and on the Day of Hunayn: Behold! Your great numbers elated

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 47 in the Book of Jihad in a chapter about the verse saying, "Among the believers are men who proved truthful..., etc."

95

you, but they did not avail you at all: The land, for all its vastness, constrained you and you turned back in retreat. But Allah poured His calm upon the Prophet and upon the believers and sent

down forces which you did not see: He punished the unbelievers: Thus does He reward those without faith" (Qur'an, 9:25-26).

And among the sahabah were those about whom the Almighty said,

"It is not fitting for a Prophet to take prisoners of war until he has thoroughly subdued the land. You look on the temporal goods of this world, but Allah looks to the Hereafter, and Allah is Exalted in might, Wise. Had it not been for a previous ordinance from Allah, a severe penalty would have reached you for the (ransom) that you took" (Qur'an, 8:67-68).

This verse was revealed in reference to a band of the sahabah who were of the view that they should take on the said caravan and what Abu Sufyan's caravan was carrying, preferring it over fighting when the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) consulted them before the Battle of Badr in order to gauge their readiness and will to fight.

And among the sahabah were those who were rebuked by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) for their tribal attitude and their jahiliyyah-type attitudes. It also becomes clear from what is narrated by Jabir ibn `Abdullah [al-Ansari] who said once, "We were invaders in a campaign. Sufyan was once in an army when a man from the Muhajirun assaulted a man from the Ansar. The Ansari man said, 'Who supports an Ansari man?' and the man from among the Muhajirun said, 'Who supports a Muhajir man?' The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) heard about it, so he said, 'What a Jahili cAlla!'"[1] This Jahili call almost caused a war between both tribes of al-Aws and al-Khazraj which formed the bulk of the Ansar.

[1]Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 397, in the book of Tafsir in a chapter about this verse: "Whether you ask for their forgiveness or not, (their sin is unforgivable:) If you ask seventy times for their forgiveness, Allah will not forgive them because they have rejected Allah and His Prophet, and Allah does not guide those who are perversely rebellious" (Qur'an, 9:80).

96

`A'ishah is quoted as having said, "... so Sa`d ibn Mu`ath stood up and said, 'O Messenger of Allah! I shall spare you having to deal with him! If he is one of the Aws, we shall strike his neck with the sword. And if he is from among our Khazraj pothers, you shall order us, and we will carry out your order.' Sa`d ibn `Abadah, master of al-Khazraj, who was before then a good man but his [tribal] zeal may have overcome him, said, 'You have lied, by Allah! We shall kill him, for you are a hypocrite trying to argue on behalf of the hypocrites.' Arguing intensified between the Aws and the Khazraj, and the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was at the time on the pulpit. He descended and cooled their anger until they kept silent while he, too, became cool." [1]

And among the sahabah were those who hated `Ali (a.s.) hatred towards whom is a sign of hypocrisy, as we have already stated. Abu Buraydah has said, "The Prophet (a.s.) sent `Ali to Khalid [ibn al-Walid] to receive the khums tax, and I used to hate `Ali who had just had his ghusul, so I said to Khalid, 'Don't you look at this?!' When we went to the Prophet (a.s.), I mentioned the same to him. He said to me, 'O Buraydah! Do you hate `Ali?' I said, 'Yes'. He (a.s.) said, 'Do not hate him, for his share of the khums is a lot more than that.'"[2]

And among the sahabah were those who doubted the wisdom of the decisions of the Prophet (a.s.) as it became obvious when they doubted his wisdom in selecting usamah ibn Zayd [as commander of an army]. Some people doubted his leadership. The Prophet (a.s.), therefore, said, "Do not doubt his authority, for you all used in the past to doubt the authority of his father." [3]

And among the sahabah were those whom the Messenger of Allah

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 508 in the book of testimonies.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 447 in the book of military campaigns in a chapter about dispatching `Ali (a.s.) and Khalid, may Allah be pleased with both of them, to Yemen.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 57 in the book of the virtues of the sahabah, in a chapter about Zayd's virtues.

97

(a.s.) kicked out of his meeting place when they objected to his order to write his last will and who, instead, described him as hallucinating. Sa`id ibn Jubayr quotes [`Abdullah] ibn `Abbas saying, "Thursday! And what a Thursday it was!" Sa`id went on to say that Ibn `Abbas kept weeping until his tears wetted the pebbles. "So I said," went on Sa`id ibn Jubayr, "O Ibn `Abbas! What is it with Thursday?!" Ibn `Abbas said to him, "The pain [of sickness] of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) intensified, so he said, 'ping me a shoulder-bone so I may write for you something after which you shall never stray.' They disputed among themselves, and there must be no dispute in the presence of a Prophet. They said, 'What is wrong with him?! Has he hallucinated?! Ask him for an explanation,' so he (a.s.) said, 'Leave me alone, for I am better than what you attribute to me.'"[1]

And among the sahabah were those who quarreled over authority following the demise of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), so much so that some of them went as far as asking for the appointment of two rulers, one from the Muhajirun and one from the Ansar. This proved that they did not relinquish their tribal ways of thinking which had been common during the time of jahiliyyah despite their acceptance of Islam as we explained while discussing the events of the Saqifah.

Among the sahabah were Abu Hurayrah and Mu`awiyah for whom I dedicated special chapters in

other places of this research.

Perhaps the exaggeration of the Sunnis in raising the status of a sahabi stems from the honor of his having accompanied the Prophet (a.s.), but this is not more honoring than marrying his daughter, for Allah Almighty has said the following about the women of the Prophet (a.s.):

"O consorts of the Prophet! If any of you were guilty of evident unseemly conduct, the punishment would be doubled to her, and that is easy for Allah. But any of you who is devout in the service of Allah and His Prophet, and

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 4, p. 260 in the book of khums in a chapter about getting the Jews out of the Arabian Peninsula.

98

does righteous deeds, to her We shall grant reward twice [as much] and We have prepared a generous sustenance for her" (Qur'an, 33:30-31).

Similarly, He has said the following about the disobedience of the Prophet (a.s.) of `A'ishah and Hafsa:

"If both of you turn in repentance to Him, your hearts are indeed so inclined; but if you back each other up against him, truly Allah is his Protector, and [so is] Gapiel and the righteous among those who believe-and the angels too. It may be, if he divorced you (all), that Allah will give him consorts better than you in exchange-who submit (their wills), who believe, who are devout, who turn to Allah in repentance, who worship (in humility), who travel (for faith) and fast, previously married or virgins. O you who believe! Save yourselves and your families from a fire whose fuel is men and stones, over which stern (and) strong angels are appointed, (angels) who do not flinch (from executing) the commands they receive from Allah, but do (precisely) what they are commanded. (They will say,) O you unbelievers! Make no excuses this Day! You are only being requited for all that you did! O you who believe! Turn to Allah with sincere repentance: In the hope that your Lord will remove your ills and admit you into gardens beneath which rivers flow-the Day that Allah will not permit the Prophet and the believers with him to be humiliated. Their light will shine before them and on their right hands, while they say, "Lord! Perfect our light for us, and grant us forgiveness, for You have power over all things. O Prophet! Strive hard against the unbelievers and the hypocrites, and be firm against them. Their abode is Hell-a (truly) evil refuge. Allah sets forth, as an example to the unbelievers, the wife of Noah and the wife of Lot: They were (respectively) under two of Our righteous servants, but they were false to their (husbands), and they benefited nothing before Allah on their account but were told, "Enter

the Fire along with (others) who enter!" (Qur'an, 66:4-10).

What we are trying to say is that keeping a lot of company with the Prophet (a.s.) does not necessarily mean a higher degree of iman for such companions, in addition to the past narratives about the companions of the Prophet (a.s.). What is narrated about the wives of the Prophet (a.s.) is similar if not more perplexing and harsher. For example, Ibn `Abbas is quoted as having said, "I kept for a whole year trying to ask `Umar about the couple of women who disobeyed the Prophet (a.s.), but I kept fearing him. One day, he went to a house, and when he got out of it, I asked him. He said, 'They were `A'ishah and Hafsa.' Then he added saying, 'During the time of jahiliyyah, we held women as worthless, but when Islam came and Allah made references to them, we realized that we have some obligations towards them without our having to force them to do anything. My wife and I had an argument, so I became rough with her and said, 'You are such and such.' She said to me, 'Do you say this to me while your own daughter harms [the feelings of] the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)?!' I, therefore, went to Hafsa and said, 'I warn you against disobeying Allah and His Messenger!'"[1]

`A'ishah has also said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had a honey drink served to him once by Zainab daughter of Jahsh, and he stayed with her. I and Hafsa conspired that if he (a.s.) visited either of us, he would be told that he had eaten Maghafir [plant] and that he smelled of Maghafir. When he was told, he said, 'No, but I had a honey drink at the home of Zainab daughter of Jahsh, and I shall not do so again.' He (a.s.) asked her to swear not to tell anyone about it."[2]

`A'ishah also said, "The wives of the Prophet (a.s.) used to form two parties. One of them included `A'ishah (herself), Hafsa, Safiyyah and Sawdah, and the other included Umm Salamah and the rest of

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, vol. 7 pp. 72-404 in the book about clothes

[2] Ibid., Vol. 6, p. 404 in the book of Tafsir in a chapter about the verse saying, "O Prophet! Why do you prohibit [yourself from enjoying what] Allah has made lawful to you?"

the Prophet's wives."[1]

`A'ishah has also said, "I used to feel jealous of the women who offered themselves to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and say, 'Does a woman really offer herself?!' When the following verses

were revealed: 'There is no blame on you if you make an offer of marriage or hold it in your hearts. Allah knows that you cherish them in your hearts, but do not make a secret contract with them except on honorable terms, nor should you sign the marriage contract until the prescribed term is fulfilled. And be informed that Allah knows what is in your hearts, and take heed of Him, and be informed that Allah is oft-Forgiving, Most Forbearing' (Qur'an, 2:235), I said (to him), 'I can see how your Lord is swift in fulfilling your heart's desires.'"[2]

`A'ishah has also said, "Halah, daughter of Khuwaylid, sister of Khadijah, sought permission once to visit the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) who recognized how Khadijah used to seek permission, so he was quite upset about it and said, 'Lord! I hope it is Halah!' I, thereupon, felt jealous and said, 'Why do you still remember one of Quraysh's old women with red eyes who has for some time been dead since Allah has replaced her for you with someone better than her [meaning herself]?"[3] In yet another narrative, `A'ishah made a reference to Khadijah who distinguished herself from all other wives of the Prophet (a.s.). She believed in the message of the Prophet (a.s.) while people then called him a liar. She offered all her wealth to him when people deprived him of theirs. And he was blessed with children by her. All this explains why `A'ishah was so jealous of her especially since the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) used to always mention her virtues even after her death, and this contradicts the claim of `A'ishah that Allah had granted the Prophet (a.s.) a

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 454 in the book about gifting in a chapter about one giving his friend a gift.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 6, p. 295 in the book explaining the verse "... make an offer of marriage or hold it in your hearts..." (Qur'an, 2:235).

[3] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 105 in the book of the virtues of al-Ansar in a chapter about the Prophet (a.s.) marrying Khadijah and her distinctions.

101

woman better than her [than Khadijah]. `A'ishah is also quoted as having said, "I never felt jealous of the Prophet's wives as much as I felt jealous of Khadijah. Although I never saw her, the Prophet (a.s.) used to mention her quite often. He may slaughter a she-camel then cut the meat into pieces then send them to Khadijah's friends. I may say to him that it was as though there was no woman in the world except Khadijah, and he would say that she was such and such, and that Allah granted him children by her."[1]

Those who believe in the "justice" of all the sahabah base their belief on their claim that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, "My companions are like the stars: Whomsoever you emulate, you shall be guided." In another such narrative, the wording states: "... If you follow the statements of any of them..., etc." Although the Sunnis do not openly advocate that all the sahabah were

infallible, yet anyone who claims the authenticity of this narrative must necessarily believe in the infallibility of all of them. This is so because it is not possible that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) should order the absolute emulation, without any term or condition, as this supposed narrative claims, of someone who may disobey him.

Hence, the past traditions which call for a serious reconsideration and contemplation of the "justice" of many sahabis are mostly in reference to those who kept company with the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) for a long period of time; so, what would you say about the "justice" of those who were labeled as "sahabah" for merely seeing the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) even for one moment? And why should there be such an exaggeration anyway?! Can one acquire "justice" and "piety" by merely seeing the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) for one moment, or can it be acquired by obeying the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and emulating him with good intentions and sincerity?

Such a contradiction, which is rejected by sound reason and by the human nature, may become gloriously obvious in the way how some Sunni "scholars", such as Ibn Taymiyyah, preferred

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 104 in the book of the virtues of al-Ansar in a chapter about the Prophet (a.s.) marrying Khadijah and her distinctions.

102

Mu`awiyah ibn Abu Sufyan over the ascetic caliph `Umar ibn `Abd al-`Aziz for no reason except that Mu`awiyah was a "sahabi" and `Umar was a "tabi'i" despite the fact that `Umar ibn `Abd al-`Aziz was very famous for his piety and justice, unlike Mu`awiyah who was famous for creating the greatest dissension among the Muslims in Siffin and for disobeying the Commander of the Faithful `Ali (a.s.) as we have already stated. Add to this fame which `Umar ibn `Abd al-`Aziz acquired as the fifth righteous caliph according to the Sunnis themselves, something which by itself proves that Mu`awiyah was not a righteous caliph at all. Thus, nobody can be called "righteous" only because he was a companion of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.).

It is useful to ask in this regard: Who occupies a higher degree: those who believed in the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) after having witnessed scores of divine miracles with their own eyes or those who believed in Islam without seeing any of them?! The fact is that I could never see an explanation for such an exaggeration in the degree of "piety" of the sahabah and the publicity for the concept that they were all just except to close the door in the face of anyone who criticizes some sahabis who worked hard to push caliphate away from its rightful owners. Thus, many Sunnis reject all the irrefutable proofs that the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) were more fit to be the Imams of the Muslims for no reason except they believe in the "justice" of all the sahabah. They, therefore, consider anything which these "sahabis" had done as "correct."

As regarding those who worked hard to disseminate this wrong concept, they did so because they regarded the Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) as posing a danger to their thrones due to their knowledge that those Imams were right in their claim. There was a need, therefore, to apply a sort of smoke-screen and confusion to such traditions and Qur'anic verses which highlighted the status of these Imams (a.s.) while raising the status of ALL the sahabah so that the Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) would not have the distinction which qualified them to be the choice of Allah Almighty as well as that of the majority of the Islamic nation following the

103

demise of the Chosen One (a.s.).

Hence, the wordings and meanings of the above-cited alleged tradition which says that ALL the sahabah are "stars" are modeled after a tradition of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) which says,

"The stars offer security for the people of the earth against drowning, while my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) offer them security against dissension [with regard to religious issues]; therefore, if an Arab tribe opposes them, they will differ and become the party of Iblis." [1]

One of the most significant negative effects which came as the outcome of believing in the "justice" of ALL the sahabah is the existence of such a huge quantity of erroneous narratives in the books of hadith. These include what is cited through Jewish and Christian sources and other myths which are all used to cast doubts about the Islamic creed. Such narratives have been accepted and held as being authentic merely because they were narrated by the sahabah despite all the latter's deeds which can be criticized as we explained about many past narratives.

[1] Refer to Mustadrak al-Sahihayn.

CHAPTER 3: SHI'AHS AND THE HOLY QUR'AN

105

Shi'ahs believe that "The Qur'an is the Divine wahy revealed by Allah Almighty to His greatest

Presented by <http://www.alhassanain.com> & <http://www.islamicblessings.com>

Prophet (a.s.) in order to explain everything. It is His eternal miracle which has proven that humans are unable to challenge its oratory and clarity. While it contains facts and sublime knowledge, no falsehood can approach it nor can its words be replaced, changed or distorted. What we recite is the same Qur'an which was revealed to the Prophet (a.s.). Anyone who claims anything else different from this is a violator or a promoter of falsehood or simply confused, and all these are not on the path of guidance. It is the speech of Allah (a.s.) which no falsehood can approach from before it or from behind it." [1] The mentor of traditionists, Muhammed ibn `Ali al-Qummi, who is given the title "al-Saduq" [the truthful], says,

"Our belief in as far as the Qur'an which was revealed by Allah Almighty to His Prophet Muhammed (a.s.) is concerned is that it is the one in existence among both panches of the Islamic nation [Sunnis and Shi'ahs], and it is what people have in circulation and nothing more. Anyone who claims that we [Shi'ahs] say anything more than this is a liar." [2]

The above is underscored by Prof. al-Bahinsawi, one of the intellectuals of Al-Ikhwan al-Muslimin [the Muslim brotherhood], who adds saying, "The Shi'ah Ja`fari Twelvers are of the view that

[1] Muhammed Rida al-Mu`affar, `Aqa'id al-Imamiyyah, p. 41 (third edition).

[2] I`tiqadat al-Saduq.

106

anyone who distorts the Qur'an about which all the nation agrees since the dawn of Islam... The book [Qur'an] which exists among Ahl al-Sunnah is the same in existence at mosques and homes of the Shi'ahs." He goes on to say the following in the field of rebutting the claims of `ahir and a-Khatib: "What is known among the Muslims is that the Qur'an has never suffered any distortion, and that what we have is all the Qur'an revealed to the greatest Prophet." [1]

As for Sheikh Muhammed al-Ghazali, he says the following in his book titled Difa`an al-`Aqidah wal Shari`ah didd Mata`in al-Mustashriqin (a defense of the faith and the Islamic legislative system against the charges of the Orientalists): "I heard someone at a scholarly meeting saying that the Shi'ahs have another Qur'an which is more and less than the well known Qur'an. I, therefore, said to him, 'Where is this Qur'an? And why neither mankind nor the jinn have seen a copy of it throughout this lengthy history? And why such a charge is created? Why should there be lies against people and against the wahy?'" [2]

As regarding the erroneous "traditions" upon which some people may depend and which claim that the Qur'an has been distorted and which exists among the Shi'ahs in the books of hadith, these charges are totally rejected. They are indicted and rejected because their likes exist in the

books which the Sunnis consider as containing authentic traditions.

Al-Bukhari has traced a tradition to `A'ishah saying, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) heard a man reciting a [Qur'anic] chapter at night, so he said to him, 'May Allah have mercy on him! He has reminded me of such-and-such a verse in such-and-such a chapter...'[3] Of course, nobody can believe what this "tradition" means and which points to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) NOT

[1] Al-Sunnah al-Muftara `Alayha, p. 60.

[2] Difa` `an al-`Aqidah wal Shari`ah didd Mata`in al-Mustashriqin.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 508 in the book dealing with the merits of the Qur'an in a chapter about forgetting the text of the Qur'an.

107

knowing the Qur'an in full by heart, or to his having forgotten some of its verses...! Following are proofs that they found a portion of Surat al-Tawbah ONLY with Khuzaymah al-Ansari during the compilation of the Qur'an according to what al-Bukhari states in his Sahih:

Zayd ibn Thabit has said, "When we recorded the tablets, I missed a verse from Surat al-Ahzab which I used to hear the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) reciting and which I found with none except Khuzaymah al-Ansari whose testimony the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) equaled to that of two believers: '... Men who proved true to their promise to Allah...'[1]

And in another narrative by Zayd ibn Thabit, the latter said, "... So I traced the Qur'an, collecting its text from sheets, shoulders and leaves and also from men's memory until I found from Surat al-Tawbah a couple of verses with Khuzaymah al-Ansari which I found with nobody else."[2]

So, how can one compromise this narrative with the fact that the Qur'an has been transmitted consecutively?!

And among the numerous traditions recorded by al-Bukhari and other Sunni traditionists in their books of "Sahih" (authentic) traditions and "Musnads" (reliable sources) and which openly claim that the text of the Holy Qur'an is distorted is one narrated about caliph `Umar ibn al-Khattab, with the narrator relying on the authority of `Abdullah Ibn `Abbas as follows: "`Umar ibn al-Khattab went out. When I saw him coming, I said to Sa'id ibn Zayd ibn `Amr ibn Nufayl, 'Tonight, he [Umar] will say something which he never said since he became caliph.' He did not like what I said and responded by saying, 'What could he tell you that he never said before?' `Umar sat on the pulpit. Once the caller to the prayers finished calling the adhan, `Umar stood up then sat on the pulpit.

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 291 in the book of Tafsir where "... so among them were those who died..., etc." is explained.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 6, p. 162 in the book of Tafsir in a chapter discussing "... A Messenger has come to you from your own selves..."

108 He praised Allah as He deserves then said, 'Having said what I have said, I am going to make a statement which I am destined to say. I do not know; perhaps I am saying it before my demise. Anyone who understands it and who realizes its significance should disseminate it wherever his destination may be. And if one is afraid he will not realize it, I do not permit him to tell a lie about me. Allah sent Muhammed (a.s.) with the truth. He revealed the Book to him. Among what Allah revealed was Ayat al-Rajm [the verse of stoning], so we recited it, understood it and absorbed it. The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) stoned, and we stoned after him. I am afraid if a long period of time passes by, someone may say, 'By Allah we do not find the verse of stoning in the Book of Allah.' They will thus go astray by abandoning an obligation mandated by Allah. Stoning in the Book of Allah is right against married men or women once the evidence is established, or when there is a pregnancy, or when one confesses it.'"[1]

The other narrative, which is also recorded by al-Bukhari, explains that `Umar ibn al-Khattab wished to add that verse which, according to his claim, was dropped by himself, but he was afraid of what people might say: "'Umar said, 'Had it not been for the possibility that people may say that `Umar increased the text of the Book of Allah, I would have written the verse of stoning with my own hand and thus back what instruction the Prophet (a.s.) had had regarding stoning an adulterer in the presence of four witnesses.'"[2] As for this alleged "verse," it supposedly says the following: "As for the mid-aged [sheikh] man or woman, if he or she commits adultery, you should absolutely stone them."[3]

Ibn Majah, too, has narrated the same in his Sahih. Since we unequivocally believe that the Qur'an in our hands has never suffered any diminution or addition, caliph `Umar must have been confused,

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 8, p. 539 in the book of fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a woman who became pregnant out of wedlock.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 212 in the book of Ahkam (religious injunctions) in a chapter about testimony before a judge.

[3] Ibn Dawud, Sunan.

and the source of this confusion may be the existence of the stoning verse not in the Holy Qur'an but in the Torah as this becomes evident from the narrative of Ibn `Umar who says, "A Jew and a Jewess who had committed adultery were both brought to the Prophet (a.s.). He (a.s.) asked the Jews, 'What do you do to them?' They said, 'We blacken their faces and expose them to shame.' The Prophet (a.s.) said, 'Bring me the Torah and recite it if you are telling the truth.' They came with a one-eyed man of their own choice whom they asked to recite. When the man came to a certain place in the verse, he put his hand on it. The Prophet (a.s.) told him to raise his hand. When he did, the stoning verse became quite evident. The man said, 'O Muhammed! They are to be stoned, but we have been hiding it among ourselves.' The Prophet (a.s.) ordered them stoned." [1]

What strengthens the possibility that `Umar was confused between the Wise Book of Allah and the Torah is what al-Jaza'iri says in his book titled "This is my advice to every Shi'ah man". He says the following: "... and how can such distorted and altered books be recited while the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) rebuked `Umar ibn al-Khattab holding in his hand a sheet of the Torah, so he (a.s.) rebuked him saying, 'Have I not brought it [Islam] to you white and pure?!' The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) did not accept that `Umar should even look at one page of the Torah." [2]

It is also narrated that caliph `Umar had also said, "We used to recite the following in the Book of Allah: 'If you turn away from your parents, it is apostasy if you turn away from your parents," or "It is apostasy in you if you turn away from your parents." [3] It is not a secret that neither this verse nor its predecessor exists in the Book of Allah. As for `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud, it is narrated about him that he used to add both words "al-dhakar" (the male) and "al-unth?" (the female) to

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 476 in the book of Tawhid in a chapter about what can be interpreted of the Torah.

[2] Abu Bakr al-Jaza'iri, This is my Advice to Every Shi'ah man.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 8, p. 540 in the book of fighters from among the people of apostasy in a chapter about stoning a woman who became pregnant through adultery.

110

this sacred verse: "By the night as it conceals (the light)" (Qur'an, 92:1). `Alqamah has said, "... How does `Abdullah recite 'By the night as it conceals (the light)'? I recited to him the following: 'By the night as it conceals (the light), and by the daytime when it manifests itself, and by the male and the female...' He said, 'By Allah! [Thus did] the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) recite it to me; from his mouth to mine." [1]

Thus does al-Bukhari, who records this incident, let us fall into a new contradiction because he

also narrates saying that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) ordered the Muslims to learn how to recite the Qur'an from `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud. For example, a narrative from Ibn `Umar says that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) used to say, "Learn the recitation of the Qur'an from four men: `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud...," thus starting by his name, or he said, "Learn the Qur'an from four men: `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud, Salim slave of Abu Huthayfah, Ubayy ibn Ka`b and Mu`adh ibn Jabal." [2] So, how can the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) order us to learn how to recite the Qur'an from those who do not memorize it very well?! We leave the answer to this question to al-Bukhari, of course, and to those who follow in his footsteps and who believe everything in his Sahih.

As for Muslim, the same is found in his book, too. `A'ishah is quoted as having said, "From among what was revealed in the Qur'an this: 'ten known sucklings.' The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) passed away and they were still recited as part of the Qur'an." [3] This claim of `A'ishah contains a clear answer to those who advocate narratives such as these have been fabricated; otherwise, what does she mean when she claims that the recitation of such verses went on despite the death of the Prophet (a.s.)?!

Abu al-Aswad quotes his father saying, "Abu Musa al-Ash`ari sent a

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 71 in the book dealing with the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the merits of `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 71 in the book dealing with the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the merits of `Abdullah ibn Mas`ud.

[3] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 1075 (edition of Dar Ihya' al-Turath al-`Arabi) in the book of nursing babies in a chapter about prohibition in five suckling.

111

message to those who recited the Qur'an in Basra, and they were three hundred men. From among what he said to them was this: 'We used to recite a Chapter which we used to liken in its length and strength to [Surat] Bara'ah (i.e. al-Tawbah), but I memorized from it only this: 'Had the son of Adam had two valleys full of wealth, he would have desired a third, and nothing fills the stomach of the son of Adam except dust.' [1]

In the book titled Al-Itqan fi `Ulum al-Qur'an by al-Sayyuti, it is stated that some narratives indicate that the Qur'an has only 112 surahs (Chapters), or they add two other chapters: those of Hafid and of Khal` [2] or other such narratives from which we believe we have cited enough.

Having stated all the above, is it fit for a Shi`ah to say that the Qur'an of the Sunnis is incomplete, or it has an addition, due to the narrative advocating the same in their books of hadith? Certainly

not. The consensus of the Sunnis is to say that the text of the Qur'an has never been altered.

As for the issue of the existence of narratives saying that such a text has been altered and which exist in books of "Sahih" (authentic) traditions, especially those recorded by al-Bukhari and Muslim and which the Sunnis have taken upon themselves to accept in their entirety in the pretext that all what is narrated in them is regarded by them as authentic, the interpretation of it is one of two possibilities without the existence of a third: (1) Such narratives are "authentic" but they contain confusion which took place to those who narrated them as is the case with the stoning chapter, or (2) These narratives are not authentic as is the case with the other narratives which we mentioned above. Thus, there is no alternative to reconsidering the labeling of both books by al-Bukhari and Muslim as the two "Sahih" (authentic) books.

How can we, then, explain such a rabid campaign undertaken by

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 726 in the book of zakat (edition of Dar Ihya' al-Turath al-'Arabi) in a chapter titled "Had the son of Adam had two valleys full of wealth, he would have desired a third".

[2] al-Sayyuti, Al-Itqan fi `Ulum al-Qur'an, p. 65.

112

writers such as ²ahir, al-Khatib and their likes who accuse the Shi'ahs of distorting the text of the Qur'an because of the existence of weak traditions in their books of traditions which make such a claim and which they themselves reject especially since their likes are many traditions recorded by Sunni traditions in their "Sahih" books?! One whose house is made of glass should not throw stones at others' houses.

CHAPTER 4:SHI `AHS AND THE PURIFIED SUNNAH, BOTH PARTIES' STAND TOWARDS THE SUNNAH

113

One of the lies circulated against the Shi'ahs by some fools is that Shi'ahs reject the Sunnah of the Chosen One, peace and blessings of Allah be upon him and his progeny, a nonsense beyond which there is no other nonsense. Following we would like to transmit some views of Sunni scholars

about the stand of the Shi'ahs with regard to the Purified Sunnah.

In his book titled Al-Imam al-Sadiq (a.s.), Sheikh Muhammed Abu Zuhrah says, "Consecutively reported Sunnah is to them an evidence in the validity of which there is no contention, and consecutive reporting to them obligates decisive knowledge... Denying the cherished Prophetic Sunnah, which is consecutively reported from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), is apostasy because it means denying the Message pought by Muhammed (a.s.). As regarding denying the use of statements by the Imams as evidence, it is much less than that; it is regarded as straying from the right path, not apostasy." [1]

Sheikh Muhammed al-Ghazali, in his book titled Difa` `an al-`Aqidah wal Shari`ah didd Mata`in al-Mustashriqin (a defense of the doctrine and the Shari`ah against the charges of Orientalists), says the following: "Among these liars are those who propagate saying that the Shi'ahs are followers of `Ali (a.s.), that the Sunnis

[1] Sheikh Muhammed Abu Zuhrah, Al-Imam al-Sadiq (a.s.).

114

are the followers of Muhammed (a.s.), that the Shi'ahs are of the view that `Ali (a.s.) was worthier of the [Prophetic] Message, or that it was erroneously diverted from him to others, and all of this is ugly rubbish and shameful fapication." Then he goes on to say, "Shi'ahs believe in Muhammed's Message and realize the honor of `Ali (a.s.) in his kinship to this Messenger and in upholding his Sunnah. Like all other Muslims, they see no human being, from the early generations or from the last, greater than the Truthful one, the most Trusted one, namely the Prophet (a.s.); so, how can such hallucination be attributed to them?" [1]

There is no contention between the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs about the status of the Purified Prophetic Sunnah and that it has to be acted upon, but they have differed [from the Sunnis] about the method of how to transmit such a Sunnah to generations which succeeded the Prophet's generation or how to verify it. Sunnis suffice themselves by transmitting the isnad of the hadith by quoting one trusted person who cites any member of the sahabah in whose justice they believe, and they believe in all of them. To them, the traditions recorded in the Sahih books of al-Bukhari and of Muslim are never to be doubted, so much so that these books have become as though they were on par with the Holy Qur'an in as far as accuracy is concerned; otherwise, what is the meaning of the vast majority of Sunnis taking upon themselves to accept all what these Sahih books contain?!

In order to underscore this, let us quote the view of Sheikh Abu `Amr ibn al-Salah in the

Introduction to al-Nawawi's Sharh of Muslim's Sahih as follows: "ALL what is decided by Muslim, may Allah have mercy on him, as being authentic in his book [Muslim's Sahih] is absolutely authentic. The same applies to what al-Bukhari decided in his book as being authentic. This is so because the nation has received such an acceptance, with the exception of those whose contention is disregarded, through consensus." He adds saying,

[1] Sheikh Muhammed al-Ghazali, Difa` `an al-`Aqidah wal Shari`ah didd Mata`in al-Mustashriqin.

115

"The view of an infallible person cannot miss, and the nation in its consensus is [thus] protected against falling into error." [1] As for the Shi'ahs, they precondition, first and foremost, the rendering of isnad of hadith to any of the Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), basing their argument on the following statement of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.): "I have left among you that which, if you uphold it, you shall never stay: the Book of Allah and my `itrah, my Ahl al-Bayt," [2] and also on this verse of the Almighty: "Allah only wishes to remove all abomination from you, O members of the family (the Ahl al-Bayt), and to make you pure and spotless" (Qur'an, 33:33). As for the other conditions, the most important among them is to compare the narrative with the text of the Book of Allah (a.s.) then to look into its context and isnad then compare it with others the decisive tawatur (consecutive reporting) of which has already been fixed and, finally, judging it through reason. Following any narrative which lacks any of these conditions is to be seriously considered and contemplated.

The major aHadith book with the Shi'ahs are four: Al-Kafi, Man la Yahduruhu al-faqih, Al-Istibsar and Al-Tahdhib, and all the narratives in these books are subject to investigation [rather than taking them for granted as is the case with our Sunni pethren]. They contain what is lean and what is fat, and Shi'ahs do not consider all the narratives in these books as being accurate, for the Shi'ahs see no book which can be compared with the Book of Allah in as far as accuracy is concerned as is the case with both Sheikhs, namely al-Bukhari and Muslim, with regard to their Sahih books. For example, in the book titled Masadir al-hadith `inda al-Shi`ah al-Imamiyyah (sources of hadith according to Imamite Shi'ahs) by the critic Sayyid Muhammed Husain al-Jalali, who classifies the traditions in Al-Kufi, we read the following: "The number of aHadith in it is 16,121 of which 9,485 are weak, 114 are good, 118

[1] Muslim's Sahih as explained by al-Nawawi, Vol. 1, p. 14 (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[2] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 13, p. 201 in the book dealing with the merits of the Family of the Prophet (a.s.) (Dar al-Kitab al-`Arabi).

116

are confirmed, 302 are strong and 5,702 are authentic." This clearly demonstrates how Shi`ah scholars themselves consider thousands of aHadith in Al-Kufi; so, where is this "fact" which comes out of the mouths of some liars such as ²ahir and al-Khatib who claim that Al-Kafi is regarded by the Shi'ahs just as al-Bukhari's Sahih is regarded by the Sunnis, then they claim that its title is 'Sahih al-Kafi'?! This is an outrageous lie which they repeat in their poisoned books with the objective to mislead the reader by labeling weak traditions which they derived from Al-Kafi or other Shi`ah books of hadith as "authentic" in order to use this as an argument against them and to indict them...

Both Parties' Stand Regarding The Prophet's Infallibility

While some people with vested interested in addition to some liars circulate erroneous rumors by saying that the Shi'ahs prefer their Imams over the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), as many Sunnis think, I have found, from my research, that the Shi'ahs sanctify the Prophet (a.s.) to a degree that is by far greater than that viewed by the Sunnis. Shi'ahs sanctify the Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.) and are of the view that anyone who denies a ruling mandated by the Prophet (a.s.) is an apostate. They see the Prophet (a.s.) as the very best of the first generations and of the last. They simply regard upholding the Twelve Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) based on their being the most authentic to transmit the Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.). They reject any and all doubts and hearsay about the Prophet's infallibility. In their view, he is infallible with regard to the matters relevant to the creed and to life, prior to his Prophetic mission and thereafter.

As for the Sunnis, they, too, prefer the person of the Prophet (a.s.) over all early generations and the last, but they see his infallibility limited to theological matters only. These, in their view, are matters related to conveying the Message and nothing more. As for anything less than that, he is like all other human beings: He may be wrong, or he may be right.

Before we rebut the latter view, we would like to show the reader

117

portraits of what the Sunnis believe with regard to the Prophet's infallibility so that we may clearly and truly see their stand in this regard and from what they regard as the most accurate books next to the Book of Allah.

`A'ishah has said, "... until the truth took him by surprise at the Hir?' cave. In it, the angel came to him and said, 'Read!' (Or 'Recite!'). The Prophet (a.s.) said to him, 'I am not a reader.' [The Prophet (a.s.) went on to say] He took me and covered me until I was exhausted then released me. Then

he released me and thrice said, 'Read!'" `A'ishah goes on to say, "He returned shivering until he entered Khadijah's chamber and said, 'Cover me.' He was covered until fear abandoned him. He then said, 'O Khadijah! What is wrong with me?' Khadijah took him and set out to Waraqah ibn Nawfal ibn Asad ibn `Abd al-`Uzza ibn Qusayy, cousin of Khadijah, [son of her uncle, her father's potter], a man who embraced Christianity during the jahiliyyah, and he used to write in Arabic. He used to write the Bible in Arabic whatever Allah wanted him to write, and he was an old man who had lost his eyesight. Khadijah said to him, 'O cousin! Listen to your nephew!' Waraqah said, 'O cousin! What do you see?' The Prophet (a.s.) informed him of what he saw. Waraqah, therefore, said, 'This is the same Code which was revealed to Moses! How I wish I could be alive when your people get you out [of Mecca]!' The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'Shall they really get me out?'..."[1]

Is it accepted by reason that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) did not know that what was revealed to him was the Prophetic mission and that Waraqah ibn Nawfal, the Christian, was more knowledgeable than him and that he was the one to tell him?!

`A'ishah goes on to finish her narrative and to state what is stranger than this and from which the bodies shiver: "... Waraqah then died and the revelation ceased to come, so much so that the Prophet (a.s.) grieved very much. We came to know that his grief took control of

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 92 in the book of interpretation in a chapter about the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) being the first to start it.

118

him to the extent that many times he used to go to high mountain summits in order to throw himself down from there. Whenever he reached the summit of a mountain in order to throw himself down from it, [Archangel] Gapiel came to him and said, 'O Muhammed! You truly are the Messenger of Allah!' He, therefore, would enjoy some calm, then he would return. If the revelation took too long to visit him, he would do the same. So, if he then went to the summit of a mountain, Gapiel would come to him and say the same to him."[1]

And can a Muslim believe that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) did not know the Qur'an in its entirety? Look, then, to what al-Bukhari states, relying on the authority of `A'ishah who said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) heard a man reciting the Qur'an at the mosque, so he said, 'May Allah have mercy on him! He reminded me of such-and-such a verse which I dropped from such-and-such a Surah!'"[2]

As regarding their claim that it was acceptable to believe that the Prophet (a.s.) used to forget, it

is narrated on the authority of Jabir ibn `Abdullah [al-Ansari] that during the Battle of Khandaq (moat), `Umar ibn al-Khattab went to him and said, "O Messenger of Allah! I was about to perform my prayers when the sun had almost set after a fasting person would peak his fast." The Prophet (a.s.) said, "By Allah, you have not then performed it." `Umar goes on to say, "The Prophet (a.s.) went down to valleys in my company. He made his ablution then performed the `Asr (afternoon) prayers after the sun had already set, then he prayed the Maghrib (sunset) thereafter." [3]

Abu Hurayrah is quoted as having said, "Prayers were called for, rows were prepared standing, so the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) came out to us. As soon as he stood up in his prayer area, he said that he had janabah (uncleanness due to seminal discharge), so he said to

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 932

[2] Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 93.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 349 in the book of adhan in a chapter about the Prophet (a.s.) saying, "We have not prayed" to someone.

119

us, 'Stay where you are.' He returned and made his ghusul. Then he came out and his head was dripping. He made the takbir and we prayed with him." [1]

Abu Hurayrah is also quoted as having said, "... so the Prophet (a.s.) led our 2^{uhr} (noon) prayers in two prostrations [apparently qasr, shortened], then he went to a wooden board in the forefront of the mosque and put his hand on it. Among the people were Abu Bakr and `Umar. They felt too much respect for him to ask him about it. People went out quickly. They said, 'Were the prayers shortened?' Among the people was a man whom the Prophet (a.s.) used to call 'the man with two hands' and who said to the Prophet (a.s.), 'O Prophet of Allah! Why did you shorten the prayers?!' The Prophet (a.s.) said, 'I did not forget, nor did I shorten them.' They said, 'You did, indeed, forget, O Messenger of Allah (a.s.)!' He then said, 'The man of the two hands has said the truth.'" [2]

Imagine! They go as far as claiming that a Jew was able to expose the Prophet (a.s.) to his magic, so the Prophet (a.s.) imagined doing something which he did not do! And that he had to ask `A'ishah whether the wahy had descended upon him or not! Or he might forget whether he had an intercourse with his wife or not!

`A'ishah has said, "The Prophet (a.s.) remained for a period of time imagining that he had cohabited with his wife but he in reality had not. One day he said to me, 'O `A'ishah! Allah passed His verdict to me with regard to something about which I sought His verdict. Two men came to

me. One of them sat near my foot while the other sat near my head. The one near my foot said to the one near my head, 'What is wrong with the man?' He said, 'He is enchanted.' 'Who enchanted him?' asked the other. He said, 'Labid ibn A`sam.'"[3]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 1, p. 168 in the book of ghusul in a chapter about when it is said at the mosque that one has janabah.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 8, p. 48 in the book of manners in a chapter of what people may say.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 8, p. 57 in the book of manners in a chapter about Allah enjoins justice and kindness.

120

`A'ishah has also been quoted as having said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was enchanted, so much so that he would imagine doing something which he never did until one day, while he was with me, he kept praying to Allah then said, 'Have you felt that Allah has issued a verdict about something for which I sought His verdict?'"[1]

Sheikh Muhammed `Abduh rejected these narratives which claim that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had fallen under the effect of sorcery because they contradict this verse: "The wicked ones say, 'You follow no one other than a bewitched man'" (Qur'an, 28:8).

As regarding the Prophet's control of his carnal desires, al-Bukhari has stated in his Sahih a narrative through Abu Hisham saying, "When the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was sick, he kept going in a circle around his women and saying, 'Where am I supposed to be tomorrow? Where am I supposed to be tomorrow?' out of his concern for `A'ishah. `A'ishah said, 'When it was my own turn [to cohabit with the Prophet (a.s.)], he calmed down.'"[2]

`A'ishah has also said, "Whenever the Prophet (a.s.) was about to make a trip, he would cast lots about his women. Anyone chosen by the lot he used to choose to go out with. And he used to divide for each woman her day and night, but Sawdah daughter of Zam`ah granted her day and night to me."

Anas ibn Malik said, "The Prophet (a.s.) used to spend one hour making a round of his wives in the night and the day, and they were eleven." Anas was asked, "Was he able to manage all of that?!" Anas said, "We used to talk and say that he (a.s.) was granted the stamina of thirty men!"[3]

Sunnis claim that the following sacred verses were revealed to

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 7, p. 444 in the book of medicine in a chapter about magic.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 5, p. 77 in the book about the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the virtues

of `A'ishah.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 165 in the book of ghusul in a chapter about one cohabiting then doing it again and about one who cohabits with his women in one ghusul.

121

rebuke the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) for having frowned at `Abdullah ibn Maktum, who was blind, and that the reason behind his turning away from the man, as the Sunnis narrate, was his being busy talking to `Utbah ibn Rabi`ah, Abu Jahl ibn Hisham, al-`Abbas ibn `Abd al-Muttalib, Ubayy and Umayyah ibn Khalaf inviting them to believe in Allah and hoping they would embrace Islam. Ibn Maktum had asked the Prophet (a.s.) then to recite something from the Holy Qur'an and to teach him from what Allah had taught him until hatred surfaced on the face of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) because his speech was interrupted and he said to himself, "These prominent persons would say that he [the Prophet (a.s.)] is followed only by the slaves and the blind," so he turned away from him and paid his full attention to the folks to whom he was talking. These verses are:

"He frowned and turned away, because the blind man came to him, (interrupting). But what could tell you that he might grow (in spiritual understanding)? Or that he might receive admonishment, and the teaching would benefit him?" (Qur'an, 80:1-4).

The Shi'ahs reject this story entirely saying that these verses were revealed about a man from Banu Umayyah, not the Messenger of Allah, who had turned away from that same blind man. `Allamah Muhammed Husain Tabataba'i, in his exegesis titled Al-Mizan fi Tafsir al-Qur'an, has said the following: "These verses are not at all clearly indicative that they were addressed to the Prophet (a.s.). Rather, it is a mere narrative not directly telling who it implicates. Rather, they contain an indication that someone else [other than the Prophet (a.s.)] is meant because frowning in the face of others is never a habit of the Prophet (a.s.) even with his own enemies who differed with him, let alone the believers who seek more guidance. Moreover, the individual implicated in them is described as paying attention to the rich and turning away from the poor, and this does not fit the Prophet's gracious manners. Instead, Allah has described his manners as being great, saying, even before the revelation of this chapter [Chapter 80 quoted above], 'You surely are endowed

122

with great manners;' so, how can anyone believe that Allah grants him recognition for his great manners at the start of his Prophetic mission then He turns to rebuke him for what he did and speak ill of him such as describing him as courting the rich even when they are unbelievers and turning away from the poor even when they are believers and are seeking guidance." [1]

Based on the above-quoted narratives and their likes, the Sunnis derived their belief that the infallibility of the Prophet (a.s.) included only matters relevant to the religion and the message. But Allah ordered us to emulate His Messenger absolutely and without any term or condition:

"Nor does he say (anything) of (his own) desire. It is no less than inspiration sent down to him." (Qur'an, 53:3-4).

Add to this following verse:

"Take what the Prophet assigns to you, and abstain from what he withholds from you. And fear Allah, for Allah is strict in punishment" (Qur'an, 59:7).

These verses prove that his infallibility is not restricted but absolute. Had it been permissible for the Prophet (a.s.) to err, Allah would then have ordered us to follow error. This is something from saying it we seek Allah's protection.

The infiltration of narratives casting doubts about the infallibility of the Prophet (a.s.), besides their being the work of forgers, so that they may be used to cast doubt about the Islamic creed, may have other reasons as to why they were fabricated, so that they may support the stand of some sahabah, the same sahabah who claimed that the Prophet (a.s.) was "hallucinating" during his last sickness when he asked them to bring him some writing material so he would dictate to them the writing of something after the writing of which they would never stray. So, it is not odd after that to find some narratives describing one of the sahabah as being right while in it

[1] `Allamah Sayyid Muhammed Husain al-Tabataba'i, Tafsir al-Mizan, Vol. 20, p. 203 (2nd edition), 1974 A.D.

123

regard the Prophet (a.s.) was wrong, as those who promote such narratives claim. One of them is what is attributed to him regarding the revelation of the verse about the veil after `Umar ibn al-Khattab had pointed out to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) the importance of his women being veiled! Anas has said, "Umar said, 'I said: O Messenger of Allah! The good and the bad persons come to see you. Perhaps you ought to order the mothers of the faithful to veil themselves.'" According to another narrative, `Umar said to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), "Veil your women." She [A'ishah] said, "He did not; therefore, Allah revealed the verse of veiling." [1]

Also among what the Sunnis attribute to him (a.s.) regarding performing the funeral prayers for

the hypocrites, they say that it was revealed in support of a stand by `Umar after the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had insisted on performing it on [`Abdullah] the son of Ubayy, the hypocrite. It is narrated that `Abdullah ibn `Umar said, "When `Abdullah ibn Ubayy died, his son went to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and said, 'O Messenger of Allah (a.s.)! Give me your shirt so I may shroud him in it, and do perform the funeral prayers for him and seek forgiveness for him.' He (a.s.) gave him his shirt and said to him, 'Once you are through with him, call the adhan.' When he finished calling the adhan, he (a.s.) came to perform the funeral prayers for him, whereupon `Umar pulled him (aside) and said, 'Has not Allah prohibited you from performing funeral prayers for the hypocrites?' He said: 'Whether you ask for their forgiveness or not, (their sin is unforgivable:) If you ask seventy times for their forgiveness, Allah will not forgive them because they have rejected Allah and His Prophet, and Allah does not guide those who are perversely rebellious' (Qur'an, 9:80), so this verse was revealed: 'Nor should you ever pray for any of them who dies, nor stand at his grave, for they rejected Allah and His Prophet and died in a state of perverse rebellion' (Qur'an, 9:84), so he (a.s.) abandoned

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 296 in the book of exegesis; Vol. 8, p. 170 in the book of seeking permission to enter.

124

the idea of praying for them." [1]

In another narrative from `Umar himself, he said, "... so I was very surprised at my own daring with the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)." [2]

The truth in that incident is that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was given the option to pray for the hypocrites and to seek forgiveness for them by the token of the verse saying, "Seek forgiveness for them or do not seek it; even if you seek forgiveness for them seventy times, Allah will not forgive them" (Qur'an, 9:80). The Prophet (a.s.) opted to pray for that particular hypocrite due to the great benefit, to the anticipated interest and to win the hearts of the man's own people, the Khazraj, from among whom one thousand men embraced Islam. His prayers (a.s.) for that hypocrite took place before the prohibition had descended. The verse saying, "Seek forgiveness for them or do not seek it..., etc." does not convey the prohibition which `Umar understood and because of which he objected to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and whom he saw as "wrong". The revelation of the verse prohibiting praying for the hypocrites does not at all prove that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was wrong in praying for `Abdullah ibn Ubayy, Allah forbid; so, it would have been wrong had he done so after its revelation and not before.

This incident does not serve a purpose except to demonstrate how wrong `Umar was and how

strongly he objected to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). `Umar himself admits the same; he is quoted as having said, "I slipped in Islam a slip worse than which I never slipped when the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) wanted to pray..., etc." [3] Similar to it is the incident of accepting blood money from the captives in the aftermath of the Battle of Badr. This verse: "It is not fitting for a Prophet that he should have prisoners of war until he has thoroughly subdued the land. You look on the temporal goods of

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 7, p. 462 in the book of outfits in a chapter about wearing a garment.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 2, p. 252, in the book of coffins.

[3] Al-Muttaqi al-Hindi, Kanz al-`Ummal, hadith No. 4404.

125

this world, but Allah looks to the hereafter, and Allah is Exalted in might, Wise. Had it not been for a previous ordinance from Allah, a severe penalty would have reached you for the (ransom) that you took" (Qur'an, 8:67) was revealed, according to the view of the Sunnis, in order to rebuke the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) for having accepted ransom from the prisoners of the Badr war instead of killing them at the time when `Umar ibn al-Khattab wanted to kill them all, so this verse was revealed supporting `Umar's opinion. They narrate what supports their opinion, statements which they themselves have said then attributed to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) regarding the meaning of the previous verse which contains a threat of a severe punishment. But what was that threat really for?!

The Sunnis narrated saying that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) used to weep with Abu Bakr who said, "We almost were subjected to a great penalty on account of the disagreement of the son of al-Khattab, and had a penalty descended, only the son of al-Khattab would have slipped from it." [1] The truth about this incident is as follows:

The past verse was revealed before the Battle of Badr rebuking the sahabah who preferred the trade caravan and what Abu Sufyan's trade caravan was carrying over fighting when they were consulted by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) who wanted to see how ready they were and how willing to fight the polytheists. The prohibition in the verse is not absolute regarding the Prophet (a.s.) taking war prisoners. Rather, it prohibits taking war prisoners without [first] fighting the polytheists, as was the desire of some sahabah who were consulted by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) to either take the trade caravan from them or to fight them. How can it be reasonable to believe that this verse, which threatens those who do not wish to fight, was revealed to rebuke the Prophet (a.s.) who had already killed the polytheists?! Seventy war heroes from Quraish were killed in that battle.

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih.

Abu Hurayrah And The Abundance Of His AHadith

126

Due to the large number of aHadith narrated by Abu Hurayrah, I decided to shed some light on his personality. Traditionists have unanimously agreed that Abu Hurayrah narrated more traditions about the Messenger of Allah than anyone else although he did not keep the Prophet's company except for one year and nine months or, according to some narratives, three years. The Sahih books of the Sunnis have included 5,374 traditions of which al-Bukhari narrated 446. As for Abu Hurayrah himself, he has said, "No companion of the Prophet (a.s.) narrated more traditions than I have except `Abdullah ibn `Umar, for he can write and read [whereas I cannot]."[1] But all what Ibn `Umar transmitted are 722 traditions from which al-Bukhari quotes only seven and Muslim only twenty...

As for the reason why Abu Hurayrah kept the Prophet (a.s.) company so much, he himself answers this question when he says, "They say that Abu Hurayrah narrates too many traditions, and Allah is the One Who promises; and they say, 'Why do the Muhajirun and the Ansar not narrate as he narrates?' My pothers from among the Muhajirun kept busy making transactions at the market, and my pothers from among the Ansar kept busy by their money being invested, and I was a poor man who kept company with the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) in order to fill my belly. So I was present when they were absent, and I remembered when they forgot." [2] He also said, "People say, 'Abu Hurayrah has narrated too many [traditions].' I used to keep the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) company in order to satisfy my stomach, so that I do not have to eat what is prohibitive nor wear silk nor be served by this man or by that woman. And I used to tie a stone to my stomach on account of acute hunger, although I would recite a verse with me so that he might feed me. The most kind man to the destitute was Ja`far ibn Abi Talib. He used to take us to feed us whatever he had in his own house, so much so that he used to ping us a container which had

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 1, p. 86 in the book of knowledge.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 3, p. 313 in the book of farming in a chapter about the horse.

127

nothing in it, so we would tear it and lick what is in it." [1]

Abu Hurayrah expressed his appreciation of the food charity of Ja`far ibn Abi Talib by saying the following about him, "Nobody who ever put on sandals, or rode animals, or treaded the dust after the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) was better than Ja`far ibn Abi Talib." [2]

So, what criterion did Abu Hurayrah apply in favoring Ja`far ibn Abi Talib over all other sahabah?!

In his Sahih, Muslim has narrated saying that `Umar ibn al-Khattab beat Abu Hurayrah when he heard him quoting the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) as having said, "Whoever says, 'La ilaha illa'llah' [there is god except Allah] enters Paradise." [3]

Ibn `Abd al-Barr has quoted Abu Hurayrah himself saying, "I have pought you traditions which, had I narrated them during the time of `Umar ibn al-Khattab, Umar would have beaten me with the club." [4]

The traditionist-faqih Rashid Rida has said, "Had `Umar's lifespan extended until the death of Abu Hurayrah, such numerous aHadith would not have reached us." Mustafa Sadiq al-Rafi`i, therefore, says, "He, meaning Abu Hurayrah, was the first traditionist in Islam to be charged [with fapicating hadith]."

When the Battle of Siffin took place, Abu Hurayrah sided with Mu`awiyah and was rewarded with plenty of money for doing such a "good job" in narrating hadith and for supporting the Umayyads. Marwan ibn al-Hakam, for example, used to appoint him as his own deputy in his job as the wali [governor] of the city. His conditions, hence, improved a great deal. Ayyub ibn Muhammed is quoted as

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 5, p. 47 in the book of the virtues of the sahabah in a chapter about the merits of Ja`far ibn Abu Talib.

[2] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 13, p. 189 (Dar al-Kitab al-`Arabi edition), Beirut, Lebanon. The compiler follows this statement by saying that its isnad is authentic.

[3] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 1, p. 201 in a chapter about one who says "There is God except Allah" out of firm belief enters Paradise.

[4] Sheikh Muhammed al-Ghazali, Fiqh al-Sirah, p. 41 (sixth edition).

128

having said, "We were once with Abu Hurayrah, and he was wearing two beautiful linen garments.

He blew his nose, so he said, 'How can this be?! Abu Hurayrah blows his nose while wearing linen?! I remember being the very last one in the distance between the pulpit of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and the chamber of `A'ishah, losing my consciousness. One would come and put his foot on my neck, thinking that I am mad. I was not mad; I was only hungry.'"[1]

What is linked to his support for the Umayyads is his deliberately keeping silent about some traditions of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) because narrating them would have jeopardized his own life [not just his pocket]. Abu Hurayrah himself has said, "I learned from the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) two pouches [of aHadith]. As for one of them, I disseminated it. As for the other, had I disseminated it, this throat would have been cut off."[2] Where does this statement stand in comparison to this following statement by Abu Hurayrah himself: "People say that Abu Hurayrah has narrated too many traditions. Had it not been for two verses in the Book of Allah, I would not have narrated a single hadith: 'Those who conceal the clear (Signs) and the guidance We have sent down after We have made it clear for the people in the Book-the curse of Allah, and the curse of those entitled to curse, shall be upon them, except those who repent and make amends and openly declare (the truth): I turn to them; for I am oft-Returning, Most Merciful' (Qur'an, 2:159-160)."[3]

From all these irrefutable proofs, the truth becomes quite clear about Abu Hurayrah and his "integrity" in narrating hadith and which makes him similar to the "sultans' preachers" in our own time. And it becomes quite clear why the Shi'ahs turn away from his traditions: It is their answer to the Sunnis who exaggerate in

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 317 in the book of upholding the Book and the Sunnah in a chapter about what the Prophet (a.s.) stated according to the agreement of people of knowledge.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 89 in the book of knowledge in a chapter about memorizing knowledg

[3] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 88.

129

accepting Abu Hurayrah's traditions, charging anyone who is critical of him. In the book titled Ikhtisar `Ulum al-hadith [summarizing the sciences of hadith], Ibn Hanbal, Abu Bakr al-Hamidi and Abu Bakr al-Sayrafi are all quoted as having said, "We do not accept the narration of one who tells lies about the traditions of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) even if he repents thereafter."[1] Al-Sam`ani has said, "One who tells a lie in one single narrative, all his previous narratives must be dropped."[2]

Following we would like to display some of the "traditions" narrated by Abu Hurayrah which al-Bukhari has recorded in his Sahih:

Let us start with Abu Hurayrah claiming that Moses (a.s.) gouged the eye of the angel of death!!! Abu Hurayrah has said, "The angel of death was sent to Moses (a.s.). When he said to him [to accompany him], he pushed him back, so he [the angel] returned to his Lord and said, 'You sent me to a servant who does not want to die.' Allah answered him by saying, 'Go back to him and tell him to put his hand on the back of a bull, for then he will be granted for each hair one more year to live.' He said, 'Lord! What after that?' Allah said, 'Death.' He, therefore, asked Allah to ping him close to the holy land the distance of a stone's throw." [3]

Abu Hurayrah has said, "... It will be said to Hell: 'Are you now full?' It will say, 'Is there any more?' It will then be the time when the Lord, Praised and Exalted is He, would put His foot on it, and it would say, 'Now, only now, am I full.'" [4]

Abu Hurayrah has said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'Our Lord, Praised and Exalted is He, descends every night to the lower heavens during the last third of the night and says, 'Who is there to

[1] Ikhtisar `Ulum al-hadith, p. 111.

[2] Al-Nawawi, Al-Taqrīb, p. 14.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 236 in the book of coffins.

[4] Ibid., Vol. 6, p. 353 in the book of exegesis in a chapter about the verse "Is there any more?"

130

plead to me, so I shall grant him? Who is there to seek My forgiveness, so I shall forgive him?" [1]

The latest narrative contradicts what the Sunnis believe of Allah (a.s.) firmly established on the `Arsh (the Divine Throne). His descending to the lower heavens at night, as Abu Hurayrah claims, implies His staying there for the twenty-four hours of the night and the day on account of the presence of another time of the night in another area of the earth, in various places, since the earth is globular! Had Abu Hurayrah known that the earth looks like a ball, would he have narrated "traditions" like these?!

Abu Hurayrah has also said, "The Prophet (a.s.) said, 'The Children of Israel used to bathe in the nude, so each one of them would look at the other, but Moses used to bathe by himself, so they said, 'By Allah! Nothing prohibits Moses from bathing with us except that he has no sexual organs.' Moses went once to bathe. He put his garment on a rock. The rock rolled down, carrying his garment with it. Moses chased the rock saying, 'ping my garment back, O rock! ping my garment back, O rock!' until the Children of Israel saw Moses and said, 'By Allah! There is no harm

in [the body of] Moses!' He took his garment and started beating the rock [to discipline it!!!]."
Abu Hurayrah went on to say, "By Allah! He asked six or seven other [rocks] to beat that rock with him." [2]

Abu Hurayrah has also said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'When the call for the prayers is made, Satan would then run away. He would keep farting so that the adhan may not be heard. When the call terminates, he returns until the prayer is held; it is then that he will run away again. Once it is over, he returns and so on. One would keep telling himself, 'I remember such-and-such! I remember such-and-such [things or people, etc.]!' He would keep telling himself like that until he does not know how he prayed." [3]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 136 in the book of tahajjud.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 169 in the book of ghusul in a chapter about one who bathes isolated in the nude.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 1, p. 336 in the book of adhan in a chapter about the rewards of

131

In fact, the past "traditions" exist in the books of the Israelites which Abu Hurayrah used to quote quite often. This was due to keeping company so much with Ka`b al-Ahbar, the Jew who pretended to have embraced Islam.

About entering Paradise, Abu Hurayrah has narrated saying, "I heard the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) say, 'A group from among my nation will enter Paradise who are seventy thousand in number; their faces will shine like the moon.' `Akkashah ibn Muhsin al-Asadi stood up and said, 'O Messenger of Allah! Do pray for me so that Allah may let me be one of them!' He (a.s.) said, 'O Lord! Do let him be among them!' Then a man from among the Ansar said, 'O Messenger of Allah! Do pray for me so that Allah may let me be one of them!' The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, '`Akkashah has already beaten you to it!'" [1]

Abu Hurayrah also says, "While we were in the company of the Prophet (a.s.), when I was asleep, I saw myself [in a vision] in Paradise. I found a woman making her ablution beside a mansion. I inquired, 'To whom does this mansion belong?' They said, 'To `Umar ibn al-Khattab.' I remembered then how jealous he is, so I fled away. `Umar wept and said, 'I am jealous only for your own sake, O Messenger of Allah!'" [2]

We would like to conclude Abu Hurayrah's "traditions" by citing some fatwas (religious verdicts) narrated about him and attributed to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) whom he quotes as having said, "If anyone looks inside your house without your permission, and if you threw a rock at him

and gouged his eye, you will not then be blamed." [3] calling the adhan.

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 7, p. 473 in the book of outfits in a chapter about the outer garments, mantles and towels.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 4, p. 306 in the book of the beginning of creation in a chapter about the characteristics of Paradise.

[3] Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 18 in the book of blood monies in a chapter about one who takes his own right or sought qisas without having to refer to the sultan.

132

As for other "fatwas" from Abu Hurayrah, one says that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) has said, "None of you should walk wearing only one single sandal. Let him wear them both or take them off both." [1]

A Halt With Al-Bukhari In His Sahih

It has become necessary to cast a look, though swift, at al-Bukhari's Sahih as the most accurate of all the books of hadith according to the Sunnis who, on one hand, believe in the authenticity of all what is narrated in it. On the other hand, it contains many narratives by Abu Hurayrah and such a huge quantity of the narratives which cast doubt about the infallibility of the Prophet (a.s.) in addition to other such narratives.

Al-Bukhari records aHadith which, according to him, are authentic from among 600,000 (six hundred thousand) traditions, as narrated about him. He himself has said, "I have not included in this book except what is authentic, and what I have not included of such authentic aHadith is even more numerous."

The first objection which we have against al-Bukhari, the mentor, is his reliance on the "justice" of a series of traditionists as the only condition for fixing the authenticity of the narrated hadith and without considering its context, what meanings it contains, etc. This explains the presence of instability, error and contradiction in many narratives which he has recorded. Even if the narrator is just, this does not stop him from forgetting a portion of one hadith which he had heard in addition to the possibility of his narrating the hadith according to its meaning, not in the wording which he had heard. This causes the hadith to lose some of its original wording which may have another meaning to which the narrator did not pay attention especially since the series of the narrators is so lengthy and may sometimes include seven or eight persons.

If we add the difficulty of verifying the "justice" of traditionists,

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 7, p. 496 in the book of outfits in a chapter about one who walks wearing one single sandal.

133

especially the hypocrites from among them whose inner secrets are known only to the Lord of all, the greatest fault with al-Bukhari's procedure in recording traditions becomes quite obvious. Underscoring this point, Ahmed Amin has said, "Some traditions whose traditions he recorded are not trustworthy. Huff?" (those who know the Qur'an by heart) have deemed about eight [out of ten] of those quoted by al-Bukhari as weak." [1]

Following are some of the traditions which al-Bukhari labels as "authentic" (Sahih) and, as time passed by, the Sunnis adhered to their contents:

Abu Sa'id al-Khudri is quoted as having said that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), with regard to Judgement Day, said the following, "... so they shall keep falling (into Hell) until only those who used to worship Allah, be they righteous or sinners, remain, and it will be said to the latter, 'What keeps you while all other people have gone?' They will say, 'We parted with him, and we this Day need such parting the most, and we heard a caller saying that all people should join those whom they used to worship; we, therefore, are waiting for our Lord.' The Mighty One will come to them not in the form in which they saw Him the first time, and He will say, 'I am your Lord.' They will say, 'You are our Lord,' and only the prophets will speak to Him. One will ask them, 'Is there between yourselves and Him any sign whereby you identify Him?' They will say, 'It is the leg,' so He will unveil His leg, and every believer will prostrate to Him..." [2]

Jarir ibn `Abdullah is quoted as having said, "One night, we were sitting with the Prophet (a.s.). He looked at the moon when it was the fourteenth of the month (i.e. full moon) and said, 'You shall see your Lord just as you see this [moon], and you shall not be blamed for seeing Him.' [3]"

[1] Ahmed Amin, Duha al-Islam, Vol. 2, pp. 117- 118.

[2] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 396 in the book of Tawhid in a chapter about "Faces that Day shall be fresh".

[3] Ibid., Vol. 6, p. 355 in the book of Tafsir in a chapter about the verse "... So celebrate your Lord's praises" (Qur'an, 110:30).

134

Suffices to rebut these past two "traditions" what al-Bukhari himself records when he relies on the

isnad of Masruq who says, "I asked `A'ishah, 'Mother [of the faithful]! Did Muhammed (a.s.) ever see his Lord?' She said, 'My hair stood up on account of what you have said. Where do you stand with regard to three things which, if anyone mentions them to you, he lies? Whoever told you that Muhammed (a.s.) saw his Lord lies. Then she recited the following verse: 'No vision can grasp Him, but His grasp encompasses all vision: He is above all comprehension, yet He is acquainted with all things' (Qur'an, 6:103),' 'It is not fitting for a man that Allah should speak to him except by inspiration, or from behind a veil or by sending a messenger to reveal, with God's permission, whatever Allah wills, for He is Most High, Most Wise' (Qur'an, 42:51)."[1]

`Allamah al-`Askari says, "The verse saying, 'Some faces that Day will beam (in pightness and beauty), looking to their Lord' (Qur'an, 75:22) means they look in anticipation for the Command of their Lord [to be lodged in Paradise], that is, they are expecting it. It is like the context of the following verse about what the sons of Jacob who said to their father: 'Ask the town where we have been' (Qur'an, 12:82), that is, 'Ask the people of the town.' Thus, interpreting the verses in the light of their outward meaning leads to that Allah, the most Praised, the most Exalted One, has a body." [2]

Among the other Israelite concepts found in al-Bukhari's book is one narrated about `Abdullah saying, "A rabbi went to the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and said, `O Muhammed! We find [in our books] how Allah will place the heavens on a finger, the trees on a finger, the water and the earth on a finger and all other creatures on a finger, then He will say, 'I am the King!' The Prophet (a.s.) laughed until his molar teeth became visible on account of testifying to the truth of what that rabbi had said. Then the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) recited this verse: 'They did

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 359 in the book of Tafsir in a chapter about Surat al-Najm

[2] Al-`Askari, Ma`alim al-Madrasatayn, Vol. 1, p. 31 (2nd edition).

134

not estimate Allah as He deserves' (Qur'an, 6:91)."[1]

[`Abdullah] Ibn `Umar is quoted as having said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'If the sun's arch comes out, abandon saying the prayers until the sun comes out [completely]. And when the sun's arch sets, abandon the prayers until it sets, and do not time your prayers with the rising of the sun or with its setting, for it rises between both horns of the devil.'" [2]

I do not know how anyone can believe such superstitions!

Here is another from Abu Tharr al-Ghifari who is supposed to have said, "The Prophet (a.s.), when

the sun set, said to Abu Tharr, 'Do you know where it went?' I [Abu Tharr] said, 'Allah and His Messenger know best.' He (a.s.) said, 'It truly goes until it prostrates under the `Arsh (the Divine Throne). It will seek permission, and it will be granted permission, and it almost prostrates under the `Arsh, so it seeks permission, and it is granted permission. And it almost prostrates, but it is not accepted from it. It seeks permission, and permission is not granted to it. It will be said to it, 'Return from where you have come,' so it rises from its setting place. This is a reference to this verse of the Almighty: 'And the sun runs its course for a period determined for it: That is the decree of the One Exalted in might, the all-Knowing One' (Qur'an, 36:38)."

`Umar ibn al-Khattab is quoted as having said, "Have you not come to know that the deceased person is tormented by the living weeping over him?" despite this verse of the Almighty: "No bearer of the burden (of sins) bears the burden of someone else" (Qur'an, 17:15).

`Abdullah is quoted as having said, "The name of a man was pronounced in the presence of the Prophet (a.s.). It was said that he kept sleeping until the morning and did not wake up for the prayers. The

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 317 in the book of Tafsir in a chapter about "They did not estimate Allah as He deserves."

[2] Ibid., Vol. 4, p. 319 in the book about the beginning of creation (genesis) in a chapter about the characteristic of Iblis and his hosts.

135

Prophet (a.s.) said, 'Satan urinated in his ears.'"[1]

Jabir ibn `Abdullah (al-Ansari) is quoted as having supposedly said, "Put lids over your pots, cover your drinks, close your doors and keep your children at home during the night, for the jinn spread and snatch. Put out the lamps when you go to bed, for the oil lamp's tape may burn and may cause the house to burn."[2]

We find this much of such narratives sufficient, and others are quite numerous, causing a large question mark to be placed before al-Bukhari and his Sahih. The first that is based on our proving the error of the common claim that all what is recorded in this Sahih is accurate is that any tradition in it deserves to be used as evidence simply because al-Bukhari granted it the feature of "authentic." We, therefore, have to cast a second look at the beliefs which were derived based on some of this book's traditions such as the possibility of seeing Allah Almighty, His placing His foot in Hell, the infallibility of the Prophet (a.s.) being incomplete, the Prophet (a.s.) not memorizing the entire text of the Qur'an, Moses gouging the eye of the Angel of Death and many, many such

stuff which has occupied a place of prominence and in which [some] people believe despite the doubts and superstitions which it carries and which can be used to level charges against the Islamic faith itself. The same applies to other books of hadith as well.

As a result, we are obliged to refer to our Islamic history and cast another look at a great deal of what al-Bukhari and other traditionists have narrated about the status of a sahabi, be he this person or that, especially with the presence of the disputes among these sahabah and which stirred a dissension the results of which are apparent in our own time: the presence of different sects which divided and weakened the Muslims.

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 135 in the book of tahajjud.

[2] Ibid., vol. 4, p. 336 in the book of the beginning of creation (genesis) in a chapter about "If flies fall into the drink of one of you, let him take it out with a piece of bread."

CHAPTER FIVE :MUT`AH: TEMPORARY MARRIAGE

137

It is a woman marrying a man according to an agreed upon dower and for a pre-determined period of time stated in a marriage contract which incorporates all the conditions of a marriage regarded by the Shari`ah as sound. Its format is that a woman says the following to the man after they both agree and accept the dower and the period of time: "I married you to myself on such-and-such a dower and for the known period of time" where this period is named exactly. The man's answer will be: "I accepted".

Representation in this contract is accepted just as it is in any other contract. According to the terms of the contract, the woman becomes the wife of the man, and the man becomes her husband until the end of the period specified in the contract. They may renew it to a different period of time or even forever if they wish. The wife has to observe the `iddah (waiting period) after the period terminates. The duration of the `iddah will be two months if she still goes through the menstrual period; otherwise, it is forty-five days. The child, male or female, born out of a mut`ah marriage belongs to his/her father.[1]

This type of marriage is used to scandalize the Shi`ah because the latter believe in its legality, but the questions here are:

- Where did the Shi'ahs come up with this sort of marriage?
 - Is this sort of legality subject to what a mujtahid deems as permissible or prohibitive?
- [1] Imam Sharaf ad-Din, Al-Fusul al-Muhimmah.

138

- And what are the proofs for its legality from the Glorious Book and the Purified Sunnah?

In order to answer all these questions, we say that all Muslims, in their various sects, are unanimous in their view that this sort of marriage was legislated in the dawn of Islam. Al-Bukhari, quoting [ʿAbdullah] Ibn ʿAbbas, cites the latter saying, "We used to participate in military campaigns with the Prophet (a.s.), and we did not have our women with us, so we said to him, 'Could we have eunuchs [for sex]?' But he prohibited us from doing that and later permitted any of us to marry a woman by giving her as simple [a dower] as a garment. Then he recited the following verse: 'O you who believe! Do not make unlawful the good things which Allah has made lawful for you...' (Qur'an, 5:90)."[1] The verse saying "... seeing that you derive benefit [mut`ah, enjoyment] from them, give them their prescribed dowers" (Qur'an, 4:24) had already been revealed about this type of marriage. Most Sunni scholars of exegesis have explained "enjoyment" in this verse as the mut`ah marriage. But Ibn ʿAbbas, Ubayy ibn Ka`b and Sa`id ibn Jubayr read this verse as though it says: "From whoever among them you derive a pleasure for a predetermined period, you must give them their dowers." [2]

Explaining this verse in his Tafsir, Ibn Kathir said the following: "It is far-fetched that these should believe in the distortion of the Qur'an; therefore, it must be intended for interpretation rather than recitation..." [3] But Islamic sects differed about the continuity of permitting this sort of marriage, and the problem became: Was the mut`ah marriage prohibited or did it remain as is? The following hadith proves beyond any doubt that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) passed away without having prohibited the mut`ah marriage:

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 110 in the book of exegesis in a chapter about the verse saying, "O you who believe! Do not make unlawful the good things which Allah has made lawful for you" (Qur'an, 5:90).

[2] Ibn Kathir, Tafsir; Muslim, Sahih (as explained by al-Nawawi), Vol. 3, p. 552 (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[3] Ibn Kathir, Tafsir.

139

`Imran is quoted as having said, "The verse of mut`ah was revealed in the Book of Allah (a.s.), so we acted upon it in the company of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), and no verse was ever revealed prohibiting it, nor did he (a.s.) ban it until his death. A man [apparently referring to `Umar ibn al-Khattab] said according to his own personal view whatever he wanted to say." [1]

It is stated in Sharh al-Bari `ala Sahih al-Bukhari that the man referred to in the hadith cited above is caliph `Umar ibn al-Khattab. [2] This supports what Muslim narrates in his Sahih relying on the authority of Abu Nadrah who has said, "I was with Jabir ibn `Abdullah [al-Ansari] when someone came to him and said, '[`Abdullah] Ibn `Abbas and [`Abdullah] ibn al-Zubayr differed with each other about both types of mut`ah.' Jabir said, 'We did them both in the company of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), then `Umar prohibited us from doing them; so, we did not return to doing them.'" [3] Also in Muslim's Sahih, with isnad traced back to `Ata' who has said, "Jabir ibn `Abdullah came to perform the `umrah. We, therefore, went to his house. People asked him about things, then they mentioned mut`ah. He said, 'Yes, we did perform the mut`ah during the time of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and during that of Abu Bakr and of `Umar.'" [4] Muslim, relying on the isnad of Jabir ibn `Abdullah, also narrated in his Sahih that the latter had said, "We used to contract mut`ah for a handful of dates and flour for a few days during the time of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and that of Abu Bakr until `Umar banned it with regard to `Amr ibn Huraith.." [5]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 375 in the book of Hajj.

[2] Sharh al-Bari `ala Sahih Al-Bukhari, vol. 4, p. 177; Sharh al-Nawawi `ala Sahih Al-Bukhari, Vol. 3, p. 364 (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[3] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 556 in the book of nikah in a chapter about mut`ah (Dar al-Sha`b edition) as explained by al-Nawawi.

[4] Ibid., Vol. 3, p. 555 in the book of nikah in a chapter about mut`ah (Dar al-Sha`b edition) as explained by al-Nawawi.

[5] Ibid., Vol. 3, p. 556 in the book of nikah in a chapter about mut`ah (Dar al-Sha`b edition) as explained by al-Nawawi.

1407

The story of `Amr ibn Huraith is that a poor woman knocked at the man's door once pleading to him to give her something to satisfy her hunger, but the man refused to give her anything unless she let him have her way with her, claiming that it was the mut`ah marriage. The woman accepted this condition against her will. Caliph `Umar came to know about it, so he was very angry. This prompted him to ban it. Moreover, he decreed to stone anyone who practiced this type of marriage as is clear from a narrative recorded by Muslim in his Sahih the isnad of which is traced to Abu Nadrah. The latter said, "Ibn `Abbas used to enjoin the mut`ah, and Ibn al-Zubayr used to prohibit it, so I mentioned this to Jabir who said, 'We used to practice mut`ah when we were in

the company of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.). When `Umar came [to power], he said, 'Allah makes lawful for His Messenger whatever He wills; so, complete the hajj and the `umrah and stay away from marrying these women. If a man is brought to me who had married a woman off to a man, I will stone him.'"[1]

And in al-Tirmidhi's Sahih, while quoting `Abdullah ibn `Umar who was asked by a Syrian about the mut`ah. He said, 'It is lawful.' The man said, 'Your father [`Umar ibn al-Khattab] banned it.' [`Abdullah] Ibn `Umar said, 'Do you see that my father banned it although the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had permitted it, should you abandon the Sunnah [of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)] and follow what my father says?!'"[2]

The nation's scholar (hap al-ummah), `Abdullah Ibn `Abbas, was famous with regard to his view that the verse relevant to mut`ah was never apogated. Al-Zamakhshari says the same in his tafsir titled Al-Kashif where he cites Ibn `Abbas saying that the verse of the mut`ah is among the fixed ones. In al-Bukhari's Sahih, too, there is a testimony to this fact. Abu Jamrah says, "I heard Ibn `Abbas being asked about the mut`ah of women; he permitted it. Mawla said to

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 331 in the book of Hajj in a chapter about the scholars' views regarding the legitimacy of one who performs the mut`ah while performing the `umrah (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[2] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih.

141

him, 'Such is done during a harsh circumstance and when there is a shortage of women,' or something like that, whereupon Ibn `Abbas said, 'Yes.'"[1]

Both al-ṭabarani and al-Tha`labi, each in his own tafsir book, rely on the authority of `Ali (a.s.) saying, "Had `Umar not banned the mut`ah, nobody would have committed adultery except a wretch,"[219] that is, only few would have committed it.

Despite the clarity of all these proofs that are as clear as the midday sun regarding the continuity of the mut`ah marriage being halal, most Sunnis nowadays see the opposite, claiming that the verse relevant to this type of marriage was apogated. They also differed regarding what [or who] apogated it. Some of them say it was apogated by a Qur'anic verse, while others say that the revocation came from narratives in the Sunnah. We rebut both views with the above-cited traditions the authenticity of which is already fixed and which prove that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) died without prohibiting the mut`ah.

As regarding those who say that it was apogated by this verse: "... who guard their private parts, except with those joined to them in the marriage bond" (Qur'an, 23:5-6), this verse is Makkiyyah (revealed in Mecca) while the mut`ah verse is Madaniyyah (revealed in Medinah) [i.e. revealed after the Hijra]. The ruling for the legislation of the mut`ah marriage is Madaniyyah, and what precedes cannot apogate what follows. As regarding those who say the apogation came from the Sunnah which is narrated about the Messenger of Allah (a.s.), the "traditions" which they claim to be apogating contradict each other. Some of them say it was apogated in Khayber, another in Awtas, a third when Mecca was conquered, a fourth in the Tabuk campaign, a fifth in the `umrah of qad?' and a sixth in Hijjatul-Wad?` (farewell pilgrimage)...! The inconsistency of these narratives and their contradiction is a clear proof of their inaccuracy. Add to this fact that such narratives are no more than

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 7, p. 36 in the book of nikah.

[2] Al-Tabarani, Tafsir. Al-Tha`labi, Tafsir.

142

transmissions each one of which was related by one single person and do not qualify to apogate a ruling fixed in a Qur'anic verse and the legality of which is proven according to the consensus of the Muslims because apogation cannot take place through one single person's narrative, and a Qur'anic verse cannot be apogated except by another verse of the Qur'an by virtue of the verse saying "We neither apogate any of Our revelations nor cause them to be forgotten without substituting [them with] something better or similar" (Qur'an, 2:106).

Besides the existence of all these clear texts which prove the legality of the mut`ah marriage and that the Prophet (a.s.) never banned it but stayed until caliph `Umar banned it during his reign, we cannot find a solution for this complex except that caliph `Umar followed his own ijtihaad in order to achieve a [social] benefit which he, according to his own insight, saw the Muslims of his time and days required him to prohibit the mut`ah a civil prohibition, in order to serve a temporal interest, not a religious prohibition, since caliph `Umar is greater and is Islamically above prohibiting what Allah has permitted or incorporating in the religion what has nothing to do with the religion. He knew that what Muhammed (a.s.) deemed as halal remains permissible until the Day of Judgment, and what Muhammed (a.s.) deemed as haram remains prohibitive until the Day of Judgment. It has, therefore, to be a civil prohibition, not a religious one. His strict stand vis-à-vis the mut`ah marriage is not the first of its kind, for he is known to be tough and harsh in all his affairs and applies his personal ijtihaad seeking the higher benefit, in his view, for Islam and the upholding of the Shari`ah.[1]

One example of `Umar applying his own ijtihaad in some ruling and his strictness in their regard is

when he ordered the Muslims to perform the nafl (supererogatory) prayers during the month of Ramadan (what is known as "salat al-tarawih") in a congregational manner after it had been performed during the time of the

[1] Excerpted and edited from the book titled *Asl al-Shi`ah wa Usuluha* [the origin and principles of Shi`ah] by `Allamah Muhammed al Kashif al-Ghita'.

143

Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and that of Abu Bakr individually. Relying on the authority of Abu Hurayrah, al-Bukhari states the following: "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said, 'One who stands in prayers during the month of Ramadan out of a firm belief and a sincere desire for rewards, his past sins shall be forgiven.' Ibn Shihab said, 'The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) passed away and people were thus doing [praying during the month of Ramadan the nafl prayers singly], and it remained so during the caliphate of Abu Bakr and the dawn of the caliphate of `Umar. I went out with `Umar ibn al-Khattab in a night during the month of Ramadan to the Mosque and saw the people scattered, each praying on his own, each man praying by himself. A man would pray on his own, while others see a man pray so they pray like him. `Umar said, 'I am of the view that if I gather these to pray behind one qari, it would be better.' He gathered them all to pray behind Ubayy ibn Ka`b. I went out with him in another night and I saw the people following the prayer of their qari. `Umar said, 'How good this bid`ah (invention in the creed) is! And the one after which they sleep is better than the one after which they stand,' meaning the one performed at the end of the night is better, and people used to stand for the prayers at the beginning of the night." [1]

Even with regard to this same nafl prayer ritual, he [`Umar] followed his personal ijtihaad, increasing the number of its prostrations to twenty. `A'ishah has said, "The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) never increased the number of prostrations over eleven neither during the month of Ramadan nor in any other month." [2] But some of those who were contemporary to caliph `Umar, in addition to some naive traditionists after him, when the latter were unmindful of the reason why the caliph banned the mut`ah marriage, found it quite serious that he should ban what Allah had permitted, so they were forced to find a justification for it. They could not find anything other than the claim that the Prophet (a.s.) apogated it

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 3, p. 126 in the book of the tarawih prayer in a chapter about the merit of one who stands for prayers during the month of Ramadan.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 2, p. 137 in the book of tahajjud.

144

after permitting it, thus falling into confusion, and their statements contradicted each other so much.

Look into the following narrative so you may see the extent of such confusion and contradiction about which we are talking. More calamitous is that those who fabricated the following narrative attributed their fabrication to `Ali (a.s.):

Al-Bukhari, in his Sahih, has stated the following: "Someone said the following to `Ali, may Allah be pleased with him, 'Ibn `Abbas finds no fault with the mut`ah of women.' `Ali (a.s.) said, 'The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) banned it in the Battle of Khayber and banned eating the meat of domiciled donkeys.' Some people said, 'If one applies trickery until he has mut`ah, his marriage is invalid.' Some other people said, 'The marriage is permissible, but the condition is nil.'" [1]

Had these folks understood the reason why the caliph [Umar] had banned it, they would not have had to resort to such an effort and such confusion. Mut`ah has been looked into above from both its theological and historical aspect. As regarding looking into it from the ethical and social standpoint, its legislation came as a mercy to people and to provide an ease to many, especially to those who travel seeking knowledge, or trade, or jihad, or guard a border..., situations in which a permanent marriage is not possible because of its consequences and requirements which do not agree with the conditions of travelers especially while they are young and the desire in them is fiery. They face one of two options: They may either remain patient and declare a jihad against their own self, something which pings about a great deal of hardship which may lead to malignant ailments and lethal psychological ills and other harms with which people are familiar, or they may fall into adultery which has filled the world with corruption and harm.

These reasons are the same that prompted one of the Gulf preachers

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 76 in the book of ikrah (doing something forced by extreme necessity) in a chapter about the legality of a marriage.

145

named Sheikh Ahmed al-Qattan to issue a fatwa to Arab students in the Philippines to practice temporary marriage under a different name which he called "marriage with the intention to divorce." The condition in this marriage is that the husband intends to divorce his wife without anyone knowing about this intention, that is, that his marriage is in his mind temporary, while according to the knowledge and intention of the wife, it is permanent. The husband divorces his wife at the end of the period which he had in mind.

Although those who invented this sort of marriage admit that it contains lying to the wife and cheating her, and although there is no evidence for it in the Qur'an or in the Prophetic Sunnah, they justify it in their own legislation by saying that its harm, at any rate, remains much less than the harms of adultery! Our Sheikh named above issued such a fatwa when he was asked about the mut`ah marriage and about Ibn `Abbas legalizing it. He answered by saying that this kind of marriage is prohibitive and that Ibn `Abbas was wrong in his verdict. He added commenting thus: "Had we followed the scholars' slips, we would have turned apostates!"

Thus became the bid`ah of a "marriage with the intention to divorce", according to the view of al-Qattan, a substitute for the mut`ah marriage which was pought in the Book of Allah and in the Sunnah: "Will you exchange what is better for what is worse?!" (Qur'an, 2:61); so, there is no power nor might except in Allah.

Mut`ah Of The Hajj

As for the mut`ah of the hajj, it was practiced by the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) who enjoined it in accordance with the verse saying: "If anyone wishes to continue the `Umrah on to the hajj, he must make an offering, such as he can afford; he should fast three days during the hajj and seven days on his return, making ten days in all. This is for those whose home is not in (the precincts of) the Sacred Mosque" (Qur'an, 2:196). It is described as "enjoyment during the time of hajj" due to the pleasure of permitting what the ihram prohibits during the period from both ihrams (the ihram for the `Umrah and the ihram for the Hajj)[1], and this, too, was detested by

[1] Imam Sharafud-Din, Al-Fusul al-Muhimmah.

146

caliph `Umar and which he banned despite the fact that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) died without having banned it. Al-Bukhari, relying on the authority of Sa`id ibn al-Musayyab, has stated the following: "`Ali (a.s.) and `Uthman differed with regard to their views about the mut`ah. `Ali (a.s.) said, 'You only want to prohibit something which the Prophet (a.s.) had personally done.' When `Ali (a.s.) saw that, he permitted both." [1]

And look into the following hadith which al-Bukhari records in his Sahih and which clearly shows that there were those who followed their own ijtihaad with regard to clear statements made by the Prophet (a.s.):

Al-Hakam has said, "I saw both `Uthman and `Ali. `Uthman used to ban the mut`ah and ban one from combining both. `Ali (a.s.) permitted both saying, 'Labbayk for an `umrah and hajj!' He said, 'I

shall never abandon the Sunnah of the Prophet (a.s.) simply because someone said something." [2]

The "someone" to whom `Ali (a.s.) referred in his statement above is `Umar ibn al-Khattab as we clarified in previous places. As for the excuse of `Uthman with regard to his view, when allegiance was secured for him as the caliph, `Abd al-Rahman ibn `Awf, as ordered by caliph `Umar before the latter's death, preconditioned on him to act upon the Book of Allah (a.s.) and the Sunnah of His Prophet (a.s.) and the way of both sheikhs [Abu Bakr and `Umar]. Banning both types of mut`ah was considered as part of the way of both sheikhs and to which `Uthman could not apply his own ijtiḥad; otherwise, he would not have become caliph if he refused to accept that condition. It is consecutively reported about caliph `Umar that he has said, "Two types of mut`ah used to be in effect during the time of the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) and I now ban," [3] meaning the mut`ah of women and of ḥajj. This statement by caliph `Umar shows that dealing with their own rulings came only from him, not from anyone else. He admits that both types of mut`ah were in existence during the time of the Prophet (a.s.), and he does not indicate at all that the Prophet (a.s.) had banned them; rather, he here

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 374 in the book of Ḥajj.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 2, p. 371 in the book of Ḥajj.

[3] Al-Razi, Al-Tafsir al-Kabir, Vol. 5, p. 153 (Dar Ihya' al-Turath al-`Arabi). Al-ḥabarani.

147

is admitting banning them himself saying, "... and I now ban."

May Allah have mercy on one who said the following about the previous statement by `Umar: "We accepted his testimony [that the Prophet (a.s.) never banned these mut`ahs] and did not accept his prohibition thereof."

The fact is that anyone who reviews our Islamic history subjectively and away from fanaticism will find many other rulings (besides those relevant to both mut`ahs and to the tarawih) which came to exist out of the ijtiḥad of caliph `Umar and despite the existence of fixed statements by the Prophet (a.s.) which oppose them. But the Sunnis accepted these ijtiḥad rulings across the centuries thinking they came from the Prophet (a.s.)...!!!

THE AWAITED MAHDI AND DISSENSIONS

All Islamic groups agree on a man who appears at the end of time to fill the world with justice and equity and establish the government of righteousness over all parts of the earth as a testimony to this verse:

"Before this We wrote in the Psalms, after the message (had been given to Moses): My servants, the righteous, shall inherit the earth" (Qur'an, 21:105), and also this verse: "And We wished to be gracious to those who were being oppressed in the land, to make them leaders (in faith) and to make them the heirs." (Qur'an, 28:5)

And also this verse:

"They would fain extinguish Allah's light with their mouths, but Allah will not allow but that His light should be perfected, even though the unbelievers may detest (it). It is He who has sent His Prophet with guidance and the religion of truth to proclaim it over all religions, even though the pagans may detest (it)" (Qur'an, 9:32-33).

The Chosen One (a.s.) clarified that this awaited man is from among his own family; he (a.s.) said,

"The world will not come to an end before the Arabs are ruled by a man from among my own family whose name is similar to mine..., etc." [1]

Abu Sa'id al-Khudri is quoted as having said that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said,

"The Hour shall not come until the earth is filled with oppression, suppression and animosity, then will come out of my family one who will fill it with equity and justice after being filled with oppression and transgression." [2]

Abu Hurayrah is quoted as having cited the Messenger of Allah (a.s.)

[1] Al-Tirmidhi, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 74. Abu Dawud, Vol. 2, p. 7. Ahmed, Musnad, Vol. 1, p. 376.

[2] Mustadrak al-Sahihayn, Vol. 4, p. 557. Ahmed, Musnad, Vol. 3, p. 36.

as saying,

"If only one day remained of the life in this world, Allah, the most Exalted One, the most Great, would have prolonged it until a man from among my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) rules the Daylam Mountain and Constantinople." [1]

Umm Salamah is quoted as having cited the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) saying,

"Al-Mahdi is from among my offspring, from the offspring of Fatimah (a.s.)."

The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) said that Jesus (a.s.) would appear at the end of time and would pray behind Al-Mahdi. Abu Hurayrah quotes the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) as saying,

"How will you be if the son of Maryam (Mary) descends among you and your own Imam is your king?!" [2]

Al-Hafi", in Sharh Sahih al-Bukhari, has said, "Narratives are consecutively reported that Al-Mahdi is from this nation, and that Jesus son of Mary will descend and pray behind him." [3] The Fiqh Assembly of the Muslim World League (Rabitat al-Aalam al-Islami) issued the following fatwa (verdict) dated May 31, 1976 about the Awaited Al-Mahdi: "Al-Mahdi (a.s.) is Muhammed ibn `Abdullah al-Hasani al-`Alawi al-Fatimi Al-Mahdi, the Awaited One. The time of his appearance is at the end of time, and it [appearance] is one of the signs of the Great Hour. He shall come out from the west, and he will receive the oath of allegiance in Hijaz, in Venerable Mecca, between the Rukn and Maqam [of Ipahim], between the Honored Ka`bah and the fixed Black Stone. He will appear when there is a great deal of corruption, when disbelief spreads and when people oppress, and he will fill the earth with justice and equity just as it was filled with injustice and oppression. He shall rule the entire world and everyone will be his subject, once through conviction and

[1] Ibn Majah, Sahih, in a chapter about ijtihad.

[2] Muslim, Sahih, Vol. 1, p. 373 in a chapter about Jesus son of Mary descending (Dar al-Sha`b edition).

[3] Fath al-Bari, Vol. 5, p. 362.

once through war. He shall rule the earth for seven years, and Jesus (a.s.) will descend after him and kill the Dajjal [anti-Christ] or descends with him and helps him kill him at the Ludd Gate on the land of Palestine. And he is the last of the twelve righteous caliphs about whom the Prophet (a.s.) spoke as recorded in the Sahih books... The belief in the appearance of Al-Mahdi is obligatory, and

it is one of the tenets of the followers of Sunnah and Jama`ah and is not denied except by one who is ignorant of the Sunnah and one who pings an innovation into the creed." [1]

Hence, Sunnis agree with the Shi'ahs that Imam Al-Mahdi (a.s.) is the last of the Twelve Caliphs about whom the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) gave the glad tidings, and both parties agree on most other points relevant to the Awaited Imam. As regarding their differences in his regard, these are:

First: Most Sunnis believe Imam Al-Mahdi (a.s.) will be born at the end of time, while the Shi'ahs believe he was born in 255 A.H. (869 A.D.) to his father Imam al-Hasan al-`Askari (a.s.), the twelfth among the Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), but Allah Almighty veiled him from the eyes for a wisdom which He decreed, and he remains alive and will come out at the end of the time.

Second: Sunnis, as in the above-quoted fatwa, believe Al-Mahdi (a.s.) is a descendant of al-Hasan (a.s.) and the name of his father is `Abdullah based on a narrative recorded by them: "... His name shall be similar to mine, and the name of his father similar to my father's," whereas Shi'ahs believe Al-Mahdi (a.s.) descends from Imam al-Husain (a.s.) and was born to his father al-Hasan al-`Askari. (a.s.). The latest narrative they report as follows: "... His name shall be similar to mine, and his father's name similar to my son's", a reference to the Prophet's grandson al-Hasan (a.s.). Some Sunni writers tried to criticize and charge the Shi'ahs for their belief in the birth of the Awaited Imam and in his holding the reigns of Imamate at the age of five. This criticism is mostly rendered to fanaticism

[1] Mu'amarat al-Mutajirin bid-Din [Plots of those who trade in religion], p. 29.

151

due to their own conviction. Anything contrary to their conviction or to what they have been accustomed to or have inherited, they immediately pass their judgment on it as "invalid" without looking into the arguments of others. Our response to this is:

First: There are many Sunni scholars who believe Al-Mahdi (a.s.) is Muhammed ibn al-Hasan al-`Askari (a.s.), and that he is still alive [and in occultation] until Allah permits him to come out. They, thus, are in agreement with what the Imamite Twelver Shi'ahs say. Among these scholars are:

1. Muhyi ad-Din ibn al-`Arabi in Futuhat Makkah.
2. Sibti ibn al-Jawzi in his book Tadhkirat al-Khawass.
3. `Abd al-Wahhab al-Sha`rani in his book Aqa'id al-Akabar.
4. Ibn al-Khashshab in his book Tawarikh Mawalid al-A'imma wa Wafiyatihim.

5. Muhammed al-Bukhari al-Hanafi in his book Fasl al-Khitab.
6. Ahmed ibn Iphim al-Baladhiri in his book Al-hadith al-Mutasalsil.
7. Ibn al-Sabbagh al-Maliki in his book Al-Fusul al-Muhimmah.
8. The man of knowledge `Abd al-Rahman in his book Mir'at al-Asrar.
9. Kamal ad-Din ibn al-hah in his book Matalib al-Sa'ul fi Manaqib al-Rasul.
10. Al-Qanduzi al-Hanafi in his book Yanabi` al-Mawaddah.

And there are others, too.[1]

Second: There is no evidence from the Shari`ah proving the opposite. The occultation of the Awaited Imam has many similar miracles about which the Holy Qur'an informs us. Noah (a.s.)

[1] I took these references from the book titled Li Akuna Ma`a al-Sadiqin (So I May be with the Truthful) by `Allamah Dr. Muhammed al-Smawi al-Tijani, p. 196.

152

remained in his people for nine hundred fifty (950) years calling them to the way of Allah: "... and he stayed among them a thousand years less fifty" (Qur'an, 29:14). He, of course, lived longer than that. The Fellows of the Cave (ashab al-kahf; the Seven Sleepers of Ephesus) remained asleep for 309 years. Allah Almighty raised Jesus (a.s.) to Him, saved him from being killed and will send him back to this world at the end of time. Al-Khedir, too (a.s.) remains alive veiled from our eyes.

As regarding the tender age of Al-Mahdi (a.s.) when he received the reigns of Imamate following the death of his father, al-Hasan al-`Askari (a.s.), the eleventh in the series of the Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), there are similar and even greater miracles than that. Allah made Jesus son of Mary (a.s.) a prophet even as he was a suckling infant in the cradle: "But she pointed to the babe. They said, 'How can we talk to one who is a child in the cradle?!' He said, 'I am, indeed, a servant of Allah: He has given me Revelation and made me a prophet...'" (Qur'an, 19:29-30). And Allah granted authority to Yahy? (John the Baptist) while still a child: "'O Yahy?! Take hold of the Book firmly.' And We granted him wisdom even as a youth" (Qur'an, 19:12).

If anyone says that these miracles were for the prophets, we say that there is no evidence from the Shari`ah pointing to miracles coming to a halt after the demise of the greatest Prophet (a.s.). Miracles are not only for prophets. The Fellows of the Cave were not prophets. Even the master of devils, Iblis, Allah extended his lifespan until the day of appointed time. On the other hand, those who object to the belief in the occultation of the Awaited Imam, their objection is rendered to their ignorance of his status and the truth about him. Al-Mahdi (a.s.) will be the Imam of Jesus

(a.s.) whom Allah made a prophet even while a suckling baby in the cradle. Thus, had the Sunnis come to know and realize that Allah Almighty is the One Who chose the Twelve Imams from among the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) to be the successors of the Prophet (a.s.) and the custodians of Muhammed's Message, their astonishment about the care with which Allah surrounded the seal of these Imams-until He pings

153

him back and completes His victory for the clear righteousness and make his creed dominate all other creeds-will surely disappear and will have no excuse. Most Sunnis are not surprised about what they have accepted through their own venues, or from anything with which their sect agrees; rather, they accept it and take it for granted whether it be about these miracles mentioned in the Holy Qur'an and about which nobody of course can raise any doubt, but this consideration includes what they have taken from the narratives in the Sahih books of both al-Bukhari and Muslim.

As they narrate, for example, Allah descends to the lower earth at the end of the night; He uncovers His leg; He puts His foot in Hell on the Judgment Day (we seek refuge with Allah against such beliefs), or the possibility of the Prophet (a.s.) forgetting or falling under the influence of wizardry or his forgetting the text of the Qur'an, or Moses (a.s.) gouging the eyes of the Angel of Death, or the iman of Abu Bakr weighting greater than that of the entire nation..., or the vision of `Umar piercing through thousands of miles in what is known as the incident of Sariyah which is famous among the Sunnis, or their statement that "Had there been a prophet after me, he would have been `Umar," or their saying that the angels are shy of `Uthman..., in addition to many, many such tales most of which are accepted as they are and despite the existence of many faults in them. As regarding what others believe, they reject it altogether, denying it without even looking into it or researching it.

I am sure had the belief in the occultation of the Awaited Imam been incorporated in their doctrine, the Sunnis would not have surrounded it with any doubt, nor would they have questioned it! In this regard, I recall many interesting incidents which I encountered as I talked with some potherers. One of them, while denying the legitimacy of the mut`ah marriage, which the Shi'ahs believe as legitimate, he did not know that Islam did not ban slavery, so he was attacking it because it [slavery] did not agree with his mentality. And when I explained to him that all the Sunnis believe in its being halal, he immediately expressed his agreement with them. As for the mut`ah marriage, and although he never saw

154

anything supporting banning it in al-Bukhari's Sahih, he insisted that he was not convinced of it for no reason except that all the Sunnis believe it is haram! What is more funny than this, I used to tell others during my defense of the guidance which I received and the following of the Straight Path of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) that the Shi'ahs believe the Prophet (a.s.) forgot some verses of the Qur'an or that a Jew was able to bewitch him or the story of the encounter between Moses and the angel of death, etc., they strongly rejected all of that and ridiculed such beliefs! And When I explained to them that these are the same beliefs because of which the Shi'ahs criticize the Sunnis and which are fixed in the most "authentic" Sunni books of hadith, such as al-Bukhari's Sahih, for example, some of them used to turn to defend them and to find a justification for them, insisting on upholding them, and this is nothing but what is called a blind sectarian fanaticism. Nothing will avail in confronting it when one comes face-to-face with the truth because closing the eye about them does not mean their nonexistence. The similitude of such people is, as you know, that of the ostrich.

Contrary to what some people imagine, the Awaited Imam (a.s.), despite the belief of all Islamic sects of his appearance at the end of time, they will differ about him when he does appear, and this will be the subject of a great test for all the Muslims, even for all those who follow heavenly creeds, for the Jews and the Christians, too, believe in the coming of a Promised Savior. Narratives have told the Muslims that they will be tested with regard to the Dajjal who will fight Al-Mahdi (a.s.), so much so that many of them will fight on the side of this Dajjal whom some narratives describe as the one-eyed Dajjal.

The truth, as I see it, is further than what some Sunnis believe, that is, that on the forehead of this Dajjal, the word "Kafir" [unbeliever] will be written. In such a case, it is highly unlikely that any Muslim will be tested in his regard so long as he can read this word which tells the truth about him. As for the claim of some of them that only a believer will be able to read that word on the Dajjal's forehead, this, too, is rejected because the result of the test will then have

155

been determined even before seeing that Dajjal. There is no sense in such a case in the dissension to which the narratives have referred. The same applies to their claim that he will be one-eyed. For this reason, I used to wonder in the past about: How can the Muslims not swear the oath of allegiance to Al-Mahdi (a.s.) when he appears, or how can they even fight him despite their waiting for his appearance and their conviction that Allah will grant him victory??!!

But I, after conducting my research in the issue of the difference between the Sunnis and the Shi'ahs, came to know that the strong tie this man enjoys according to the beliefs of the Shi'ahs, especially their belief that he is their Twelve Imam. This dissension became clearer than before.

When the Awaited Imam appears according to the descriptions of the Shi'ahs, they will swear the oath of allegiance to him at the same time when the fanatics from among the Sunnis will immediately say that this Mahdi (a.s.) is Shi'ah and not the one for whom we have been waiting who undoubtedly should be Sunni! We can feel the effects of this same dissension in our contemporary life through the criticism and the charges launched by Sunni fanatics against the Islamic revolution in Iran and against the man who exploded it. In most cases, they winked at him for no reason whatsoever except his being a Shi'ah! They did so without their knowledge of those behind this dissension, those who fuel it from among our own people whom the enemies of this nation have employed for this contemptible purpose. This is so despite the fact that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) had already given us glad tidings about such a blessed renaissance and those behind it in one hadith recorded by al-Bukhari in his Sahih where he relies on the authority of Abu Hurayrah who has said, "We were sitting with the Prophet (a.s.) when the Surat al-Jumu'ah (Friday) [Qur'anic Chapter] was revealed: '... As well as (to confer all these benefits upon) others who have not already joined them' (Qur'an, 62:3). I said, 'Who are these people, O Messenger of Allah?' He did not answer until we asked him about them three times, and Salman al-Farisi (the Persian) was present among us. The

156

Messenger of Allah (a.s.) put his hand on Salman then said, 'Had [the means to acquire] iman (conviction) been in Venus, it would have been acquired by men [or a man] from among these [Persians].'"[1] Allah Almighty has also referred in His Exalted Book to these folks when he said,

"Behold! You are those invited to spend in Allah's way, but some among you are stingy. Yet any who are stingy are so at the expense of their own souls. But Allah is free of all needs, and it is you who are needy. If you turn back (from the path), He will substitute another people in your place; then they will not be like you!" (Qur'an, 47:38).

Abu Hurayrah has said that when the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) recited this verse, he was asked, "O Messenger of Allah! Who are these people who, if we run away [from our religious obligations], they will replace us and will not be like us?" He (a.s.) patted Salman's thigh then said, "This man and his people. Had the creed been in Venus, men from among the Persians would have acquired it." [2]

The Messenger of Allah (a.s.) also drew attention to the group of people that will take upon themselves to create dissension among the Muslims in our time. Ibn `Umar has said, "The Prophet (a.s.) once said, 'O Allah! Bless our Syria! O Allah! Bless our Yemen!' They asked him, "What about our Najd?!" He (a.s.) said, 'O Allah! Bless our Syria! O Allah! Bless our Yemen!' They again asked him, 'O Messenger of Allah (a.s.)! What about our Najd?' I believe his third statement included the

following: 'It is there that shall be earthquakes and dissensions, and it is from there that the horn of Satan shall come out.'" [3]

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 6, p. 390 in the book of Tafsir in a chapter about "... As well as (to confer all these benefits upon) others who have not already joined them".

[2] Refer to the books of Tafsir by Ibn Kathir, al-Qurtubi, al-ṭabari and Al-Durr al-Manthur.

[3] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 166 in the book of dissensions in a chapter about a dissension from the east.

157

I could not interpret the dissension referred to in this hadith except with Wahhabism whose inventor, Muhammed ibn `Abd al-Wahhab, was born in one of the villages of Najd called `Uyaynah. This group of people hid under the cover of Tawhid (monotheism) which they used as a forefront to hide the vile objectives behind charging other sects, especially the one that follow the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.), with apostasy and shirk (polytheism). For example, they regard pleading to Allah through the medium of the prophets and righteous servants of His as a great innovation despite the presence of what contradicts this belief in al-Bukhari's Sahih and in what caliph `Umar had done.

Anas has said, "'Umar ibn al-Khattab used to seek help from al-`Abbas ibn `Abd al-Muttalib in praying for rain. He said, 'Lord! We used to plead to You in the name of our Prophet, so you would grant us water, and we now plead to You in the name of the uncle of our Prophet, so do let rain water descend upon us.' And they would thus get rain water." [1] As for the reason why Wahhabism has so much concentrated on this issue, it is because the followers of the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) were known more than others to uphold and to respect the sanctity of the person of the glorious Prophet (a.s.) and the infallible Imams after him because they realize their great status with Allah Almighty. They are the ones without whom mankind would not have been guided to the Straight Path of Allah, and mankind would have kept their ignorance and misguidance.

Suffices for an answer to Wahhabism and to its inventor what is recorded by al-Bukhari in his Sahih that the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) has said, "Some people will come out from the east and recite the Qur'an; it will not go beyond their throats. They abandon the creed as swiftly as an arrow abandons its bow, then they shall not return to it until the arrow returns to its bow." He was asked, "What is their mark?" He said, "Their mark is tahliq (shaving)," or he said "al-tasbid" (shaving the head)[2]. The meaning of "tasbid" is the

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 66 in the book of praying for rain.

[2] Ibid., Vol. 9, p. 489 in the book of Tawhid in a chapter about the recitation by a sinner and a

hypocrite.

158

same as has been quoted in this sacred hadith: "Ibn `Abbas came and his head was musbad," that is, shaven[1]. This has become the "trade mark" of the Wahhabis as is known from their history.

Al-Mahdi (a.s.) will come to support the downtrodden on earth against all arrogant powers; so, what do you expect from his enemies? Will they not try to use the hypocrites from among the Muslims, the sultans' preachers and the Imams of misguidance to fight this new comer? Can you not see how in our own days, how the ruler of Iraq, who earned a reputation for his sins and apostasy, was able to deceive millions of Muslims who went out shouting his name when he pretended to have iman and to rely on Allah and announce jihad against the unbelievers and the polytheist people until many naive people thought this Dajjal became the Muslims' Imam in truth! This suffices to point to what the conditions of the Muslims can be once they are exposed to greater and harder events. The Chosen One (a.s.) explained what the Muslims should do in order to guarantee their salvation from drowning in the swamp of these dissensions after his departure from this world: by upholding His Book and [at the same time] by following the Pure `itrah from among his Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) as we explained in the first Chapter.

Huthayfah ibn al-Yaman has said, "People used to ask the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) about goodness, and I used to ask him about evil, fearing it might involve me. I said, 'O Messenger of Allah! We used to be in jahiliyyah and in evil, then Allah pought us all this goodness. Will there be evil after this goodness?' He (a.s.) said, 'Yes.' I said, 'And will there be after that evil goodness?' He (a.s.) said, 'Yes, and it will have smudge in it.' I asked him (a.s.), 'What is its smudge?' He (a.s.) said, 'People guide others without themselves being rightly guided. What you will know about them you will detest.' I said, 'Will there be after such goodness evil?' He (a.s.) said, 'Yes, callers at the gates of Hell; whoever responds to their call to it they hurl him into it.' I said, 'O Messenger of Allah!

[1] Sheikh Imam Muhammed ibn Abu Bakr al-Razi, Mukhtar al-Sihah, p. 282 (published by Dar al-Turath al-`Arabi for publication and distribution).

159

Describe them for us.' He (a.s.) said, "They are from our own folks and they speak our [Arabic] tongue.' I said, 'What do you order me to do should I live to see that?' He (a.s.) said, 'Uphold the Muslim masses and their imam.' I said, 'What if they have neither masses nor an imam?' He (a.s.) said, 'Then stay aloof from all these groups even if you have to bite on a tree's root until death

comes to your rescue and you are in such a condition." [1]

This hadith clearly explains to us the obligation of upholding the Muslim masses and their imam, and that when there is confusion about the issue, and when one cannot know the truth, the Prophetic instruction directs us to remain silent. This hadith also makes it clear that the callers stand at the gates of Hell; whoever responds to their call, they hurl him into it, that they are not from among the non-Arabs but from among the Arabs, something which stresses what the previous aHadith have stated regarding the innovating group of people.

The fact is that this dissension in which we pass nowadays and against falling into its nets did the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) warn us, we are obliged to take extreme caution by selecting the path which safely helps us reach the Sunnah of the Chosen One (a.s.), especially when there are so many paths the number of which reaches seventy-three-according to some narratives-and each one of these paths (sects) claims it is on the right track. But the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) explained to us that only one of them will receive salvation; others will not.

Allah has promised to support the saved group. Says the Prophet (a.s.),

"A group from among my nation shall remain on the path of righteousness; they are not harmed by those who differ from them, until Allah's command comes."

A Muslim nowadays has become perplexed, feeling strange about

[1] Al-Bukhari, Sahih, Vol. 9, p. 159 in the book of dissensions in a chapter about "What if there is no Jama`aha"

160

all what takes place around him of this great fuss, of the grand dissension, seeing himself required to take a second look at his Islamic creed and likewise at many significant events in our Islamic history, something which is considered as a testimony to what the Messenger of Allah (a.s.) has already said:

"Islam started foreign and shall return foreign just as it started..."

Undoubtedly, anyone who takes a discerning look at our Islamic history and at our status quo, contemplating upon what the Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) had to endure, especially the Imams from among them, at the calamities, trials and tribulations, at the persecution to which they were exposed, and if he thinks about the reason why the truth has become lost among the Sunnis..., he will

realize the meaning of Islam returning foreign. It seems such a return has already taken place especially during the last few years. A part of the darkness which the oppressors spread on following this path, across centuries, and in testimony to what the Chosen One, the Guide (a.s.), has already articulated thus:

"We are members of a Household for whom Allah has chosen the Hereafter over the world. My Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) shall face after me discrimination, hardship and exile in the land until some people rise from there-and he pointed with his hand towards the east-people who carry black flags; they will ask for what is right, but they will not be given it, so they will fight and achieve victory; they will be given whatever they want, and they will not accept it until they pass it on to a man from among my Ahl al-Bayt (a.s.) who will fill it with justice just as it was filled with oppression. Anyone who lives to see that taking place, he must go to them even if he has to crawl on ice." [1]

Lord! Do hasten his honorable ease and make us among those who march behind his flag. And the last of our supplication is: Praise be to Allah, Lord of the Worlds, and greetings and salutations be upon our master, Muhammed, and his good and pure Progeny.

[1] Ibn Majah, Sunan, Vol. 2, AHadith No. 4082 and 4087. Al-ṭabari, Tarikh.

THE AUTHOR

161

The author is a seeker of the truth who tried hard to reach it very bitterly until Allah guided him to the Straight Path: "And [as to] those who strive in Our (cause)-We will certainly guide them to Our paths" (Qur'an, 29:69). He was born in the Dayr al-Ghusan village on the West Bank [of the Jordan River] in Occupied Palestine. Having finished his high school, he made the trip to Jordan where he earned a diploma in engineering vocations. Then he traveled to the Philippines where he earned his B.A. in Civil Engineering then his Masters in Construction Management. He is about to earn his Ph.D. in Governmental Administration as soon as he finishes his research about Islamic Public Administration.

